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1. INTRODUCTION

Rural Mongolians traditionally have relied on livestock husbandry as an economic activity to support their nomadic lifestyle. In the early 1990s, due to the collapse of the socialist system Mongolia’s GDP fell by about 20% and many industries closed resulting in high unemployment and a dramatic increase in poverty. Additionally, recurrent drought and severe winters between 1997 and 2002 led to the death of many livestock. It is estimated that 7 million head of cattle and other livestock died, pushing rural communities into extreme poverty and economic desperation. Some Mongolians found an alternative livelihood in the country’s vast, easily accessible, and rich near-surface mineral deposits, tailings from former Soviet era mines, and abandoned underground mines. As a result, a large rural population became engaged in artisanal mining pioneered by experienced former mine employees who knew the location of various potential deposits. With, this a new phenomenon called “ninja mining” emerged.

i. General characterization of ASGM in Mongolia

Within a decade, from the early 1990s to 2003, the number of artisanal miners rose from zero to 100,000 creating a serious challenge to both policy makers and the public, neither of whom had previous experience with ASGM. To the miners ASGM was an alternative source of income and a way to survive, whilst to policy makers and the general public ASGM was illegal and a nuisance to be stopped. However, attempts to force ASGM to stop did not succeed and programs to regulate it were put in place.

Currently, the number of artisanal miners is estimated to be 100,000 which represents about 20% of the rural workforce. Statistics from the Mineral Resources Authority of Mongolia (2010) suggest that ASGM occurs in over 100 “soums”, or counties, in 18 provinces (out of 21) with about 61,000 artisanal miners extracting up to 10 different types of minerals, mainly gold, fluorspar, coal, semi-precious stones, tungsten, and petrified wood. Ninety percent of the artisanal miners are engaged in gold mining, both primary and placer.

A survey from the Sustainable Artisanal Mining Project of SDC (SAM) indicates that miners earn an average of US $176 per month, which is about 57% above the Mongolian minimum wage. Miners from registered primary gold mining sites can earn up to US $360 per month. These are some of the immediate impacts of formalization, whereby miners can access satisfactory deposits from which to derive a secure source of income.

2. Mercury in ASGM

Mongolia, like many countries with ASGM, was not spared from widespread mercury use for gold recovery during processing. Amalgamation was rampant in the processing of primary gold ore. Mercury was mainly used for whole ore amalgamation in Chilean type mills and mortar and pestle concentrates with significant losses to the environment. In alluvial gold mining artisanal miners do not use mercury.

Mercury use in mining was banned by the Mongolian government in 2008 but clandestine amalgamation still goes on in different parts of the country, especially where there are no centralized processing plants.

High levels of mercury use in ASGM led the Mongolian government and International organizations to conduct various surveys and research and mitigation programs in 2005. A 2006 UN Environment Programme (UNEP) report suggested that 44,790 cubic meters of soil and 1,192 tons of tailings had been contaminated in Khongor soum with 1,427 persons showing various levels of mercury intoxication. In 2007, a report by the National Emergency Management Agency, the Ministry of Nature and Environment, and the State Specialized Inspection Agency indicated evidence of mercury
and cyanide contamination in nine provinces of the Central and the Gobi regions. The government further reported that a total of 53 hectares of land and dozens of wells were polluted by mercury and cyanide and that 200,000 tons of contaminated slime and waste materials were present in 120 sites in 10 provinces.

Eventually, in 2008, the government banned the use of mercury in mining and closed down 145 mercury amalgamation mills. By then, an estimated 10 tons of mercury were smuggled into the country annually and sold to buyers who were largely ignorant of the human and environmental health risks. The mercury ban negatively affected the livelihood of about 25,000 primary gold miners. Whilst the ban was a responsible move by the government to contain an impending environmental and health disaster, it threw miners into yet another livelihood crisis. Some miners resorted to clandestine mercury amalgamation inside their homes, thus creating an even worse situation for their families and children.

To manage processing activities the government decided to allow not more than four centralized processing plants to operate in the whole country. This tends to encourage rather than limit illegal mercury use. Most miners need cash frequently and may not be able to wait long enough to accumulate the minimum amount of ore required to bring to a distant processing plant which could be as far as 200km away from the mining site. The issue of the number and location of processing plants should be influenced by supply and demand variables and availability of entrepreneurs (miners or non miners) willing to invest in the business.

A complete mercury ban may not work for artisanal level miners who expect daily cash income and use mortar and pestle for high grade ores. Experience the world over shows that miners normally finance their operations by daily grading a few selected high grade ores from which they recover gold to sell at the end of the day. It is economical and efficient to use mercury to recover such small quantities. If the miner does not use mercury, the buyer will use it himself for the same pieces of fine gold. If the miner decides to wait to accumulate enough ore for processing at the plant, then the miner is tied to middlemen and sponsors, keeping the miner in a vicious circle of poverty and dependence.

Faced with this situation the Bornuur miners decided on a “Mercury free life” and sought solutions for mercury-free gold processing. Bornuur presents a success story of miners investing in their entrepreneurship capability with a “never say die” commitment to a solution for their own livelihood. The government of Mongolia supported their initiative with a soft loan and the Swiss Agency of Development Corporation provided technical guidance towards fulfillment of the miners’ vision. The processing plant, which has been very successful, is being replicated in two other areas.

i. **Environmental and social impacts**

The emergence of ASGM in Mongolia has had both positive and negative impacts on Mongolian society. Some of the unique impacts are listed in Table 2.

One miner, when asked why he turned to ASGM, had this testimonial:

> “I lost everything to Zud (natural disaster of harsh winter combined with heavy snow). It was 2002. I used to have 600 animals, but after Zud I was left with 18 goats. That’s when I started “panning earth”. Asked if he had found enough. “Well it’s okay. I have 300 animals now.” ix

*Interview with Mr. L Damba, Builsan Mining site, Bumbugur soum.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>Negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highest employer in the Mining sector (100,000 compared to 46,500(^x) in the LSM sector).</td>
<td>Environmental degradation. 53 hectares of land contaminated with mercury.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangible(^x) economic contribution in rural areas.(^{xii}) The Bornuur processing plant produces gold worth US $5 million.</td>
<td>Pastureland degradation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supports about 400,000 Mongolians (13% of the population) with livelihood.</td>
<td>Resource use conflicts with private mining companies and local authorities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pioneered the practice of mercury-free gold processing techniques for both placer and primary deposits.(^{xiii})</td>
<td>Limited access to social services.(^{xiv})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction of rural urban migration.(^{xv})</td>
<td>Increased rural-rural migration, instability of communities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. **ASGM Legalization Process**

Since its emergence, ASGM has been characterized negatively and as a temporary phenomenon. However, as early as 2002 a few policy makers pushed proposals for its regulation and legalization. Overall the Government of Mongolia and Parliament had been working towards establishing a regulatory, organizational, and institutional framework for artisanal gold mining though continuing to view it as a temporary phenomenon. The government appreciated that it could not provide alternatives and ASGM was playing a key role in rural economic development, employment creation, and poverty reduction.

Various proposals were discussed at the Parliamentary and government levels, the most significant milestone being the enactment by the government in 2008 of the “Temporary Regulation on Artisanal Mining Operations”, and the “Sub-Programme for Development of Small-Scale Mining up to 2015”. The Sub-Programme was a positive indication that the government was committed to regulating the development of ASGM. It also established a Small Scale Mining Unit within the Mineral Resources and Petroleum Authority of Mongolia (MRPAM).

The Temporary Regulation was to become a building block for the further development of an ASGM legal framework in Mongolia. Though well intended it lacked some key instruments to support and promote the development of ASGM.

The regulation did not provide impetus or incentives for formalization but reflected an attitude of controlling and reduction of ASGM activities and had no legal instrument with which to directly allocate land to artisanal miners. Some of the limitations of the Temporary Regulation regarded its provisions on the type of organization to engage in ASGM\(^{xvi}\) (defining the type of organization as unregistered partnerships), access to mining land, restricting miners to tailings of large companies, size of mining area per local area available for miners, restrictions on equipment, limitation of processing plants, prohibiting the use of explosives in primary mining, and promoting middlemen in the gold marketing chain. An instance of contradiction in the regulation was the prohibition of explosives in ASGM while providing for centralized primary mining processing plants.
In 2009, an assessment of the implementation of the Temporary Regulation concluded that access to mining land was the main constraint in the Regulation hence it had not been widely implemented. A task force was then set up by the order of the Minister of Minerals and Energy to develop appropriate recommendations for creating an ASGM legal framework based on lessons learned from the Temporary Regulation.

This opportunity was well timed with the presence of the SDC funded SAM Project which played a vital role in facilitating international and local experiences and stakeholder consultations to provide inputs into the development of an appropriate regulatory framework. After several consultations it was concluded that ASGM operations were to be regulated through a permanent regulation rather than a law. As the regulation would be approved by government it would be easier to make adjustments and changes based on implementation feedback compared to changes to a law which would require parliamentary debates.

In 2010, the Mongolian Parliament approved the amendments to the Law on Minerals, Law on Land, and Law on Taxation of Personal Income Derived from Private Business and Service to include provisions for ASGM. This was a giant step in recognizing ASGM as a legal, alternative form of employment and the role it plays in rural economic development. For the miners, it signalled the genesis of a transition from “wild ninjas” to responsible citizens of Mongolian society.

The law amendments define ASGM as small-scale mining (SSM). They specify its definition, the type of organization to engage in ASGM, provide for miners’ access to mining land, and income tax levels. Further to the amendments, the Regulation on the Extraction of Minerals from Small Scale Mines (permanent regulation) was developed and approved by government in December of 2010, followed by approval of guidelines for Occupational safety and Health, Rehabilitation, Tripartite agreement between ASGM, private companies and local government and application forms for mining land. The SAM Project, in Partnership with MMRE and MRAM, have been promoting a rights based empowerment approach to raise awareness of both miners and local authorities on their rights and obligations with regards to the recently approved ASGM legal framework.

i. **Lessons learned in the development of the ASGM legal framework in Mongolia**

- Policy makers delayed developing a suitable legal framework assuming that ASGM was a temporary phenomenon. During that time ASGM did not stop but continued in an unsafe and environmentally unfriendly manner. Policy makers should not shy away from ASGM realities but provide an enabling environment to regulate it. Unless there are other income generation and livelihood possibilities ASGM remains a viable alternative for poverty reduction and rural livelihoods. The connection between formalized miners and responsible mining is evident.

- ASGM has a huge development potential in rural economies. The Bornuur processing plant produces gold worth US $5 million annually. This revenue is injected directly into local economies with local citizens directly benefiting from otherwise non-economic deposits (by LSM standards). This can only be realized when ASGM is formalized and given chance to show its socio-economic benefits.

- A combination of political will and facilitation of international cooperation projects has played a major role in the development of the ASGM regulatory framework in Mongolia.

- Local and international experiences have to be integrated for the development of good policies and regulatory frameworks for ASGM. ASGM challenges are similar in different countries and Mongolia benefited immensely from international study tours and engagement of external experts.

- A good regulatory framework has to empower miners through mineral rights or mining land access. The Temporary regulation failed because it did not provide a legal basis for miners to have access to mining land.
Regulations and laws should have sufficient stakeholder consultation to be more representative and have a better chance for implementation. The Regulation on the Extraction of Minerals from Small Scale Mines had more consultation than the Temporary Regulation, which was mainly developed by government officers using a top-down approach.

**ii. Limitations of the current ASGM legal framework**

- The unregistered partnership defined by the Law is a non commercial entity under the Civil Code. This is a limitation to ASGM development and does not allow ASGM to evolve into other entities, such as private companies, or cooperatives, within the existing legal framework. If ASGM partnerships decide to form into commercial entities, they cease to be ASGM and fall under the Law on Minerals which has high demands specially suited for large mining investment.
- The income tax levied on miners is very high at US $45 per month. Experience shows that the higher the formalization costs the less formalization occurs. Experiences on the ground already indicate resistance from both the local authorities and miners to formalize in some localities. In some locations, government officials even deny the existence of artisanal miners, as the central government now requires them to collect the income tax for their local budget needs. Miners in turn are not willing to register as they will be “officially known” and required to pay taxes. However some innovative localities have agreed with miners to pay tax levels according to the minimum wage (about US $12).
- Equipment sizes used by ASGM are restricted to 500cm³ engine capacity. Restricting equipment sizes to such low levels slows ASGM development leading to unsafe and unproductive processes.
- There is still bureaucracy in the establishment and running of processing plants. This leads to clandestine activities and proliferation of illegal mercury plants.
- Artisanal miners are required to conduct underground mining only under tri-party agreements with LSM companies. However, in reality this is not always possible.

**4. Role of Stakeholders in ASGM Regulatory Framework Development**

To develop an integrated policy on ASGM and a harmonized legal framework several consultations were undertaken in 2009-2010 with more than 400 stakeholders, including MPs, central and local government officials, ASGM representatives, NGOs, and artisanal miners. The miners and local government officers provided feedback and proposals for a better ASGM regulatory framework. Miners, through their Associations or NGOs, provided useful insights to the task force and local Parliament members. Other international organizations working in Mongolia such as the Asia Foundation, ILO, and World Bank contributed to the regulation development process. A team of international ASGM policy experts brought international experience to the table and with meticulous adaptation to the local context produced a stakeholder friendly permanent regulation. Improved provisions included in the Regulation on the Extraction of Minerals from Small Scale Mines reflect on environment and rehabilitation, increased access to mining land, provisions on explosives and blasting, mineral commercialization, rights and responsibilities of the government parties, and the role of the Mineral Resource Authority of Mongolia to provide technical support to miners.

To date Mongolian ASGM boasts a strong level of support by the Sustainable Artisanal Mining Project of the Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation. This project, in partnership with the Ministry of Minerals and Energy, supports development of responsible artisanal mining with a goal of recognizing it as a formal sub-sector and contributor to Mongolia’s economic development. It has been implemented since 2005 and is currently in its third phase until 2014.

There has been remarkable progress in formalization with 7 soums/counties already having mining land contracts with 121 unregistered partnerships; representing 1,868 miners (79% male and 21%
Miners in different sites have deposited approximately US $10,000 to the local rehabilitation fund for environmental management after mining. The Regulation requires each partnership to rehabilitate the mined out area before the local governor approves a new working site. About 35% of registered miners have enrolled for social insurance and 50% for health insurance. The Mongolian Government Agency for Social Insurance is conducting a nationwide awareness program for its officers to enrol and provide services to artisanal miners as legally self-employed persons. The Mining Rescue Services of Mongolia provides safety and mine rescue training for artisanal miners. Six ASGM sites have established their own site safety and rescue teams.

“Appropriate and enabling legal frameworks that support formalization are building blocks for responsible artisanal mining and to that end some miners in Mongolia are demonstrating that responsible ASGM is indeed possible.”

Miners’ Associations and NGOs are engaging stakeholders such as local government, social security service providers, mining companies, civil groups, and international organizations. These Associations are at the early stage of providing services to their members. The weakness in the Minerals Law ASGM amendments is that it recognizes unregistered partnerships but not Associations. The level of mining rights are with the partnerships, and these have limitations such as local government administrative burden, challenges to negotiate with stakeholders at individual capacity, challenges to conduct organized mining activities and compliance to developmental initiatives such as Fairtrade and Fairmined which emphasize community/Association-based mining initiatives. A service provider or organizer in the form of an Association would be required.

5. Economic Instruments in the Formalization of the ASGM Sector

With the ASGM legal framework in place, it has become important to link Mongolian artisanal miners to markets that will pay a premium for responsible ASGM mining practices. Mongolian miners have recently been introduced to the Fairtrade and Fairmined initiative and some sites are beginning to work towards compliance with the Fairtrade and Fairmined standards. Mongolian miners realize the immense potential for growth, economic development, and sustainability of their operations under the Fairtrade and Fairmined scheme.

As a result of formalization, the largest commercial bank in Mongolia, which has a very strong presence in rural areas, has approved a program to provide loans to legal miners for equipment purchases. The loans have discounted interest rates and are guaranteed by a development project. However, the miners will only receive the loans after satisfying the bank verification process and undergoing financial management training and will provide some collateral which matches the loan amount. The maximum loan to a registered miner is US $2,400.

6. Conclusions

Overall the development of the ASGM legal framework process has shifted from shock and denial to a realization of its strong contribution to rural economic development and employment creation supported by a timely political will. The implementation of a project committed to support artisanal mining helped to pioneer best practices in pilot sites in improving miners’ organizations, technologies, safety, and environment which helped as advocacy cases for what a properly regulated and organized ASGM sector can do.

With a legal framework, the Mongolian ASGM sector is on the move towards formalization with more government agencies getting actively involved in the sector.
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