



UNITED NATIONS ENVIRONMENT PROGRAMME  
MEDITERRANEAN ACTION PLAN

MEDITERRANEAN ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMME  
EUROPEAN INVESTMENT BANK

---

**MAP Coastal Area Management Programme  
"The Island of Rhodes"**

**REPORT**

**OF THE CONSULTATION MEETING ON THE COASTAL AREA  
MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME "THE ISLAND OF RHODES"**

**Athens, 8-9 February 1996**

*Prepared with the financial support of the CEC*

---

Athens, 1996

## Introduction

1. A consultation meeting was organised on 8-9 February 1996, at the premises of the MAP Coordinating Unit in Athens.
2. The objectives of the meeting were:
  - a. presentation of Activity 5 "Water Resources Master Plan (WRMP)", its contents, objectives, workplan and status of implementations as well as to discuss, evaluate and amend the drafts of the final documents of the WRMP and agree on activities to be implemented in the final phase of this activity;
  - b. presentation of the other activities within the framework of the Rhodes CAMP project as well as proposals for the follow-up;
  - c. preparation for the final meeting to present the results of the Rhodes CAMP project to the Greek authorities.
3. The consultation meeting was attended by representatives of Greece (Ministry of the Environment, IGME and the Municipality of Rhodes), the European Investment Bank (EIB), the Regional Activity Centre for the Priority Actions Programme (PAP/RAC) and UNEP/MED UNIT.
4. The list of participants is attached as Annex I to this report.

## Agenda item 1 - Opening of the Meeting:

5. The meeting was opened by Mr. L. Jeftic, Deputy Coordinator of MAP. He welcomed all participants to the meeting on behalf of the MAP Coordinator, Mr. L. Chabason, who was on a mission abroad.

He expressed the continued interest of MAP in the Coastal Areas Management Programme (CAMP), and welcomed progress achieved within the CAMP project for Rhodes due to the good cooperation between all partners.
6. Mr. I. Dharat, Senior Programme Officer, and MAP Coordinator for the Rhodes CAMP Project also welcomed the participants and informed them on the objectives and organisation of the work of the meeting.
7. Mr. A. Lascaratos, MAP focal point for Greece welcomed all participants and expressed the view that Greece considers the CAMP project for Rhodes as a successful project due to the excellent cooperation and coordination between the three partners (Greece, EIB and UNEP/MAP). He emphasised the importance of the issue of the follow-up to the project and he hoped that this meeting will agree on specific decisions in this regard.
8. Mr. D. Tsotsos, National Project Coordinator, thanked MAP also and the EIB for their cooperation and pointed out that he was informed by the Rhodes authorities that the final presentation meeting for the project should be not later than early May 1996.

With regard to the follow-up issue, he pointed out that Greece is in favour of selecting a number of topics for follow-up action. Water resources and liquid waste can be considered as important topics for the follow-up action.

### **Agenda item 2 - Adoption of the Agenda:**

9. The meeting adopted with minor changes the Provisional Agenda of the meeting, which is contained in **Annex II** to this report.

### **Agenda item 3 - Presentation of the Water Resources Master Plan (WRMP):**

10. Mr. J. Margeta, PAP Consultant, introduced the contents, objectives, workplan and the status of implementation of the technical documents on the Water Resources Master Plan. He pointed out that the Master Plan would give an integrated presentation of all characteristics of the water resources and define the optional system of exploitation and protection of the water resources in accordance with present and future demands of the island. It will thus contribute to a sound and efficient management of water and other natural resources of the Rhodes island.

With regard to the status of implementation, he pointed out that IGME and the team of PAP consultants have prepared drafts of all remaining chapters of the WRMP. These drafts will be reviewed during the meeting. Furthermore, Mr. Iacovides has finalised the Draft Synthesis Report on Water Demand, and presented it, while he is preparing the Synthesis Report on Water Utilisation. The final version of the WRMP will be completed by 30 May 1996.

11. Mr. Margeta informed the meeting that the following activities were also implemented within the framework of this Activity (WRMP):
  - Aquisition and delivery of H/S equipment to IGME;
  - Training Course on the use of Hypprom Software for the Rainfall-Run-Off Simulation (Rhodes, 19-26 February 1995);
  - Training Course on the Use of H/S Equipment for the preparation of the Water Resources Master Plan (Athens, 16-26 August 1994);
  - Training Course on the Use of PC' s and Software (Athens, 4-15 July 1994).
12. Mr. Kounis (IGME) briefed the meeting on some of the developments related to the activity on WRMP. He referred to the proposed solutions indicated in the study (one dam or several dams), and the advantages and disadvantages of each of them. He felt that the second solution (one dam) with the cost of approximately US \$ 30 million is more appropriate to be recommended due to environmental as well as financial reasons. It will also keep the island in its natural condition. He proposed the preparation of a feasibility study as a follow-up to this activity.
13. The meeting established a Technical Group for this activity. The Technical Group composed of Mr. J. Margeta, Mr. I. Iacovides, Mr. G. Kounis, and Ms. A. Vitoriou-Georgouli had separate sessions to discuss a number of technical issues relative to the proposed solutions of the Water Resources Master Plan, and the follow up.

The following issues were discussed:

- Water requirements for population, tourism and agriculture; water requirements of the neighbouring islands and areas; the requirements with regard to the hindrance of the undesirable trends of sea intrusion and ground water table depletion - in order to determine with certainty the medium and long-term water requirements.
- Groundwater capacity and long-term exploitability; further research needed to identify the true capacity. Special attention was paid to the aquifers presenting negative trends in water quality and exploitability.
- Alternative uses of ground and surface water resources, and alternative concepts of water resources utilisation for all purposes. Two basic options were analysed with particular attention: more smaller local accumulations; one or more central larger accumulations. All analyses show that one or more larger accumulations present a more acceptable solution. Further activities needed to define the final water supply solutions have been determined.
- Multi-criterion approach to the analysis and selection of possible alternative solutions selection of criteria and their definition.
- Detailed contents, i.e. the way of development of the Water Resources Master Plan, and the relative time-schedule.

The results of the work of the Technical Group will be duly reflected in the final version of the WRMP documents.

It was concluded that IGME and all other engaged experts would amend the first draft of the WRMP and submit the material to PAP by 20 March 1996 at the latest. Thus a prepared WRMP will be reviewed and amended by Mr. I. Iacovides, who should submit his version to PAP by 20 April 1996 at the latest, so that the document can be ready for the Rhodes meeting planned for May 1996.

14. During the course of the discussion, the EIB noted that the WRMP's database was not implemented as envisaged in the TOR (Chapter IV - Development of the Island Water Resources database), due to a lack of funds to cover the total cost of the activity.
15. The EIB also pointed out that the need for a pre-investment plan should be addressed urgently to the Greek authorities in order to enable the review of the design and EIA of the Gadouna Dam, undertaken by the Greek Ministry of Public Works.
16. After a thorough discussion, the meeting recommended the following to be included in the follow-up action:
  - i. Preparation of a pre-investment plan (or feasibility study) for the water supply system including:
    - completion of data relative to the planned construction of fresh water

- reservoirs and the aquifer exploitation;
  - pre-investment plan for the water supply treatment plant;
  - pre-investment plan for the water supply and distribution system; and
  - EIA and risk-management studies relative to the planned fresh water reservoirs.
- ii. Organisation of a permanent hydro-meteorological monitoring, and preparation of a water resources database.

#### **Agenda item 4 - Progress Achieved on all Other Activities:**

##### **Activity 1 - Land-Based Sources and Dumping Protocols**

17. Mr. I. Dharat briefly introduced this item pointing out that the report on LBS Survey was submitted to MAP on 31 January 1995 for evaluation by MED Unit experts. A meeting was convened in Athens on 20 March 1995 during which the Consultant's report was evaluated, amended and finalised. The final report was submitted to the EIB with the Second Qualified Report. This activity can be considered as completed.
18. Mr. G. Kamizoulis, Senior Scientist - WHO, briefed the meeting on the developments related to this activity particularly in relation to the Consultant's report (Mr. A. Skiadopoulos). Although the report contained a substantial amount of data, only one questionnaire was completed due to several constraints. He stated that the report confirmed that there are no point sources of pollution of the compounds listed in the 13 common measures adopted by the Contracting Parties to the Barcelona Convention. He pointed out that all data reported has been taken into account in the preparation of the liquid waste management activity.
19. Mr. J. Frade (EIB) stated that we should learn from the difficulty to gather more information and to complete the questionnaires on the LBS Survey, which could have negative consequences. He recommended that this issue should not be ignored in the future.
20. The issue of littres and other types of solid wastes was also raised during the discussion. The representative of WHO pointed out that the Survey for LBS Sources has mainly concentrated on liquid wastes and not solid wastes, therefore, a survey on these types of wastes could be proposed for the follow-up action.
21. Due to the importance of taking into consideration the results of the LBS Survey while finalising the Integrated Planning Study (IPS), Mr. Pavasovic (PAP/RAC) suggested that a meeting should be organised between Mr. G. Kamizoulis and Mr. H. Coccossis (PAP Consultant for IPS).
22. With the submission of the Final Report, Activity I (LBS Survey) is considered as completed.

##### **Activity 2 - Liquid Waste Management (LWM)**

23. This Activity was briefly introduced by Mr. A. Pavasovic (PAP/RAC). He pointed out that the outputs of this activity were:

- General Liquid Waste Management Plan
- Technical Report and Practical Guidance for follow-up

The outputs were completed in August 1995 and were sent to the EIB with the Second Qualified Report. The outputs have been used in the preparation of activities relevant to the Water Resources Master Plan (WRMP), the Specially Protected Areas (SPA), and the Integrated Planning Study (IPS).

24. The technical solutions proposed by the final report of the LWM were discussed during the meeting and the EIB suggested not to exclude the alternative of using waste stabilisation ponds in remote areas away from tourist resorts, considered by the consultants as the major obstacle to this type of treatment. Attention was also drawn to the fact that major issues regarding the institutional framework, operational responsibilities and capabilities, and tariffs should be properly addressed by the feasibility study.

25. With regard to the follow-up action to this activity, a long discussion took place at the end of which the following proposal for follow-up was agreed upon:

The main follow-up activity should be the Liquid Waste Master Plan to be prepared and carried out urgently in order to support any future investment on waste water collection and treatment on the island. The Liquid Waste Master Plan has to elaborate, among others:

- i. level of treatment needed for each individual wastewater treatment system;
- ii. alternatives of use of treated wastewaters;
- iii. technical, investment and economic data relative to each individual wastewater treatment system;
- iv. cost-benefit analysis for the investment plan;
- v. disposal of sludge produced;
- vi. timetable for the investment plan;
- vii. institutional arrangements including provision for a centralised control/laboratory, and a wastewater management organisation;
- viii. EIA for one of the proposed systems, to be used as a pilot for all the others.

26. The Activity on Liquid Waste Management (LWM) can be considered as completed.

#### **Activity 4 - Monitoring of Pollution in the Coastal Region**

27. Mr. I. Dharat briefly introduced this activity which is mainly carried out under the supervision of Mr. G. Gabrielides (Senior Fisheries Officer - FAO) with the assistance of a Greek Consultant (Ms. A. Katsara - Ministry of the Environment). This activity is split into two parts on the basis of the parameters measured. Monitoring of microbiological parameters was completed and the final report sent to the EIB with the Second Qualified Report.

28. Due to the delay in the purchase of the necessary equipment, the other part of this activity relevant to the chemical parameters was not executed as originally planned. It is expected that the final report on this part (chemical parameters) will be completed by 31 March 1996.

29. Ms. A. Katsara briefed the meeting on the main developments in relation to the two parts of this activity. She pointed out that within the microbiological monitoring, the period of the bathing season (June - October) was fully covered. A total of 52 bathing areas were monitored on a fortnightly basis. The quality of the waters was excellent as compared with both "guide" and "mandatory" microbiological standards.
30. She continued to state that the winter/spring period was also covered from December 1994 to April 1995 when only 9 areas were monitored on a monthly basis. The results showed the excellent quality of the sea water but also one pollution incident was detected.
31. Finally, she pointed out that the EU standards for bathing water quality and the interim criteria for bathing waters adopted by the Mediterranean States in 1985 were taken into consideration.

With regard to the second part of this activity (chemical parameters), she pointed out that the final report is being prepared aiming at its submission by the end of March 1996.

32. Mr. G. Gabrielides (FAO) pointed out that the monitoring programme was well designed. However, the chemical monitoring has been delayed due to the difficulty in collecting samples and the delay in the purchase of equipment. He stated that, from the data collected so far, it appears that chemical pollution on the Rhodes island is very limited and promised that he, as well as his colleague Ms. Katsara, will do their best to finalise the report on this part (chemical parameters) by 31 March 1996.
33. With the submission of the final report on the microbiological parameters, this part of the activity can be considered as completed.

#### **Activity 7 - Programme of Environmentally Sound Energy Planning**

34. The activity was introduced by Mr. A. Pavasovic. He stated that the activity was completed in September 1995 with the submission of the final outputs:
  - Solarimetric Equipment (purchased and delivered);
  - Solarimetric Equipment Users Manual;
  - Wind Energy Prefeasibility Study; Feasibility Study, Synthesis Report;
  - Programme of Environmentally Sound Energy Planning for the Island of Rhodes (final report).
35. He pointed out that the main objectives of this activity were to define the rate of feasible use of renewable energy sources, and to develop a programme of environmentally sound energy planning through the development and analysis of strategies for the substitution of conventionally renewable energy sources.

With regards to the follow-up action, he proposed the continuation of the collection of solar energy data and the preparation of a pre-investment study on wind energy with outside financing.

36. Mr. Frade (EIB) referred to the final report on this activity and pointed out that:
- the conclusions of the study propose changing the boundaries of some natural reserves, a delicate issue requiring sound justification.
  - the environmental impact of the proposed wind generating sites has not been adequately investigated, neither from the point of view of the local population (which does not appear to have been consulted so far), nor the consideration of the effect that the negative visual impact may have on the tourist industry.

He recommended that these issues should be taken into account in the proposed follow-up activity.

#### **Activity 8 - Historic Settlements**

37. Mr. I. Dharat introduced the activity which has been delayed for some time due to the late purchase of equipment, and the delay in implementing the activity by the Marseille Centre and the local authorities.

He informed the meeting that pressure has been exerted on the two responsible authorities with a view to respecting the timetable agreed upon and submitting the final outputs of this activity "Report on the Information System and Urban and Architectural Study".

38. During the discussion that followed, it was noted that the activity should be completed on time before the closing of the whole project, and in time for submission of its final results to be integrated in the final version of Activity 13 "Integrated Planning Study".

It was also noted that the delay in submitting the final outputs of this activity will block the payment of the last installment of the EIB funds, which is not fair to the other activities.

39. In order to assist the finalisation of this activity, the following was agreed upon by the meeting:

- a. an urgent consultation meeting be organised by MAP in Athens during February 1996, with the participation of Mr. L. Chabason, I. Dharat, A. Pavasovic, Mr. D. Tsotsos, Ms. K. Tsakiri, D. Drocourt, and G. Dellas. The purpose of the one day meeting is to agree on a timetable and commitments in relation to the finalisation of the activity at an appropriate time;
- b. in order to assist in reaching a decision, PAP/RAC has prepared the following list of data urgently needed to be provided through this activity for their integration in the final version of the IPS activity:
  - 1. List of relevant important sites/settlements/areas/monuments, classified by:
    - a. cultural/historic/scientific importance
    - b. presentability - appropriateness to be included in the tourist offer



2. Short description of each important site: history, values, present state (need for urgent protection, if applicable), site-specific aspects (not longer than ½ page for each important site).
3. Risks and dangers:
  - 3.1 a short general description of present and/or potential risks: (a) man induced; (b) natural;
  - 3.2 specific risks for most important sites to be mentioned under (2).
4. Protection regime and levels: List according to protection levels, and description of the protection measures and regime for each level.
5. A map of the island (A4 or extended A4) presenting the historic sites/areas/monuments.

Furthermore, suggestions for planners would be appreciated, relative to: protection of the historic sites against visual pollution; need for landscape buffers; air traffic corridors; need for better access to individual sites; details on fragility of individual sites; recommendations for tourist behaviour, recommendations for carrying capacity assessment for tourism (number of tourists per day, and per individual groups).

- c. It was also agreed that in case there is no positive and concrete response to the proposal, the activity will be terminated with all subsequent consequences.

#### **Activity 9 - Training Programme on GIS**

40. Mr. A. Pavasovic pointed out that this activity was completed in October 1995 with the submission of the final report concerning the purchase and installment of equipment, the report on institutional aspects and the organisation of a training course for experts on GIS.
41. During the discussion on this activity, stress was made by the EIB to the effect that the collected data should be used in the future as an action oriented programme.
42. With the submission of the final outputs with the Second Qualified Report, this activity can be considered as completed.

#### **Activity 10 - Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)**

43. The activity was introduced by Mr. A. Pavasovic. He stated that the outputs produced were:
  - Training Course on EIA
  - Software and DST (Decision Support Tool)
  - Final report on DST
  - Users Manual

44. During the discussion on the follow-up action, it was proposed that the follow-up of this activity should be included in the follow-up of the activities on Liquid Waste Management (LWM) and Water Resources Master Plan (WRMP).
45. The outputs were submitted to the EIB with the Second Qualified Report and thus the activity can be considered as completed. It was agreed to the suggestion of the EIB to edit the several documents pertaining to activities 9 (GIS) and 10 (EIA) into one single, final report. The document containing the EIA of the Rhodes Sewage treatment plant, which is presently under construction, will be forwarded to the EIB as requested.

#### **Activity 13 - Integrated Planning Study (IPS)**

46. The activity was introduced by Mr. A. Pavasovic. He stated that this activity has been focussing on the collection of the final results of the other activities and their integration in the second draft of IPS which will be finalised very shortly.
47. After some discussion, it was agreed to proposed the following as a follow-up to this activity:
- preparation of a General Master Plan for the island;
  - preparation of an urgent investment portfolio.

#### **Activity 14 - Specially Protected Areas (SPA)**

48. The activity was introduced by Mr. I. Dharat. He pointed out that the final output of the activity which has been prepared by SPA/RAC with the assistance of IUCN (Report on the Conservation and Management of the National Environment of the Island of Rhodes), was submitted to the EIB with the Second Qualified Report.
49. With regard to the follow-up, it was proposed in the final report relative to the activity that a mechanism for the follow-up should be based on the monitoring of selected social, economic and ecological indicators.
50. This activity can be considered as completed.

#### **Agenda item 5 - Preparation for the Final Presentation of the Rhodes CAMP Project:**

51. After some discussion and on the basis of the progress achieved so far with regard to all activities within the CAMP project, it was agreed that:
- a. the final presentation meeting should be held in Rhodes, on 16-17 May 1996, with the participation of all national and local authorities, experts involved in the project, the EIB, EU, UNEP/MAP and other relevant Mediterranean NGO organisations and the mass media.  
  
The list of invitees will be prepared by MAP in consultation with the Greek authorities (Mr. Tsotsos and Ms. Tsakiri);
  - b. the objectives of the meeting would be to present on the first day to

the national and local authorities the basic results and benefits of all activities of the project as well as proposals for follow-up.

On the second day of the meeting, a few selected activities (4 or 5) would be presented and discussed more thoroughly;

- c. the meeting will be conducted in the English and Greek language.

**Agenda item 6 - Closure of the Consultation Meeting:**

- 52. Mr. I. Dharat thanked all participants for their efforts which enabled all involved to reach a positive conclusion of the CAMP project for Rhodes. In particular he thanked the EIB for its cooperation and understanding in solving the obstacles encountered during the process of the project implementation.
- 53. The meeting was closed at 13.30 on Friday, 9 February 1996.

**ANNEX I**  
**LIST OF PARTICIPANTS**

**ANNEX I**

**LIST OF PARTICIPANTS**

**COORDINATING UNIT FOR  
THE MEDITERRANEAN ACTION PLAN**

**Mr. L. Jeftic**  
Deputy Coordinator

**Mr. I. Dharat**  
Senior Programme Officer

**FOOD AND AGRICULTURE  
ORGANISATION OF THE  
UNITED NATIONS (FAO)**

**Mr. G. Gabrielides**  
Senior Fisheries Officer

**WORLD HEALTH  
ORGANISATION (WHO)**

**Mr. G. Kamizoulis**  
WHO/EURO Senior Scientist

Coordinating Unit for the Mediterranean  
Action Plan  
48 Vassileos Konstantinou Avenue  
116 10 Athens  
Greece

Tel: (301) 7253190-5  
Fax: (301) 7253196-7  
Tlx: 601 - 222564 - 222611 MEDU GR  
Eml: unepmedu@athena.compulink.forthnet.gr

**EUROPEAN INVESTMENT  
BANK**

**Mr. J. Frade**  
Technical Advisory Service  
European Investment Bank  
100 Boulevard Konrad Adenauer  
L-2950 Luxembourg  
Luxembourg

Tel: (352) 43792467  
Fax: (352) 437704  
Telex: 402-3530 BNKEU LU

**REGIONAL ACTIVITY  
CENTRE FOR PRIORITY  
ACTIONS PROGRAMME  
(PAP/RAC)**

**Mr. A. Pavasovic**  
Consultant

**Mr. J. Margeta**  
Activity Coordinator  
PAP Consultant on WRMP

**Mr. I. Iacovides**  
Senior Hydrogeologist  
PAP Consultant on WRMP

PAP/Regional Activity Centre  
11 Kraj Sv. Ivana  
P.O. Box 74  
21000 Split  
Croatia

Tel: (385 21) 591171  
Fax: (385 21) 361677

**Mr. H. Cocossis**  
PAP Consultant on IPS  
University of the Aegean  
9 Kanari  
106 71 Athens

Tel: (301) 3626840  
Fax: (301) 3623391

**GREECE**

**Prof. Alexander Lascaratos**  
MAP Liaison Officer  
Department of Applied Physics  
Laboratory of Meteorology and Oceanography  
University of Athens  
11 Tilemahou Street  
11472 Athens  
Greece

Tel: (301) 3613504  
Fax: (301) 3608518

**Mr Dimitris Tsotsos**  
National Project Coordinator  
PAP/RAC Focal Point  
Water Section  
Ministry of the Environment, Physical  
Planning  
and Public Works  
147 Patission Street  
112 51 Athens  
Greece

Tel: (301) 8650053  
Fax: (301) 8647420

**Ms K. Katsara**  
Civil Engineer  
Water Section  
Ministry of Environment, Physical Planning  
and Public Works  
147 Patission Street  
11251 Athens  
Greece

Tel: (301) 8650053  
Fax: (301) 8647420

**Mr. C. Kolligris**  
Chief of Section  
Prefecture of Dodekanese  
Eleftherias Square  
Rhodes  
Greece

Tel: (0241) 23169/23270

**INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGY  
& MINERAL EXPLORATION  
(IGME)**

**Mr. G.D. Kounis**  
Director

**Ms. A. Vitoriou-Georgouli**  
Geologist

Institute of Geology &  
Mineral Exploration  
70 Messoghion Street  
115 27 Athens  
Greece

Tel: (301) 7798412  
Fax: (301) 7752211

**ANNEX II**  
**PROVISIONAL AGENDA**



## ANNEX II

### PROVISIONAL AGENDA

#### Thursday, February 8

- 09.30 - 11.00
1. - Opening of the meeting by MAP representatives (Mr. L. Jeftic/ I. Dharat)
    - Statement by representatives of Greece (Mr. A. Lascaratos/Mr. D. Tsotsos)
    - Adoption of the Agenda
    - Objectives of the meeting (Mr. A. Pavasovic)
- 11.00 - 11.30
- Coffee break
- 11.30 - 13.00
2. - Presentation of the Water Resources Master Plan (WRMP), [contents, objectives, workplan, status of implementation] (J. Margeta)
    - Presentation of WRMP alternative solutions (G. Kounis, A. Vitoriou Georgouli)
    - Comments by I. Iacovides
    - Comments by J. Margeta
    - Discussion and conclusions
  3. - Proposals for the follow up (prepared in writing and presented by Mr. G. Kounis and Ms. A. Vitoriou)
    - Comments by the participants
    - Conclusions on the proposals
- 13.00 - 14.30
- Lunch break
- 14.30 - 16.00
4. - Amendments of the technical documents (All documents prepared so far will be reviewed, commented, and instructions for amendment, if needed, will be given. This part of the meeting will be based on the revisions made by Mr. I. Iacovides, and guided by Messrs. Iacovides and Margeta)

16.00 - 16.30

Coffee break

- 16.30 - 17.30
5. - Continuation of amendments of the technical documents

#### Friday, February 9

- 09.00 - 11.00
6. - Progress on all other activities of the Rhodes/CAMP project, Nos. 1,4,8, and 14 (Mr. I. Dharat/Mr. G. Gabrielides/ Mr. G. Kamizoulis/Ms. A. Katsara)
    - Progress on PAP-related activities, Nos. 2,5,7,9,10 and 13 (Mr. A. Pavasovic/ Mr. D. Tsotsos/Mr. H. Cocossis)
  7. - Preparation for the final presentation of the Rhodes CAMP project

11.00 - 11.30

Coffee break

- 11.30 - 13.00
8. - Final discussion and conclusion
  9. - Closure of the consultation meeting