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Objectives

- Art. 15 reporting
- Other reporting obligations
- NIP development and/or update
Objectives (cont.)

- NIPs developing and updating
- Other reporting obligations
- Art. 15 reporting
Role of Generic Gap Analysis

Generic Gap Analysis

Integrated Electronic Toolkit

- POPs Inventory Module
- NIP Submission Module
- Guidance Module
- SC ERS Module
- Queries Module
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Steps taken

Data and information identification, collection and classification

• ERS 4th cycle questionnaire
• Guidance documents
• Toolkit for UPOPs
• Formats for submitting information on POP-PBDEs, PFOS, DDT

Compilation and evaluation of data and information

• Stockholm Convention obligation (Article 15 reporting, other reporting obligations, NIP development and/or update)
• Qualitative information and quantitative data
• Considered the POPs substances listed up to 2015, inclusive

Review of the analysis and consultations

• Consultations with project countries
• Consultations with project partners (BRS Conventions Secretariat, BCRC-SCRC in China, Uruguay and South Africa)
Challenges and limitations

Challenges

• correlation of the information generated during the NIP development and update with the reporting obligations

Correlation

• based on expert judgment
• may have resulted in an oversight of information and data or
• in an over appreciation of the coverage of information generated during NIP development and/or update over the reporting requirements
Overview on the reporting submissions time schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting obligation</th>
<th>YEARS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article 5 (a)(v) - UPOPs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annex A, Part II (2) (g) - Progress on eliminating PCBs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annex A, Parts IV (2) and V (2) - Progress in elimination of POP-PBDEs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annex B, Part II (4) - DDT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annex B, Part III (3) - Progress in eliminating PFOS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mechanisms for NIPs development and/or update and reporting

- Art. 7: mechanism for periodic updating, review and implementation of the NIP.

- Art. 15: mechanism to report under Article 15 and other obligations under the Stockholm Convention.
Overlapping and gaps identified (detailed in Annex I of the gap analysis)
Overview of overlaps and gaps

Overlaps

• Information requested to be reported under Article 15 and other reporting obligations under the Convention OVERLAPS to a large extent with the information generated under the NIP development and/or update process

Gaps

• Limited level of detail of NIP generated information
• No NIP generated information
• Lack of correlation with the reporting obligations
Overview of overlaps and gaps (cont.)

NIP vs. reporting

- Limited level of detail on PCBs in the NIP vs. requested by reporting
- Lack of information on DDT in the NIP vs. requested by reporting
- Lack of information on PFOS in the NIP vs. requested by reporting

Reporting vs. NIP

- Less information requested on POP-PBDE than generated in the NIP
- Less information requested on HBCD than generated in the NIP
- Less information requested on PFOS than generated in the NIP
Conclusions

Following closely the guidance documents for NIP development and/or update relevant information are generated to enable Parties fulfilling the reporting obligations under the Stockholm Convention.

Even the guidance documents for NIP development and/or update are used in their entirety, a few limitations still exist in generating all information and data for complying with the reporting obligations under the Stockholm Convention.

Limitations: internal e.g. lack of reporting mechanisms, financial and technical capacities, and external e.g. no streamlined formats for reporting under Article 15 and other reporting obligations under the Stockholm Convention with the NIP development and/or update format.

Additional efforts from Parties to generate the information for complying with the reporting obligations under the Stockholm Convention are needed.
• Once data and information is generated at the national level, in this case during the NIP development and/or update, it should serve for multiple purposes and in particular for reporting under the Convention.

1. • STREAMLINING AND HARMONIZING
2. • format for Article 15 reporting requirements with the formats of the other reporting obligations under the Stockholm Convention
3. • format of the NIP development and/or update with the Article 15 reporting format
4. • national mechanisms for NIP development and/or update with the NIP implementation and with the national mechanisms for reporting
5. • reporting submissions time schedules
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