

## Draft Outline of summary of submissions on potential response options for continued work for consideration by the United Nations Environment Assembly

### Section 1. Draft Outline of summary of submissions on potential response options

In the letter by the Chair dated 11 December 2019, member States and stakeholders were invited to provide submissions of potential response options, pursuant to paragraph 10(d) of UNEA resolution 3/7, through the web portal. The Secretariat has so far received 9 submissions from member States and Specialized Agencies, and 4 submissions from Major Stakeholder Groups, all the submissions are [available online](#).

The Secretariat has gone through the submissions, and identified some key words, Table 1 below provides an initial outline of those key words. Please be reminded this document will not serve as a basis for further discussion or negotiation on the topic but is a mere attempt to capture the submissions received.

Table 2 further explains the key words identified in Table 1.

**Table 1. Tentative summary of key words in the submissions**

Actions/approaches		Member States and Specialized Agencies								Major Groups and Stakeholders				
		African Group	EU + MS	Nordic Council	Norway	Iran	Japan	Switzerland	US	Vietnam	CIEL, EIA, gaia	Indian Water Foundation	WWF	Association Welfare
Management across life cycle	Life-cycle Approach	√	√	√	√		√	√	√	√				
	Producer responsibility				√			√		√	√		√	
	Sustainable production and consumption (upstream)	√	√		√	√		√		√	√	√	√	
	Environmentally sound waste management (downstream)	√	√		√	√	√	√	√	√	√		√	
Coordination and cooperation	International coordination and cooperation		√		√		√	√		√	√	√	√	
	Building on existing efforts (synergy)	√	√				√	√	√		√		√	√
	Multi-stakeholder engagement, including the private sector and industry	√	√		√		√	√	√	√		√		√
Scientific and technological knowledge	Science-based action	√	√		√		√	√		√	√		√	√

	Strengthening scientific and technological knowledge	√	√		√		√		√	√	√	√	
Means of implementation	Resource mobilisation (technical and financial), capacity building	√	√			√	√		√	√	√	√	√
	Development/implementation of national action plans	√	√			√	√		√	√	√		√
Nature of actions	global framework/agreement	√	√	√	√			√		√	√		√
	Voluntary measures							√		√			

**Table 2. Key words explained**

Actions/approaches		Expansion on the actions/approaches <sup>1</sup>
Management across life cycle	Life-cycle Approach	The life-cycle of plastics covers from sustainable production, (including design of materials and products) and consumption (including distribution and use of products), to environmentally sound waste and wastewater management (including waste collection)[ <b>EU</b> ], response options should also ensure that a life-cycle approach is considered in any path forward, so we to understand the environmental impacts of alternative technologies or materials [ <b>US</b> ]
	Producer responsibility	Producers of plastic products need to share the responsibility for a more sustainable plastic economy with governments and consumers. That could for instance imply that plastic products are required to meet some basic sustainability criteria both pre- and post-consumption in domestic markets, in order to fit for example national collection and recycling systems and thereby ease the burden for domestic waste management regimes [ <b>Norway</b> ]
	Sustainable production and consumption (upstream)	Sustainable <b>production</b> includes design of materials and products and <b>consumption</b> includes distribution and use of products [ <b>EU</b> ]
	Environmentally sound waste management (downstream)	Environmentally sound waste management includes sustainable practices for sorting, collection and treatment of waste and wastewater [ <b>EU</b> ], recycling is also considered under this category.
Coordination and cooperation	International coordination and cooperation	Efficient coordination to exchange on progress and best practices in order to streamline efforts to avoid duplication of effort and to provide a basis for informed decision-making by the <b>governments and the international community at the global level.</b> [ <b>EU</b> ]
	Building on existing efforts (synergy)	A coordinated and ambitious response that has a clear vision and objectives, sets priorities and targets, gives cohesion and context to <b>numerous existing initiatives</b> , while avoiding duplication of efforts, and most importantly fills identified gaps in a coordinated and structured manner [ <b>EU</b> ], examples of such existing initiatives: Basel Convention, the Global Partnership on Marine Litter, SAICM, regional seas programs, regional fisheries bodies, and river basin committees. There are existing regional and/or multilateral frameworks and initiatives that are currently functioning to combat marine plastic litters and microplastics. In order to move forward effectively and efficiently, it would be strongly recommended with the viewpoint of efficiency to learn from such existing frameworks and initiatives as a crucial first step [ <b>Japan</b> ].
	Multi-stakeholder engagement, including the private sector and industry	Cooperation for industry, academia, civil society, governments and other <b>stakeholders</b> together with governments with a view “to take immediate action towards the long-term elimination, through a life-cycle approach, of discharges of litter and microplastics into the oceans. [ <b>EU</b> ]

<sup>1</sup> Some of the submitters did not further expand on the terms, the most generic explanations are given here, however, it is unknown if they would be acceptable to all.

Scientific and technological knowledge	Science-based action	Guidelines and standards, develop/improve global industry guidelines (e.g. for the management of polymers and additives; adoption of global labelling schemes); Establish global standards for industry plastic producers (e.g. encourages the use of extended producer responsibility schemes or the polluter pays principle as well as providing information on adverse impacts caused by their products); Global monitoring, establish a monitoring system that includes review and accountability and speaks to the Precautionary Principle (enables a holistic land-to-sea approach view); Reporting, Standardize global, regional and national reporting on production, consumption and final treatment of plastics, address the whole life cycle <b>[Switzerland]</b> ; actions similar to Guidelines for Harmonizing Ocean Surface Microplastic Monitoring Methods <b>[Japan]</b>
	Strengthening scientific and technological knowledge	Strengthening scientific and technological knowledge either through a Global Science and Knowledge Base <b>[Norway]</b> , or through promoting the work of the scientific advisory committee <b>[Japan]</b>
Means of implementation	Resource mobilisation (technical and financial), capacity building	Facilitate availability of financial and technical resources necessary <b>[EU]</b> and/or identify innovative approaches to mobilize non-governmental resources and financing <b>[US]</b>
	Development/implementation of national action plans	Establish national action plans in which countries would set themselves targets and identify measures for tackling plastic pollution thereby committing to taking action best suited to their individual context, <b>[EU]</b> that may also facilitate action at the subnational or local level <b>[US]</b>
Nature of actions	Legally binding approach	Development of a new global agreement taking into account the full life-cycle of plastics <b>[EU]</b> <b>[Nordic Council]</b> <b>[Norway]</b>
	Voluntary measures	As opposed to the legally binding approach, voluntary measures encourage and coordinate industry-led solutions and commitments; introduce voluntary national reduction targets <b>[Switzerland]</b> and member states make its best efforts to combating marine litter and microplastics, according to their circumstances <b>[Japan]</b>

## Section 2. Draft compilation of submissions on potential response options

This section offers a compilation of submissions on response options, information in the submissions are grouped into 4 categories, namely, status quo, actions needed to address the gaps, expected AHEG actions and propositions on response options. Exact words in the submissions are reflected here in the table without change, to avoid misinterpretation of the submissions.

Organization	Status quo	Actions needed to address the gaps	AHEG actions	Proposed response options
<b>Member States and Regional Groups</b>				
<a href="#">African Group</a>	<p>-Africa is a leader in taking action on management of plastics, and nearly half of all States in Africa have introduced legislation aimed at tackling plastic pollution, including by prohibiting certain leakage-prone products like plastic bags.</p> <p>-However, this has come with some challenges such as influx of plastics from other regions through porous borders, inadequate enforcement and loss of jobs. The effects of these efforts have been further limited by the lack of a dedicated and coherent international regulatory framework. Tackling plastic pollution requires a comprehensive and</p>		<p>-UNEA-5 delivers the solution to move this forward by providing the negotiation mandate for a new legally binding instrument to combat plastic pollution. The African Group is committed to engaging constructively in the discussions on this issue going forward, and we welcome the recent establishment of a Group of Friends on marine plastic pollution in New York. We also have high expectations for an ambitious outcome from 5th session of United Nations Environment Assembly, which should pave the way for strengthened global action to address the problem of plastic pollution.</p>	<p>-A stronger global response is needed to enable the success of national initiatives. The voluntary initiatives that have been put in place over the past decades have fallen short, and the Regional Seas Conventions and Action Plans are not set up to deal with global supply chains, design standards or recycling requirements. Partly as a result of this, a growing number of States, including the African Group, have, over the past two years, signalled an interest in exploring the option of a new legally binding agreement</p> <p><b>-Possible elements in a new global governance architecture or agreement</b></p> <p>1. <b>Shared vision:</b> Building on the zero-vision agreed to in UNEA resolution 3/7, the international community should articulate a clear goal of eliminating all discharge of plastic into the ocean, directly or indirectly, based on the principle of precaution and in recognition of the devastating impact plastic pollution has on ecosystems and livelihoods. 2. <b>Reduction targets:</b> Based on an agreed calculation method, the international community should set a clear and measurable reduction target, to be reached by a certain year.. The common reduction target should also be translated into national reduction targets, in an equitable manner, based on the principle of common but differentiated responsibilities. It will be important to ensure, however, that the sum of national commitments are sufficient to achieve the common objective, something that other environmental issues have struggled with. Moreover, we believe that urgency is needed in the near-term in line with SDG 14.1 (“by 2025, prevent and significantly reduce marine pollution of all kinds, particularly from land-based activities, including marine debris and nutrient pollution”).</p> <p>3. <b>National action plans:</b> In order to improve long-term planning, predictability for business, and promote transparency, the new global governance architecture should facilitate the development of national action plans, which would serve as planning tools in efforts to achieve the national reduction targets. National action plans are also useful in terms of adapting policy measures and regulatory interventions to local and national context.</p> <p>4. <b>Monitoring and reporting:</b> A new global governance architecture should provide for an agreed measurement, reporting (covering plastic production, use and management at the national and international level in order to measure</p>

	<p>multilayered approach.</p> <p>-There is a limit to how much we can achieve on national level alone, and that is not only the case for African States. Plastic pollution is a transboundary issue, the entire value-chain of plastic is transboundary, with global trade in raw materials, global trade in manufactured products and global trade in collected plastic waste</p>			<p>progress toward a safe circular economy for plastics and the elimination of leakage.) and verification scheme for tracking marine litter and microplastics discharge and the progress made to eliminate them at a national and international level.</p> <p>5. <b>Scientific body:</b> Monitoring of national discharge should be supplemented by the establishment of a dedicated international scientific body with a mandate to assess and track the extent of the problem, and collect state-of-the-art knowledge to provide inputs for decision-making and implementation.</p> <p>6. <b>Implementation support:</b> A new global governance structure or agreement must include a system for supporting States in their efforts to achieve their reduction targets. This should include a financial mechanism and a scheme for transfer of technology and expertise.</p> <p>7. <b>Common rules and regulations:</b> The international community should strive to develop common calculation methods, definitions, standards and regulations for an efficient and coordinated global effort to combat plastic pollution. To the extent that certain policy measures, such as banning primary microplastics in cosmetics or phasing out of certain single-use plastic items, are considered meaningful by a majority of States, the new global governance architecture should provide a platform for adopting uniform regulatory measures applicable to all States. Particular attention should be given to those categories of plastic products that are most prone to leakage and that pose a particular risk to the environment, including single-use plastics, fishing gear and primary microplastics.</p> <p><b>Suggestions for national or local response options</b></p> <p>1. <b>Regulatory or governance measures:</b> &gt; Subscribe to the provisions of the National Coastal Plans and add to them a section related to marine litter in the context of the protection and preservation of the coastal ecosystems. &gt; Introduce taxes on the disposal of waste in the natural environment. &gt; Pooling efforts and creating synergies between various partners, and establishing national agencies dedicated to the coast with an entity dedicated to the issue of marine and microplastic waste if necessary.</p> <p>2. <b>Operational measures:</b> &gt; Carry out collection campaigns at the beaches throughout the year and raise awareness among municipalities to introduce this waste as part of the National Household Waste Collection Programs, taking into account the collection time which must be before high tides to prevent litter from ending up at sea. &gt; Promote improved waste management systems (upstream sorting, recycling and recovery). &gt; Encourage managers in the private sector to set up companies dedicated to the recycling and recovery of plastic products through subsidies, and / or public / private partnerships. &gt; Strengthen reception facilities in ports and involve fishermen in the collection of waste at sea. &gt; Encourage coastal communities to obtain the "Blue Flag" label</p>
--	--	--	--	---

				<p>by improving waste management in their beaches. ➤ Make sure to cover all the beaches with the waste collection service (ideally selective),</p> <p><b>3. Awareness raising measures:</b> ➤ Strengthen the capacities of developing countries in general and of African countries in particular in terms of fundraising for pilot and development projects aimed at implementing the aforementioned operational measures in their countries, while creating job opportunities and improving the standard of living of the population. ➤ Continue and strengthen efforts to raise awareness among citizens of the impacts of marine litter in order to reduce their production upstream. ➤ Strengthen and perpetuate efforts to raise awareness and educate the environment on the issue of marine litter at beach level, for the benefit of all the public and schools. ➤ Encourage the development of ICT tools and information and awareness-raising materials for the general public, especially for young people. ➤ Support managers of marinas to obtain the “Blue Flag” label</p>
<a href="#">EU+MS</a>	<p>-At its first meeting in May 2018, the AHOEEG agreed that the status quo was not an option.</p> <p>-The EU has already taken decisive policy and legislative steps in addressing plastic pollution within its jurisdiction. The European Green Deal - the EU’s growth strategy – is based on a circular economy model that allows the economy to grow within the planetary boundaries, complemented by the precautionary principle of environmental policy. Even if we now have ambitious policies and legislation in this area, we recognize that</p>	<p>-An effective response should consist in supporting a full implementation of existing efforts and addressing remaining governance and policy gaps, the remaining gaps requiring action at a global level exist along the full life-cycle of plastics but are most prominent in the upstream part of it</p> <p>-A global response based on a resource efficient and circular approach to plastics would give the necessary</p>	<p>-Should be the focus of work of the next two AHOEEG meetings, where breakout sessions should be organised over several days to allow for fruitful and dynamic exchanges.</p>	<p>-Establishing a common vision and objectives</p> <p>-Action at local, regional, national and global level. Any new global framework should be flexible enough to take into account national circumstances as well as region-specific challenges</p> <p>-Building on existing instruments</p> <p>-Closing the gap-addressing the full lifecycle of plastics with a focus on prevention of plastic pollution. Elements to be considered: <b>Sustainable production</b> of the plastic value chain presents a major challenge, necessitating a special emphasis on <b>how primary materials and actual products (including packaging) are designed and produced; Sustainable consumption</b> plays a role in reducing the use of unnecessary and environmentally harmful plastics and it will be crucial for reducing plastic pollution leaking into the environment; There will however always be residual waste that needs to be properly managed. <b>Environmentally sound waste management</b>, including sustainable practices for sorting, collection and treatment of waste and wastewater, therefore needs to be a part of the solution; any global response must be based on <b>sound science and a compilation of the knowledge</b> regarding plastic pollution.</p> <p>-Structures. Holistic approach will require structures that provide venues for interaction and policy development, with clear roles and efficient coordination mechanisms in order to fulfil such tasks. Such structures will need to be able to accommodate both the need for a full participation and contribution of economic and civil society stakeholders as well as the need for intergovernmental and multilateral interaction. Coordination to exchange on progress and best practice of a number of instruments and various actors; multi-stakeholder platform, a cooperation platform for industry, academia, civil society, governments and other stakeholders together with governments; government action, <b>dedicated single venue for governments at the global level</b></p>

	<p>action at national or regional level will not be sufficient to deal with a problem, which is transboundary in scale and nature and, as such, demands global action</p>	<p>leverage to national authorities wishing to effectively address the challenges they face, in particular countries that are primarily consumers of plastic products  - The global response needs to be truly holistic in several ways. It should build on existing efforts, be multi-layered (action at all levels) and address all stages of the life-cycle of plastic- from sustainable production (including design of materials and products) and consumption (including distribution and use of products) - to environmentally sound waste and wastewater management (including waste collection).  -All stakeholders should be fully</p>		<p>to meet and discuss, across all these clusters on the basis of the stakeholders' input and scientific advice, medium and long-term goals and targets, monitor progress in implementation and agree on joint action and commitments along the life-cycle of plastics.  -Resource mobilization.</p>
--	---	--	--	--

		associated with the process		
<a href="#">Nordic Council</a>	-The Nordic Ministers called for a report to look into the possible elements that could be included in a new global agreement on marine litter and microplastics. The report aims to inform future decision-making, by sketching out the possible elements and approaches to a new global agreement taking into account the full life-cycle of plastics. The final report will be launched at the SDG 14 conference hosted by Portugal and Kenya on 2-6 June 2020 in Lisbon.		-It is the intention that the drafting of the report will inform and contribute to the discussions under AHEG. Thus it is our request that this work will be considered as a submission to AHEG-4, under proposed agenda item 5 "Consideration of submissions on potential response options pursuant to paragraph 10 d) of United Nations Environment Assembly resolution 3/7."	
<a href="#">Iran</a>	<b>Barriers and challenges:</b> - <b>Legislative gaps</b> in combating land-based and sea-based litter and microplastic (1) A limited mandate on disposing garbage from land-based sources into coastal area (2) Potential legislative gaps in disposing litter and wastewater from different industries	-Explore all barriers to combating marine litter and microplastic, including challenges related to resources in developing countries; -Identify the range of national, regional and international		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Improve dumping and dumping sites with best available techniques or technologies</li> <li>2. Having litter and microplastic collection site in inshore and offshore areas</li> <li>3. Installing litter and microplastic facilities in inshore and offshore areas</li> <li>4. Clean up of microplastic floating in sea water vial employing advance collecting devises and new machinery</li> <li>5. Replacement of plastic by environment friendly material such as biodegradable material textile bags and so on.</li> <li>6. Caring on in a national bases of identification chemical properties, sources effect, fate and control of marine litter and microplastic</li> <li>7. Implementation of marine litter and microplastic action plan</li> </ol>



	<p>into the coastal area pertaining to removing the existing garbage and preventing new pollutions</p> <p>(3) Lack of strategy framework for marine pollution</p> <p>(4) Potential legislative gaps on production and use of land-based materials causing marine litter</p> <p>(5) Mandate all vessels to carry GPS to facilitate location logging of lost gear for later retrieval</p> <p>(6) Mandate reporting of gear loss and facilitate sharing of this information to reduce gear conflict</p> <p>(7) Seeking a mandate for Prohibition of Discharge in the Marine Environment</p> <p>(8) Potential legislative gaps relevant to cargo residues include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A lack of a strict requirement for shippers to declare whether or not cargoes they ship are “harmful to the marine environment” (HME) – this is within the Guidelines, but not mandatory; and</li> <li>• There is no list of solid bulk cargoes or</li> </ul>	<p>response options, including actions and innovative approaches, and voluntary and legally binding governance strategies and approaches;</p> <p>-Identify environmental, social and economic costs and benefits of different response options;</p> <p>-Examine the feasibility and effectiveness of different response options;</p> <p>-Identify potential options for continued work for consideration by the United Nations Environment Assembly.</p>		
--	---	--	--	--

	<p>assessment of individual cargoes that are HME: this causes potential variance in assessment. This list (potentially, as with dumping, a 'reverse list' which specifies cargoes that are not harmful) may be developed outside legislation and subsequently referenced</p> <p><b>-Lack of proper information and reporting</b> from land-based sources disposed to coastal environment</p> <p><b>-Technology:</b> Such as satellite monitoring systems like Clean Sea Net focus primarily on detecting oil discharges, lack of proper technologies to replace plastics and microplastic to environmental friendly materials.</p> <p><b>-Financial:</b> Lack of adequate financial resources to combat different items of litters and microplastic originated from land or sea.</p>			
<a href="#">Japan</a>	<p>-There is a lack of scientific knowledge globally in common,</p>	<p>-There is no "one-fits all" solutions for this</p>	<p>-UNEA/UNEP should work proactively to implement the resolution of UNEA4</p>	<p>-National. As a major premise, every member state should make its best efforts to combat marine litter and microplastics, according to their circumstances and jurisdiction. National measures should cover entire life-cycle of plastics based on</p>

	<p>such as monitoring capacity; international harmonization of monitoring methodology; sources, pathways and fate of plastic waste leakage toward the development of global land and sea-based source inventories; and impacts to ecosystems.</p> <p>-Capacity of policy formulation and implementation including 3R and sound waste management practices in developing countries is insufficient.</p>	<p>issues as plastics are widely used in every aspect of economic activities and daily life according to diverse national circumstances. It is necessary for each country to identify issues based on scientific knowledge, and formulate and implement most appropriate tailored actions.</p>	<p>(4/6 “Marine Plastic Litter and Microplastics.” This should include UNEP to strengthen scientific and technological knowledge through convening existing relevant science advisory initiatives and to strengthen coordination and cooperation by establishing a multi-stakeholder platform, in addition to holding Ad Hoc Open-Ended Expert Group meetings.</p> <p>-In the consideration of AHOEEG, following points are important to build ground for further work by UNEAS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Consideration of all possible response options without prejudging possible outcomes at the UNEAS</li> <li>✓ Collection of relevant information and good practices of functioning frameworks and initiatives at the regional and international scale through holding regional meetings</li> <li>✓ Consideration of possibilities to strengthen and</li> </ul>	<p>circumstances and capabilities of each countries. It should be recognized that many member states have already developed their national action plans, however, there are still many member states who have not developed such plans.</p> <p>-Regional and international. Regional and international frameworks should have a role to support and facilitate each countries’ national plans and measures against marine litter and microplastics.</p> <p>Here, the following points are important for regional/international frameworks to function effectively:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Sharing a long-term global/regional vision</li> <li>✓ Understanding the importance of comprehensive life-cycle approach</li> <li>✓ Allowing all countries to have opportunities to share information and learn best-practices and knowledge to strengthen their national measures</li> <li>✓ Promoting international cooperation to support countries that need capacity building</li> <li>✓ Strengthening scientific knowledge and innovative solutions</li> </ul> <p>There are existing regional and/or multilateral frameworks and initiatives that are currently functioning to combat marine plastic litters and micro-plastics. In order to move forward effectively and efficiently, it would be strongly recommended with the viewpoint of efficiency to learn from such existing frameworks and initiatives as a crucial first step.</p> <p>Such frameworks and initiatives include G20/G7, ASEAN/EAS and Regional Seas Programme<sup>2</sup>.</p> <p>-Strengthening of scientific knowledge</p> <p>On floating microplastics, Japan, with the work of experts, has published the “Guidelines for Harmonizing Ocean Surface Microplastic Monitoring Methods” in May 2019 as one of the activities of G7.</p> <p>The guideline<sup>3</sup> provides recommendations such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ to collect samples when sea conditions are as calm as possible</li> <li>✓ to use a flowmeter to calculate the tow distance (if the tow distance is affected by a water surface current and not equivalent to that calculated between the start and end positions)</li> <li>✓ to compare results of particles in the size range of 1 – 5 mm</li> </ul>
--	--	--	--	---

<sup>2</sup> More details on the activities under these frameworks and initiatives can be found in the original submission.

<sup>3</sup> Available at :[http://www.env.go.jp/en/water/marine\\_litter/guidelines/guidelines.pdf](http://www.env.go.jp/en/water/marine_litter/guidelines/guidelines.pdf)

			expand well-functioning types of frameworks/initiatives	
<a href="#">Norway</a>	<p>-The UNEA-process and discussions in the expert group so far have proven that there is broad agreement that "status quo" is not a sustainable option</p> <p>-UNEA-3 stressed in its resolution 3/7 the importance of long-term elimination of all discharge of litter and microplastics into the oceans. Currently, there is no international framework that in a systematic and holistic way addresses all aspects of this complex problem with the aim to fulfil this global vision</p>	<p>- There is a need for stronger responses to the marine litter and microplastics challenge</p> <p>- A significant change in the way we use and dispose of plastic products needs to take place. We need to use plastic products smarter, recycle more, and better control and manage our plastic waste. To be effective, changes in plastics production and consumption patterns and plastic waste management need to be actively promoted and supported by stronger national plastic policies in all countries.</p>	<p>- AHEG 4 and 5 should focus its work on the response options at the global level that are necessary to produce more effective action at all levels. This includes the consideration of a new dedicated global agreement</p> <p>-The agenda of the 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> Expert Group meetings should be tailored to allow for structured discussions on response options related to key areas of the life-cycle of plastic products</p> <p>-Discussions proposed to focus on: 1. Enhanced plastic waste minimization, recycling and management; 2. More sustainable plastic products; 3. How to share the responsibility fairly; 4. Microplastics, targeted measures are needed; 5. Building a global science and knowledge base, propose of a global science and knowledge base composes of a decision making body, steering committee, and a pool of specialists</p>	<p>-Enhanced coordination and cooperation between states as well as between relevant international bodies and instruments is necessary, the most effective response option will be to establish a new global agreement</p>

<p><a href="#">Switzerland</a></p>	<p>-The status quo is not sufficient, non-action is no longer an option.  <b>Gaps and barriers:</b>          -The lack of clear binding standards on plastic pollution mitigation, especially from land-based sources: this encompasses industry regulation, waste and wastewater management, reduction of non-recoverable microplastics, and human rights implications;          -Missing or inadequate chemicals and waste management, including wastewater management;          -The lack of science-based product design and production in order to avoid unintentional loss of plastic throughout supply chains or through wear of products;          -Geographic gaps in the scope of existing conventions. Many inland waters and watersheds are not always covered, areas beyond national jurisdiction are only marginally included,</p>	<p>-The overarching aim must be to work towards clear, comprehensive, coherent, efficient and effective international rules with corresponding institutions to effectively address this environmental issue of global concern.          -We are in need of response options that consider existing and new, voluntary or potentially legally binding elements, concern the governments and other stakeholders (including the private sector and consumers) alike and that work on national, regional and international levels as well as across the time scale.</p>		<p>- Overall the response options must account for          (a) adopting an integrated approach to waste management at the national level;          (b) embedding a life-cycle approach and reduce-reuse-recycle thinking into all aspects of the economy, including producer responsibility; (c) using a source-to-sea approach given the importance of rivers as conduits for the delivery of plastic litter to the marine environment; (d) building on successful regional and global mechanisms such as the Regional Seas and Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions, the Strategic Approach to International Chemicals Management and others; (e) creating a global architecture that includes existing and new, voluntary or potentially legally binding elements, in a multi-layered, governance approach, that could be extended to other institutions.  <b>-Waste Management and Prevention.</b> Mainstream prevention, collection, separation and environmentally sound disposal of waste into national development strategies.  <b>-Ban</b> of microplastics in cosmetic products.  <b>-Recycling.</b> Consider recycling rates.  <b>-Voluntary commitments.</b> Industry-led solutions and commitments; voluntary national reduction targets.  <b>-Advocacy and action on overarching concepts</b> including Green Economy, Life Cycle Approach, and Sustainable Consumption and Production, including product design;          Link with the overarching concept of pollution and the associated risks to health, including human and environmental health;  <b>-Reporting.</b> Standardize global, regional and national reporting on production, consumption and final treatment of plastics, address the whole life cycle;  <b>-Collaboration</b> among member states in existing conventions, organisations, and fora, this includes a coherent national position across the responsible ministries; and among existing conventions, organisations, and fora in order to address the issue in a coherent and complementary way, in particular with Basel;  <b>-Existing frameworks.</b> Review, revise, and build on relevant existing instruments; Harmonize international legal instruments and approaches;  <b>-Guidelines and standards.</b> Develop/improve global industry guidelines; Establish global standards for industry plastic producers  <b>-Global monitoring.</b> Establish a monitoring system that includes review and accountability and speaks to the Precautionary Principle (enables a holistic land-to-sea approach view);  <b>-Overarching Sustainable Consumption and Production.</b> Engage in existing overall mechanism and programmes that speak to sustainable consumption and production  <b>-Global architecture.</b> Establish a new international architecture that includes response options as presented above, of voluntary or potentially legally binding nature. In parallel, take action in the interim and apply other response options.</p>
------------------------------------	--	---	--	---

	<p>the main polluting areas are not covered by a legally binding convention;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-The lack of a strong capacity-building scheme;</li> <li>-Ineffective compliance and enforcement mechanisms in multilateral environmental agreements;</li> <li>-Insufficient implementation of the polluter-pays principle tailored to the issue at stake;</li> <li>-Solutions focus mainly on adaption measures instead of mitigation.</li> </ul> <p>The overall source-to-sea point of view needs to be established to consider the full life cycle, i.e. the upstream design phase of plastic products to the final treatment of plastic;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Fragmented and/or partial consideration of the problem in existing instruments, organizations and fora and lack of coordination among existing initiatives.</li> </ul> <p>Collaboration and discussions among member states, among</p>			
--	---	--	--	--

	<p>organizations and instruments, and of member states with organizations/instrument;</p> <p>-Current consumption and production patterns drive the issue of marine pollution. Life cycle approaches and Green Economy principles have not been addressed.</p>			
<a href="#">USA</a>	<p>-Continues to support practical and effective action by all countries to reduce discharges of marine plastic litter (MPL) to the ocean</p>	<p>-There is no one-size-fits-all approach that will work for every country and situation, and many solutions will be carried out or are best implemented at regional, subnational and local levels of government or by nongovernmental entities</p>	<p>-AHEG should consider the potential for numerous approaches to help solve this problem, prioritizing actions that are cost-effective, and that focus on large-scale reductions in major source countries.</p> <p>-Future meetings should be informed by the prior deliberations in the AHEG on response options. For example, the Annex of the AHEG-2 report identifies a range of issues and possible response options, and appropriately does not endorse any specific approaches. The AHEG should continue its work with the purpose of informing the broader policy discussions rather than pursue a specific recommendation.</p>	<p>- Response options should promote enhanced on the ground actions that will: (1) build capacity for environmentally sound waste management, (2) incentivize recycling and support the global scrap market, and (3) promote innovative technology and business models.</p> <p>-Response options should also ensure that a life-cycle approach is considered in any path forward, so we understand the environmental impacts of alternative technologies or materials.</p> <p>- A combination of response options of differing size and scope will be most effective to achieve reductions in MPL discharges. Those options should encompass regional, national, sub-national, and local governments, the private sector, non-governmental organizations, and philanthropic foundations.</p> <p>-Do not view a legally-binding instrument as the most effective approach to achieving reductions of MPL due to the inherently localized nature of waste management and the need to continue to accrue best practices in waste management rather than prioritize identifying international obligations.</p> <p>-Should consider options, including existing forums, for collaboration tailored to spur regional, national, sub-national and local action and to include appropriate participation by non-governmental actors. The Strategic Approach to International Chemicals Management SAICM multi-stakeholder model (or the SAICM body itself) could be considered as a possible approach that would allow for broad participation across government and non-government interests. If there is a need for traditional government to government engagement, it could be accommodated by handling some issues outside of the multi-stakeholder model.</p> <p>- Continue to emphasize the benefits of regional, national, sub-national, and local approaches that can take into consideration circumstances on the ground, rather than press for universal approaches</p>

			<p>-The AHEG should consider the role for existing, enhanced, or new public private partnerships that can promote targeted actions and capacity building or assist with resource mobilization.</p> <p>-The AHEG should consider innovative approaches to mobilize non-governmental resources and financing. The business community has already committed more than a billion dollars of finance that will help countries reduce their MPL discharges. Foundations and non-governmental organizations can further compliment private sector investment and engagement.</p> <p>-The AHEG should recognize and build on the current work undertaken by the Global Partnership on Marine Litter to reduce MPL. This effort could be given further attention and strengthened to improve its reach and effectiveness.</p> <p>-Regionally, the AHEG should consider the existing instruments such as regional seas programs, regional</p>	
--	--	--	---	--



			<p>fisheries bodies, and river basin committees as effective options to galvanize action.</p> <p>-The AHEG should also consider ways to facilitate the development and support of national action plans that may also facilitate action at the subnational or local level.</p>	
<a href="#">Vietnam</a>	<p>Barriers:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- How to mobilize sufficient financial resources to combating marine litter and microplastics?</li> <li>- Need a Roadmap for transition towards circular economy for plastics within Vietnam's conditions.</li> <li>- For combating marine litter and micro plastics, there are some barriers cannot be addressed domestically (but may be effectively addressed across the board globally).</li> </ul> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Legislation and governance framework needed at international level</li> <li>2. Call for industry engagement.</li> <li>3. Science and Knowledge</li> </ol>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- It is suggested that UNEA apply expert judgment methodology to assess the feasibility and effectiveness of the different response options.</li> <li>- COVID-19 poses significant challenges for continued works by the UNEA as it imposes significant limitations in terms of movement, access and association. This will require rethinking of plan and work delivery mechanisms across all areas and sectors during the affected time.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Support for building a global treaty within UN in order to help UN's member nations addressing plastic pollution. We suggest response 3 options, actions within global treaty, which of these should be voluntary, which of these should be binding, in the below table:</li> </ul>

	<p>4. Managing Transboundary Plastic Waste</p> <p>5. Methodology on monitoring, standards, regular reporting, stocktaking. Currently, all over the world, Vietnam and other States have begun to introduce policy measures and regulatory interventions aimed at curbing the leakage of plastic into the environment.</p> <p>6. Technical Assistance and Technology Transfer.</p> <p>7. Capacity building</p> <p>- Viet Nam has made great efforts through strong political commitments as well as practical activities in managing waste reduction. Viet Nam has recognized the issues on “marine litter” being highly priority as reflected in the issued policies. We has adopted a development strategy to promote maritime economy in parallel with protecting maritime environment and ecosystem. One of specific objectives until 2030 settled out</p>			<p><b>I. Transition towards circular economy for plastics</b>  Policy measures can change the linear global economy for plastics into one that is circular, by ensuring global cooperation and creating consistent global standards from source materials to waste management and recovery</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1108 272 1283 337">The life cycle of plastics</th> <th data-bbox="1283 272 1717 337">Recommended Global Activity</th> <th data-bbox="1717 272 1829 337">Should this be binding?</th> <th data-bbox="1829 272 1906 337">Should this be voluntary</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1108 337 1283 548">1) Source material</td> <td data-bbox="1283 337 1717 548"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Promote the use of raw materials with low carbon, low environmental footprint for plastic production</li> <li>- Introduce regulation on subsidies and incentives for fossil-based primary feedstock</li> <li>- Identify better or equal alternative materials</li> </ul> </td> <td data-bbox="1717 337 1829 548"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X (minimum requirement)</li> <li>X (minimum requirement)</li> </ul> </td> <td data-bbox="1829 337 1906 548"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X</li> <li>X</li> <li>X</li> </ul> </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1108 548 1283 716">2) Production</td> <td data-bbox="1283 548 1717 716"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Innovate and redesign plastics.</li> <li>- Regulate certain types, composition and production methods of plastics</li> <li>- Reduction of production of certain LDPEs</li> <li>- Introduce eco-labelling standards for plastic products</li> </ul> </td> <td data-bbox="1717 548 1829 716"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X</li> <li>X</li> </ul> </td> <td data-bbox="1829 548 1906 716"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X</li> <li>X</li> </ul> </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1108 716 1283 841">3) International trade</td> <td data-bbox="1283 716 1717 841"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Regulate and control the import and export of plastic and plastic products.</li> <li>- Strengthen compliance to international standards in the trade of plastic waste, linked to the Basel Convention.</li> </ul> </td> <td data-bbox="1717 716 1829 841"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X</li> </ul> </td> <td data-bbox="1829 716 1906 841"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X</li> </ul> </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1108 841 1283 966">4) Consumption</td> <td data-bbox="1283 841 1717 966"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Reduce consumption of unnecessary single use plastic.</li> <li>- Ban on certain LDPEs, problematic plastics</li> <li>- Create a labelling mechanism to promote better consumer choices</li> </ul> </td> <td data-bbox="1717 841 1829 966"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X</li> </ul> </td> <td data-bbox="1829 841 1906 966"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X</li> <li>X</li> </ul> </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1108 966 1283 1235">5) Waste management and material recovery</td> <td data-bbox="1283 966 1717 1235"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Implement Extended Producer Responsibility schemes to support private sector participation</li> <li>- Set international standards on waste management practices, including export and import of recycled waste.</li> <li>- Create an international financial mechanism for waste management and recovery.</li> <li>- Introduce national laws and regulations on waste management to ensure compliance by waste management facilities.</li> </ul> </td> <td data-bbox="1717 966 1829 1235"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X</li> <li>X</li> <li>X</li> </ul> </td> <td data-bbox="1829 966 1906 1235"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> <li></li> <li></li> <li>X</li> </ul> </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1108 1235 1283 1321">6) Clean-up/removal</td> <td data-bbox="1283 1235 1717 1321"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Consider regional efforts for the removal of fishing gear and plastic litters.</li> <li>- National action on clean up</li> </ul> </td> <td data-bbox="1717 1235 1829 1321"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X</li> </ul> </td> <td data-bbox="1829 1235 1906 1321"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X</li> </ul> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	The life cycle of plastics	Recommended Global Activity	Should this be binding?	Should this be voluntary	1) Source material	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Promote the use of raw materials with low carbon, low environmental footprint for plastic production</li> <li>- Introduce regulation on subsidies and incentives for fossil-based primary feedstock</li> <li>- Identify better or equal alternative materials</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X (minimum requirement)</li> <li>X (minimum requirement)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X</li> <li>X</li> <li>X</li> </ul>	2) Production	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Innovate and redesign plastics.</li> <li>- Regulate certain types, composition and production methods of plastics</li> <li>- Reduction of production of certain LDPEs</li> <li>- Introduce eco-labelling standards for plastic products</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X</li> <li>X</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X</li> <li>X</li> </ul>	3) International trade	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Regulate and control the import and export of plastic and plastic products.</li> <li>- Strengthen compliance to international standards in the trade of plastic waste, linked to the Basel Convention.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X</li> </ul>	4) Consumption	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Reduce consumption of unnecessary single use plastic.</li> <li>- Ban on certain LDPEs, problematic plastics</li> <li>- Create a labelling mechanism to promote better consumer choices</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X</li> <li>X</li> </ul>	5) Waste management and material recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Implement Extended Producer Responsibility schemes to support private sector participation</li> <li>- Set international standards on waste management practices, including export and import of recycled waste.</li> <li>- Create an international financial mechanism for waste management and recovery.</li> <li>- Introduce national laws and regulations on waste management to ensure compliance by waste management facilities.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X</li> <li>X</li> <li>X</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> <li></li> <li></li> <li>X</li> </ul>	6) Clean-up/removal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Consider regional efforts for the removal of fishing gear and plastic litters.</li> <li>- National action on clean up</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X</li> </ul>
The life cycle of plastics	Recommended Global Activity	Should this be binding?	Should this be voluntary																													
1) Source material	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Promote the use of raw materials with low carbon, low environmental footprint for plastic production</li> <li>- Introduce regulation on subsidies and incentives for fossil-based primary feedstock</li> <li>- Identify better or equal alternative materials</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X (minimum requirement)</li> <li>X (minimum requirement)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X</li> <li>X</li> <li>X</li> </ul>																													
2) Production	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Innovate and redesign plastics.</li> <li>- Regulate certain types, composition and production methods of plastics</li> <li>- Reduction of production of certain LDPEs</li> <li>- Introduce eco-labelling standards for plastic products</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X</li> <li>X</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X</li> <li>X</li> </ul>																													
3) International trade	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Regulate and control the import and export of plastic and plastic products.</li> <li>- Strengthen compliance to international standards in the trade of plastic waste, linked to the Basel Convention.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X</li> </ul>																													
4) Consumption	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Reduce consumption of unnecessary single use plastic.</li> <li>- Ban on certain LDPEs, problematic plastics</li> <li>- Create a labelling mechanism to promote better consumer choices</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X</li> <li>X</li> </ul>																													
5) Waste management and material recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Implement Extended Producer Responsibility schemes to support private sector participation</li> <li>- Set international standards on waste management practices, including export and import of recycled waste.</li> <li>- Create an international financial mechanism for waste management and recovery.</li> <li>- Introduce national laws and regulations on waste management to ensure compliance by waste management facilities.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X</li> <li>X</li> <li>X</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> <li></li> <li></li> <li>X</li> </ul>																													
6) Clean-up/removal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Consider regional efforts for the removal of fishing gear and plastic litters.</li> <li>- National action on clean up</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X</li> </ul>																													

	<p>by the Strategy is “Preventing, controlling and significantly mitigating marine environmental pollution; being a regional pioneer in reducing ocean plastic waste”. The National Action Plan (NAP) on marine plastic litter management through 2030 sets requirements of “Successfully implement Viet Nam’s initiatives and international commitments to address plastic waste issues with a focus on marine plastic litter”, “Maintain and develop cooperation with international organizations on the sea issues; proactively sign and implementing international treaties; and coordinate in the control and management of marine plastic litter”</p> <p>-The challenges of Marine Litter and Micro-plastics are global, and require global solutions and initiatives framed within national and regional priorities. At the G7 Summit in</p>			<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4"><b>11. There are some barriers cannot be addressed domestically (but may be effectively addressed across the board globally)</b></th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th><b>Recommended Global Mechanisms:</b></th> <th><b>Should this be binding?</b></th> <th><b>Should this be voluntary?</b></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1) Mobilize sufficient financial resources to combating marine litter and microplastics</td> <td>- Developed countries to be called upon to contribute to financial mechanisms - Financial organizations have commitments for contributions</td> <td>X X</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2) Legislation and governance framework needed at international level</td> <td>- Support from other countries to ensure effectiveness of new national measures to address plastic pollution  - Regulation on the import and export of plastic materials (including fossil-based plastics), products and waste.  - Reduction in the production and consumption of certain plastics</td> <td>X</td> <td>X   X</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3) National Commitments</td> <td>- All commitments should be specific, measurable and time-bound.  - All parties commit to adopt and implement a national action plan with set goals and targets, which can follow the recommendations of UN SDG 14.1.  - There should be strong national commitments regarding contributions to match the ambition of the global goal. Differentiate between targets of developed and developing nations.  - Regular reporting that includes general guidelines for methodology of monitoring and verification, as well as voluntary methodology as appropriate in the national context.  - Legal framework upgraded to match with the global treaty.</td> <td>X  X    X</td> <td>X   X   X</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4) Call for industry engagement</td> <td>- Global rules, standards or recommended practices for “Industry engagement” and need to extend producer responsibility  - Public-Private Partnerships  - Polluter-Pays Principle  - Consider mandatory reporting/verification of companies’ compliance with regulations or commitments, including those on eco-labelling</td> <td>X (minimum requirement)  X  X</td> <td>X    X</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<b>11. There are some barriers cannot be addressed domestically (but may be effectively addressed across the board globally)</b>					<b>Recommended Global Mechanisms:</b>	<b>Should this be binding?</b>	<b>Should this be voluntary?</b>	1) Mobilize sufficient financial resources to combating marine litter and microplastics	- Developed countries to be called upon to contribute to financial mechanisms - Financial organizations have commitments for contributions	X X		2) Legislation and governance framework needed at international level	- Support from other countries to ensure effectiveness of new national measures to address plastic pollution  - Regulation on the import and export of plastic materials (including fossil-based plastics), products and waste.  - Reduction in the production and consumption of certain plastics	X	X   X	3) National Commitments	- All commitments should be specific, measurable and time-bound.  - All parties commit to adopt and implement a national action plan with set goals and targets, which can follow the recommendations of UN SDG 14.1.  - There should be strong national commitments regarding contributions to match the ambition of the global goal. Differentiate between targets of developed and developing nations.  - Regular reporting that includes general guidelines for methodology of monitoring and verification, as well as voluntary methodology as appropriate in the national context.  - Legal framework upgraded to match with the global treaty.	X  X    X	X   X   X	4) Call for industry engagement	- Global rules, standards or recommended practices for “Industry engagement” and need to extend producer responsibility  - Public-Private Partnerships  - Polluter-Pays Principle  - Consider mandatory reporting/verification of companies’ compliance with regulations or commitments, including those on eco-labelling	X (minimum requirement)  X  X	X    X
<b>11. There are some barriers cannot be addressed domestically (but may be effectively addressed across the board globally)</b>																												
	<b>Recommended Global Mechanisms:</b>	<b>Should this be binding?</b>	<b>Should this be voluntary?</b>																									
1) Mobilize sufficient financial resources to combating marine litter and microplastics	- Developed countries to be called upon to contribute to financial mechanisms - Financial organizations have commitments for contributions	X X																										
2) Legislation and governance framework needed at international level	- Support from other countries to ensure effectiveness of new national measures to address plastic pollution  - Regulation on the import and export of plastic materials (including fossil-based plastics), products and waste.  - Reduction in the production and consumption of certain plastics	X	X   X																									
3) National Commitments	- All commitments should be specific, measurable and time-bound.  - All parties commit to adopt and implement a national action plan with set goals and targets, which can follow the recommendations of UN SDG 14.1.  - There should be strong national commitments regarding contributions to match the ambition of the global goal. Differentiate between targets of developed and developing nations.  - Regular reporting that includes general guidelines for methodology of monitoring and verification, as well as voluntary methodology as appropriate in the national context.  - Legal framework upgraded to match with the global treaty.	X  X    X	X   X   X																									
4) Call for industry engagement	- Global rules, standards or recommended practices for “Industry engagement” and need to extend producer responsibility  - Public-Private Partnerships  - Polluter-Pays Principle  - Consider mandatory reporting/verification of companies’ compliance with regulations or commitments, including those on eco-labelling	X (minimum requirement)  X  X	X    X																									

<p>Canada in June 2018, the Prime Minister advocated for a “plastic free ocean” initiative, and called for a Global Cooperation Mechanism for Plastic Litter Mitigation with joint actions from all relevant countries for ever-blue oceans full of fish and shrimps and free from plastic, serving as valuable assets for future generations. The Government made a request to Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment (MONRE), including “Proactively, actively participate in and propose for implementing cooperation initiatives at international and regional forums”, “Promote the formation of a regional and international cooperation framework on prevention and mitigation of ocean plastic waste”.</p>					- Remove investment barriers for industry to promote innovative solutions to material recovery and recycling		X
				5) Science & Knowledge	- Innovative researches and methodologies regarding plastic waste/micro plastics e.g. plastic characteristics ecological, health impacts, harmful plastic waste leakage linked to key sources or pathways, etc.		X
					- Sharing, announcement of achievement to relevant authorities and parties.		X
					- Building an Regional – level Plastic Study Centre in order to exchange science & knowledge between nations of region	X	
				6) Managing Transboundary Plastic Waste	- An international or regional mechanism for monitoring and reporting of transboundary plastic waste flows, especially in international waters	X	
7) Measuring Progress - monitoring, standards, regular reporting, stocktaking	- Adoption of Common Standards for Measuring Progress	X					
	- National regular report	X					
	- Transparent Reporting and Review System	X					
8) Capacity-Building/Technology Transfer –	- Capacity considerations		X				
	- Sharing information/data and technologies obtained to each other;		X				
	- Technology transferring;		X				
	- Building platforms for information exchange	X					
	- Capacity building programmes	X					
<b>Major Groups and Stakeholders</b>							
<a href="#">CIEL, EIA, gaia</a>	- A number of existing conventions and agreements could be or are actively taking	-It is increasingly clear, however, that to prevent plastic pollution		Pillars			

	<p>steps to address aspects of plastic pollution. However, none of the existing frameworks is specifically designed to prevent increasing flows of plastic pollution into the biosphere, nor to comprehensively manage the plastic pollution already present in the biosphere</p> <p>-</p>	<p>in the marine and other environments, the global community will need a dedicated instrument, a Convention on Plastic Pollution, that addresses the full lifecycle of plastics from production and design to waste prevention and management.<sup>15, 16</sup> The Convention on Plastic Pollution should build upon and complement existing regional and global frameworks, allowing them to contribute within their core competencies, while otherwise filling the significant gaps that must be addressed in order to eliminate the long-term discharge of plastic pollution into our oceans and promote a safe circular</p>		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1115 196 1499 272"> <b>PILLAR 1 MONITORING AND REPORTING</b> </th> <th data-bbox="1499 196 1890 272"> <b>PILLAR 2 PLASTIC POLLUTION PREVENTION</b> </th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1115 272 1499 349"> <b>Monitoring and reporting on the state of the environment and implementation</b> </td> <td data-bbox="1499 272 1890 349"> <b>Measures to reduce plastic pollution and promote a safe circular economy for plastics</b> </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1115 349 1499 1057"> <p><b>Harmonisation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Definitions</li> <li>• Methodologies (monitoring, reporting)</li> <li>• Standardised formats</li> </ul> <p><b>Environmental monitoring</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Baselines (seafloor, seawater, shoreline, biota, freshwater, soils)</li> <li>• Indicator species</li> <li>• Evolution of plastic pollution in marine and other environments</li> </ul> <p><b>National data reporting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• National inventories and sources: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- virgin plastic production and use</li> <li>- recycled plastic production and use</li> <li>- plastic-waste management</li> <li>- plastic-waste trade</li> <li>- land-based sources</li> <li>- sea-based sources</li> <li>- microplastics</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Evolution of circular economy and leakage</li> </ul> <p><b>Reporting on national action</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Submission of national action plans</li> <li>• Periodic review and update</li> </ul> <p><b>Periodic comprehensive assessments</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Progress toward global objectives</li> <li>• Scientific and socio-economic reviews</li> </ul> </td> <td data-bbox="1499 349 1890 1057"> <p><b>Global objectives</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Long-term elimination of discharges</li> <li>• Safe circular economy for plastics</li> </ul> <p><b>National action plans</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policies and legislation: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- targets and market restrictions</li> <li>- waste prevention and management</li> <li>- recycling and secondary markets</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Sustainable financing mechanisms</li> <li>• Infrastructure investments</li> <li>• International and regional commitments</li> </ul> <p><b>Microplastics</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Intentionally added (e.g. microbeads, fertilisers)</li> <li>• Wear and tear (e.g. tyres, textiles)</li> <li>• Mismanagement (e.g. pellets)</li> </ul> <p><b>Standardisation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Labelling</li> <li>• Product design and additive restrictions</li> <li>• Certification schemes</li> <li>• Voluntary industry standards</li> </ul> <p><b>Virgin plastic production and use</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Controls and quality standards</li> </ul> <p><b>Remediation and legacy pollution</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protocols and guidelines</li> </ul> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<b>PILLAR 1 MONITORING AND REPORTING</b>	<b>PILLAR 2 PLASTIC POLLUTION PREVENTION</b>	<b>Monitoring and reporting on the state of the environment and implementation</b>	<b>Measures to reduce plastic pollution and promote a safe circular economy for plastics</b>	<p><b>Harmonisation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Definitions</li> <li>• Methodologies (monitoring, reporting)</li> <li>• Standardised formats</li> </ul> <p><b>Environmental monitoring</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Baselines (seafloor, seawater, shoreline, biota, freshwater, soils)</li> <li>• Indicator species</li> <li>• Evolution of plastic pollution in marine and other environments</li> </ul> <p><b>National data reporting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• National inventories and sources: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- virgin plastic production and use</li> <li>- recycled plastic production and use</li> <li>- plastic-waste management</li> <li>- plastic-waste trade</li> <li>- land-based sources</li> <li>- sea-based sources</li> <li>- microplastics</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Evolution of circular economy and leakage</li> </ul> <p><b>Reporting on national action</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Submission of national action plans</li> <li>• Periodic review and update</li> </ul> <p><b>Periodic comprehensive assessments</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Progress toward global objectives</li> <li>• Scientific and socio-economic reviews</li> </ul>	<p><b>Global objectives</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Long-term elimination of discharges</li> <li>• Safe circular economy for plastics</li> </ul> <p><b>National action plans</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policies and legislation: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- targets and market restrictions</li> <li>- waste prevention and management</li> <li>- recycling and secondary markets</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Sustainable financing mechanisms</li> <li>• Infrastructure investments</li> <li>• International and regional commitments</li> </ul> <p><b>Microplastics</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Intentionally added (e.g. microbeads, fertilisers)</li> <li>• Wear and tear (e.g. tyres, textiles)</li> <li>• Mismanagement (e.g. pellets)</li> </ul> <p><b>Standardisation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Labelling</li> <li>• Product design and additive restrictions</li> <li>• Certification schemes</li> <li>• Voluntary industry standards</li> </ul> <p><b>Virgin plastic production and use</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Controls and quality standards</li> </ul> <p><b>Remediation and legacy pollution</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protocols and guidelines</li> </ul>
<b>PILLAR 1 MONITORING AND REPORTING</b>	<b>PILLAR 2 PLASTIC POLLUTION PREVENTION</b>									
<b>Monitoring and reporting on the state of the environment and implementation</b>	<b>Measures to reduce plastic pollution and promote a safe circular economy for plastics</b>									
<p><b>Harmonisation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Definitions</li> <li>• Methodologies (monitoring, reporting)</li> <li>• Standardised formats</li> </ul> <p><b>Environmental monitoring</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Baselines (seafloor, seawater, shoreline, biota, freshwater, soils)</li> <li>• Indicator species</li> <li>• Evolution of plastic pollution in marine and other environments</li> </ul> <p><b>National data reporting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• National inventories and sources: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- virgin plastic production and use</li> <li>- recycled plastic production and use</li> <li>- plastic-waste management</li> <li>- plastic-waste trade</li> <li>- land-based sources</li> <li>- sea-based sources</li> <li>- microplastics</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Evolution of circular economy and leakage</li> </ul> <p><b>Reporting on national action</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Submission of national action plans</li> <li>• Periodic review and update</li> </ul> <p><b>Periodic comprehensive assessments</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Progress toward global objectives</li> <li>• Scientific and socio-economic reviews</li> </ul>	<p><b>Global objectives</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Long-term elimination of discharges</li> <li>• Safe circular economy for plastics</li> </ul> <p><b>National action plans</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policies and legislation: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- targets and market restrictions</li> <li>- waste prevention and management</li> <li>- recycling and secondary markets</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Sustainable financing mechanisms</li> <li>• Infrastructure investments</li> <li>• International and regional commitments</li> </ul> <p><b>Microplastics</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Intentionally added (e.g. microbeads, fertilisers)</li> <li>• Wear and tear (e.g. tyres, textiles)</li> <li>• Mismanagement (e.g. pellets)</li> </ul> <p><b>Standardisation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Labelling</li> <li>• Product design and additive restrictions</li> <li>• Certification schemes</li> <li>• Voluntary industry standards</li> </ul> <p><b>Virgin plastic production and use</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Controls and quality standards</li> </ul> <p><b>Remediation and legacy pollution</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protocols and guidelines</li> </ul>									

		economy for plastics which is just and safeguards the climate system.17		<p style="text-align: center;"><b>PILLAR 3 COORDINATION</b></p> <p><b>Coordination with other international and regional instruments on relevant topics</b></p> <p><b>Sea-based sources (including fishing gear)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• International Maritime Organization (IMO)</li> <li>• Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO)</li> </ul> <p><b>Plastic waste trade</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Basel Convention</li> <li>• Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) and regional instruments</li> </ul> <p><b>Chemicals and additives</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Stockholm Convention</li> <li>• Strategic Approach to Integrated Chemical Management (SAICM)</li> </ul> <p><b>Biodiversity</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)</li> <li>• Convention on Migratory Species (CMS)</li> <li>• International Whaling Commission (IWC)</li> </ul> <p><b>Climate change</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)</li> <li>• Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)</li> </ul> <p><b>Agriculture</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO)</li> </ul> <p><b>Cross-regional knowledge exchange</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Regional seas conventions and programmes</li> <li>• Regional fisheries management organisations</li> </ul>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>PILLAR 4 TECHNICAL AND FINANCIAL SUPPORT</b></p> <p><b>Technical support to policymakers and financial support to developing countries</b></p> <p><b>Scientific Assessment Panel</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Periodic comprehensive assessments</li> <li>• Ad hoc reports</li> </ul> <p><b>Socio-Economic Assessment Panel</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Periodic comprehensive assessments</li> <li>• Ad hoc reports</li> </ul> <p><b>Implementing and bilateral agencies</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Technical assistance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- capacity-building and training</li> <li>- policy development</li> <li>- monitoring and reporting</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Best practices and knowledge exchanges</li> </ul> <p><b>Financial resources and mechanism</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Enabling activities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- capacity-building and training</li> <li>- policy development</li> <li>- monitoring and reporting</li> <li>- institutional strengthening</li> <li>- Pilot and demonstration projects</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Incremental costs</li> </ul> <p><b>Implementation and compliance mechanism</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Implementation guidance</li> <li>• Assistance for countries in non-compliance</li> </ul>
<a href="#">India Water Foundation</a>	<p>-Going through the existing and potential response, the current options and activities are not contributing enough with regard to marine litter and microplastics at global level.</p> <p>-Stressing that the solution to addressing marine litter requires</p>	<p>-Eritrea had discussed measures to reduce and eliminate marine plastics through legislation and regulatory enforcement and working with the private sector to</p>		<p>- There is a noted consensus on the impacts of global microplastics contamination and their effects on the environment and human health, there is the need for urgent action based on current research and understanding. The chemical additives in plastics are hazardous to human health and the environment.</p> <p>-New legally binding instrument is still not an ideal solution due to the time it takes to negotiate a new instrument, citing the 13-year negotiations towards a legally binding instrument under UNCLOS on the conservation and sustainable use of marine biological diversity.</p> <p>- The adoption of circular economy via Reduce reuse and recycling is one of the most effective tool to combat pollution. Private sector should be encouraged and engaged to introduce recycling effectively</p>	



	<p>global and transboundary action, Liberia had noted before that the UN Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS) only addresses some aspects of pollution in the protection of the marine environment.</p> <p>-It has been discussed previously by several representatives the difficulties faced in attempting to calculate and place a monetary value on the costs and benefits of various response options, particularly when including environmental and social costs in addition to economic costs.</p> <p>-For some countries like Bangladesh, the alternatives to plastic carrier bags had proved to be more expensive.</p> <p>-The US showed studies have shown that the price for alternatives to some plastics can be as high as four times, and noted that some alternatives also contain harmful substances.</p>	<p>introduce recycling.</p> <p>-Liberia underscored the need for cost effective solutions to reduce marine litter in order to ensure sustainability.</p> <p>-Haiti had called for a more holistic approach, involving regional and international cooperation.</p> <p>-Another NGO Major Group noted the consensus on the impacts of global microplastics contamination and their effects on the environment and human health, stressing the need for urgent action based on current research and understanding.</p> <p>The chemical additives in plastics are hazardous to human health and the environment.</p>		<p>- Countries must foster a coordinated governance strategy towards a more holistic view of the cause-effect pathways, evaluate socio-economic environmental consequences, strengthen awareness and share knowledge, share innovations and case studies, technology transfer, adopt circular principles and enhance capacity building to address the issue of marine plastic litter and microplastics.</p> <p>-Countries should come to a consensus to tackle plastic pollution and incorporate environmentally sound best practices within the national context.</p> <p>Two-thirds of the plastic pollution entering our oceans from across the world come from the 20 most polluting rivers, out of 10 highest polluting rivers, Ganga stands 2nd this is not surprising when India annually dumps 6 lakh tonnes of plastic which finally enters the oceans and with a vast India's coastline of 7516.6 km stopping plastic waste from entering the ocean is a huge challenge. NGO's like us are constantly working among grass roots, communities residing on the banks of rivers and along the coasts line to inculcate behavioural change and create awareness about plastic use and marine litter. For example in India the northeastern state of Sikkim was the first state to ban plastics bottles &amp; disposable foam products to reduce its plastic footprint and manage its waste in a more efficient and eco-friendly manner.</p>
--	--	--	--	--

<p><a href="#">WWF</a></p>	<p>-Existing legal framework covering marine plastic pollution is fragmented and ineffective</p> <p><b>-Lack of systematic scientific research and monitoring</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Most of the data available on leakage rates and sources of plastic pollution are rough estimates and extrapolations</li> <li>✓ No internationally agreed methodology in place for measuring leakage of plastic into the environment</li> <li>✓ There is no agreed format for how such data should be reported for comparison and interoperability</li> </ul> <p><b>-Lack of coordination, transparency and reporting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ There is a general lack of knowledge about and overview of the policy measures implemented by States in order to</li> </ul>	<p>-A legally binding framework that clearly stipulates the direction (goal of zero discharge of plastic into the ocean), the ambition (reduction targets), and the required measures for getting there (a comprehensive implementation support architecture)</p> <p>-Continuously improved knowledge about the problem and its causes</p> <p>-Make sure necessary data is collected, organized, compared and published, and that scientific research and recommendations are made available to decision-makers</p> <p>-A set of harmonized methodologies for measuring and monitoring the problem, and a platform for</p>	<p>- Urges member states to use the Ad Hoc Open-Ended Expert Group to discuss the scope, parameters and possible elements of a legally binding instrument to eliminate the discharge of marine plastic pollution into the ocean – both from land-based and from sea-based sources.</p> <p>- Lessons and inspiration should be drawn from other international conventions that have proven successful in catalysing progress towards the resolution of global environmental problems. This includes, but is not limited to, the Montreal Protocol, MARPOL, the Stockholm Convention, the UNFCCC (incl. the Paris Agreement), and the Minamata Convention.</p>	<p>-An obligation to develop and implement effective national action plans, on prevention, control and removal, sufficiently ambitious to achieve the national reduction targets.</p> <p>-An agreed measurement, reporting and verification scheme for tracking marine litter and microplastics discharge and the progress made to eliminate them at a national and international level.</p> <p>-The establishment of an intergovernmental panel of experts that can assess and track the extent of the problem, and collate state-of-the-art knowledge to provide inputs for decision- making and implementation.</p> <p>-A global funding arrangement to support the effective implementation of the treaty by all States, including for infrastructure development, international clean-up operations and innovation into alternative product design, product technology and waste management.</p> <p>-An explicit ban on certain acts considered to defeat the object and purpose of the treaty, including deliberate dumping of plastic waste in river systems and internal waters that flow towards the sea.</p> <p>-A commitment to develop common methods, definitions, standards and regulations for an efficient and coordinated global effort to combat marine plastic pollution, including, for instance, specific bans on certain high-risk categories of plastic deemed to be impossible to safely collect and manage.</p>
----------------------------	--	--	--	--



	<p>prevent, control and recover marine plastic pollution, and considerable uncertainty in terms of the progress made towards the long-term goal of eliminating all discharge of plastic litter and microplastics into the marine environment</p> <p>✓ No proper overview of efforts exists, and we don't know if they are working</p> <p>-The current aid flows are currently insufficient to solve the problem, and are also scattered and largely uncoordinated. Lack of technical expertise and support. Limited sharing of know-how and best-practices</p> <p>RESOURCES</p> <p>RULES-GAP</p> <p>- Lack of agreed and globally applicable rules, standards and obligations for tackling the problem</p>	<p>assessing and communicating to this information,</p> <p>-A dedicated intergovernmental scientific body tasked with reviewing the extent of the problem, evaluating trajectories towards achieving the vision of zero discharge of plastic into the ocean, and advising on action required</p>		
<p><a href="#">Association Welfare</a></p>				<p>-Option 1: Status quo</p> <p>-Option 2: Existing mechanism(s) with some modification</p>

				<p>-Option 3: New Global Mechanism</p> <p>- Our position on Option 1 This is logically, technically and morally un acceptable</p> <p>- Our Position on Option 2 This is the best of the 3 options. There are many existing mechanisms that can be used to take the lead and guide the international community and national governments. We believe the current group at the UNE Secretariat in Nairobi is capable of playing this role if it is given the necessary support. It can work together with the SAICM Secretariat and secretariats of BRS Conventions. It will save us a lot of time and other resources.</p> <p>-Our position on option 3 This option has a lot of bottlenecks. First nobody is 100% sure how many years it may take to negotiate such a global treaty. The possibility of wasting many years negotiating are there. The Paris Agreement is something that we shouldn't forget or repeat. It took 21 years to reach an agreement. Second nobody can guarantee that at the end of the day we will have a legally binding agreement. Again the Paris Agreement is a bitter example. After 21 years of protracted negotiations we ended up with a non-legally binding treaty though expectations all along the way were on a legally binding agreement. Another example is SAICM. For almost 3 years of negotiating on the expectation of a legally binding SAICM we ended with a voluntary SAICM. Third is that even when a treaty is perceived to be 'legally binding' in practice its isn't. Non-compliance with 'legally binding' treaties and failure to hold those who don't comply are a common practice. The legally binding nature of an international treaty is based on the ability to enforce its provisions and to hold accountable and take corrective measures on those who defy it. We do not see a chance for such a treaty to come out if we decided to embark on negotiations. However we leave the door open for any of the 2 last options so long as the following key elements form part and parcel of any of these two options:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The option provides clear and measurable support to other agreed international initiatives particularly Agenda 2030 (17 SDGs) and the Paris Agreement.</li> <li>2. The option embraces all and not only one or two of the 3 pillars of sustainable development i.e. social, economic and ecological pillars. We are concerned in particular about the trend to down play the social pillar and give more attention to ecologic and economic pillars. We have seen interest on actions such as bans of single use plastics without due consideration to jobs and livelihoods. Developing countries are facing masses of unemployed youths threatening peace, security and social harmony. Many women earn their living within the plastic industry (upstream and downstream). An option that may result into increased rates of un employment and widening of inequalities is not only nonproductive but also ethically incorrect.</li> <li>3. The option must be supported by a robust and long term financial mechanism that is accessible to all Parties and all stakeholders including CSOs and</li> </ol>
--	--	--	--	---

				<p>communities. The mechanism must also have a good balance between adaptation (e.g. cleanup) and mitigation (e.g. technologies) measures.</p> <p>4. The options must recognize the principle of common but differentiated responsibilities between and within countries.</p> <p>5. Lastly but not least the agreed option must avoid the mistake of downplaying the role of business and industry that is common in many other initiatives.</p>
--	--	--	--	--

DRAFT