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Success or Failure?

- “Was Rio+20 a success or a failure?”
  - Simplistic and reductive
  - The answer is nuanced, therefore more than a superficial assessment is needed
  - Successes and failures must be itemised
  - Rio+20 was the starting point of various processes
    - Not an ending point
- Time will be the truest judge of Rio+20.
- But as we are sitting on a socio-ecological time bomb, time is not on our side.
Rio+20 Successes

• Para 47 – Corporate sustainability reporting
• Paras 56-74 – The Green Economy
• Paras 84-86 – Establish the high-level political forum (HLPF)
• Paras 88-90 – Strengthen UNEP
• Para 121 – Right to water and sanitation
• Para 226 – Adopt 10YFP on SCP
• Paras 245-251 – Establish SDGs
• Para 255 – Mobilisation of resources and finance
• Paras 48, 76, 85, 88, 204 and 276 – Strengthen the science-policy interface
• Paras 42-55 – Importance of participation and stakeholder engagement
Rio+20 Failures

• **Water and Sanitation** – Reaffirms commitments which were not universally agreed, rather than the right itself.

• **Population** - The right to reproductive health was removed due to effective lobbying.

• **Subsidies** – Failure to develop an action plan for eliminating environmentally harmful subsidies (such as fossil fuels).

• **Implementation** – Means of implementation (Section VI) remains weak and lacks specific measures and actions.

• **Environmental Limits** – Despite much discussion about environmental limits/planetary boundaries, there is no clear statement.

• **High Commissioner/Ombudsperson for Future Generations** – This was not an agreed outcome.

• **Green Economy** – Overall the green economy concept did not fare well; no clear definition or principles.
Post-Rio+20 Entry Points

1. Intergovernmental SDG Open Working Group by ~2014 - ¶248
2. Call for integration with post-2015 through UN Task Team - ¶ 249
3. High level political forum (HLPF) by Sept 2013 - ¶ 84-86
4. Finance for SD options by 2014 - ¶ 256
5. Technology transfer recommendations by 2013 - ¶ 273
6. 10YFP on SCP adopted 2012 and to be “operationalised” - ¶ 226
7. Strengthen UNEP by 2013 (universal membership & secure funding) - ¶ 88
8. Use of oceans beyond national jurisdictions 2015 - ¶ 161 & 162
9. Beyond GDP - ¶ 38
10. Registry of voluntary commitments - ¶ 283
11. Green economy policies - ¶ 56-74
12. Integration of 3 dimensions of SD across UN - ¶ 93
13. SG report on Future Generation/Intergenerational Solidarity
14. SG’s Zero Hunger challenge
15. Volunteers for SD
The Event

• **Purpose:** Identify *entry points* for stakeholders post-Rio; develop recommendations on how to actualize multi-stakeholder engagement in these processes and subsequent fora.

• **Outcomes:** Better informed *stakeholder advocacy* (moblisation and messages) aimed at decision-makers, and the *integration* of post-Rio (SDGs) and post-2015 (MDGs) processes with sustainable development at the heart.

• **Outputs:**
  - A co-chairs’ statement;
  - Recommendations and messages to UNEP Governing Council in February 2013 and to CSD 20 in May 2013;
  - A special edition of ‘Outreach’ capturing event outcomes for a wider audience;
  - Consultation Report.
Consultation Findings – Highlights

• 231 responses, mainly from the 9 Major Groups.
• 61% of respondents believe the Rio+20 conference improved stakeholder engagement.
• 84% of respondents find it important for Major Groups and other stakeholders to develop common positions on post-Rio/post-2015 processes.
Consultation Findings – Lowlights

• 66% of respondents believe Major Groups and Stakeholders need more financial support from the UN system to implement the Rio+20 outcomes.

• A lack of access for Major Groups and stakeholders to the formal negotiations was widely cited as a barrier to participatory decision-making and a factor of the sub-optimal Rio+20 outcome.
Consultation Findings – Thematic Areas

• The deregulation of markets and heavy emphasis on GDP as the measurement of social progress are widely perceived to be the barriers to implementation of national green economies.

• Widely agreed that the SDGs should be global in nature and universal in application.

• SDGs should incorporate the Rio Principles and be integrated with the MDGs.