



UNITED NATIONS ENVIRONMENT PROGRAMME

12 November 1984

Determination of methylmercury in selected marine organisms by gas chromatography

Reference Methods For Marine Pollution Studies No. 13

Prepared in co-operation with





FAO

IAEA

Note: This document has been prepared in co-operation between the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nationa (FAO), the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) and the United Nationa Environment Programme (UNEP) under projects FP/ME/0503-75-07, ME/5102-81-01, FP/5102-77-03 and FP/5101-84-01.

For bibliographic purposes this document may be cited as:

UNEP/FAO/LAEA: Determination of methylmercury in selected marine organisms by gas chromatography. Reference Methods for Marine Pollution Studies No. 13, UNEP 1984.

PREFACE

The Regional Seas Programme was initiated by UNEP in 1974. Since then the Governing Council of UNEP has repeatedly endorsed a regional approach to the control of marine pollution and the management of marine and coestal resources and has requested the development of regional action plans. The Regional Seas Programme at present includes ten regions and has over 120 coastal States participating in it. $\frac{1}{2}$

One of the basic components of the action plans sponsored by UNEP in the framework of Regional Seas Programme is the assessment of the state of marine environment and of its resources, of the sources and trends of the pullution, and the impact of pollution on human health, marine ecosystems and amenities. In order to essist those participating in this activity and to ensure that the data obtained through this assessment can be compared on a world-wide basis and thus contribute to the Global Environment Monitoring System (GEMS) of UNEP, a set of reference methods and guidelines for marine pollution studies are being developed and are recommended to be adopted by Governments participating in the Regional Seas Programme.

The methods and guidelines are prepared in co-operation with the relevant specialized bodies of the United Nations system as well as other organizations and are tested by a number of experts competent in the field relevant to the methods described.

In the description of the methods end guidelines the style used by the international Organization for Standardization (ISO) is followed as closely as possible.

The methods and guidelines, as published in UNEP's series of Reference Methods for Marine Pollution Studies, are not considered as final. They are planned to be periodically revised taking into account the development of our understanding of the problems, of analytical instrumentation and the actual need of the users. In order to facilitate these revisions the users are invited to convey their comments and suggestions to:

International Leboratory of Marine Radioactivity International Atomic Energy Agency c/o Musée Ucéanographique MC98000 MONACO

which is responsible for the technical co-ordination of the development, testing and intercalibration of reference methods.

^{1/} UNEP: Achievements and planned development of UNEP's Regional 5245 Programme and comparable programmes sponsored by other bodies. UNEP Regional Seas Reports and Studies No. 1 UNEP, 1982.

^{2/} P. HULM: A Strategy for the Seas. The Regional Seas Programme: Past and

This issue of the Reference Method for Marine Pollution Studies No. 11 was prepared in co-operation with the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) and the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). It includes comments received from the FAO/UNEP/IAEA Experts Consultation Meeting on Reference Methods for the Determination of Chemical Contaminants in Marine Organisms (Rome, 4-8 June 1984) and from a number of scientists who reviewed and tested the method. The assistance of all those who contributed to the preparation of this reference method is gratefully acknowledged.

CONTENTS

		<u>Page</u>
1.	Scope and field of application	1
2.	References	1
3.	Principles	1
4.	Reagents	2
5.	Apparatus	3
6.	Sampling	5
7.	Sample preparation	5
6.	Determination of dry weight	5
9.	Extraction and clean-up	6
10.	Gas chromatographic analysis	7
11.	Expression of results	В
12-	Estimation of precision and accuracy	8
13.	Test report	8

SCOPE AND FIELD OF APPLICATION

This reference method describes a gas chromatographic analysis for the determination of methylmercury (MeHg) in biological material after liberation of MeHg with a strong acid from a homogenized sample. Detection limit 0.001 mgkg⁻¹ FW.

2. REFERENCES

1.70

- BERNHARD, M. (1976) Menual of methods in aquatic environment research. Part 3. Sampling and analyses of biological material. FAO Fish Tech-Pap. No. 158 (FIRI/FI58), pp. 124. FAD, Rome.
- KAMPS L. R. and McMAHON B. (1972) Utilization of the Westoo procedure for the determination of methylmercury in fish by gas-liquid chromatography. J.AOAC 55/590-595.
- UNEP/FAO/IAEA (1984) Sampling of selected marine organisms and sample preparation for trace metal analysis. Reference Methods for Marine Pollution Studies No. 7 Rev. 2, UNEP, Geneva.
- UNEP/FAD/IAEA (in preparation) Guidelines for monitoring chemical contaminants in marine organisms. Reference Methods for Marine Pollution Studies No. 6, UNEP, Geneva.
- WESTOO, G. (1974) Methodology for mercury and methylmercury for food contamination monitoring. Working paper for FAO/WHO expert consultation to identify the food contaminants to be monitored and to recommend sampling plans and methodology. Rame, 7-11 October, 1974. Rome, FAO-ESN:MON/74/17.

PRINCIPLES

An sliquot of the sample, prepared according to UNEP/FAD/[AEA (1984) is homogenized and the methylmercury (MeHg) in biological material is liberated with a strong acid (HBr). The MeHg is then extracted with an organic solvent (benzene) and the MeHg separated from interfering impurities by extraction with an aqueous ethanolic solution containing a thiol-compound (cysteine). After liberating the MeHg from the thiol-compound with a strong acid and extracting again with an organic solvent the extract is analysed by gas-liquid chromatography.

REAGENTS

For this analysis use only distilled water and reagents of recognized analytical quality.

- 4.1 Demineralized distilled water or water of equivalent quality, free from mercury.
- 4.2 Concentrated HC1 ($d_{20^{\circ}C} = 1.19 \text{ gl}^{-1}$), suprapure or similar.
- 4.3 Hydrochloric acid, 6 N.

Mix equal volumes of distilled water (4.1) with concentrated HC1 (4.2).

- 4.4 Sodium bromide.
- 4.5 Sodium sulphate, anhydrous. Dried overnight at 450°C.

NOTE: Sodium sulphate may be contaminated with substances which cause interferences. Carefully check this reagent.

4.6 Cysteine solution.

1298

1.00 g cysteine hydrochloride (1 H₂0)
0.775 g sodium acetate (3 H₂0)
12.5 g anhydrous sodium sulphate about 50 ml ethanol, mercury free

Dissalve the reagents in about 50 ml of distilled water (4.1) and dilute to 100 ml with ethanol. Prepare a fresh solution every 3 days.

- 4.7 Benzene. Distil with a Widmer column (5.12).
- 4.8 Standard solutions of methylmercury.
- 4.8.1 Stock solution: IOO μ g Hgl⁻¹. Weigh 0.1254 g methylmercury chloride to the nearest 9.0001 g. Transfer to a 1000 ml volumetric flask. Oring to volume with distilled water (4.1) and mix.
 - NO(E: This solution is stable for years.
- 4.3.2 Calibration solution: 1.00 μ g Hgl⁻¹. Dilute 1.00 ml of the stock solution (4.8.1) in a 100 ml volumetric flask and bring μ p to volume with distilled water (4.1).

NOTE: This solution must not be older than 2 days.

4.8.3 Stock solution for gas chromatography: $100~\mu g~Hgl^{-1}$. Weigh 0.1254 g methylmercury chloride to the nearest 0.0001 g. Transfer to a 1000 ml volumetric flask. Bring to volume with benzene (4.7) and mix.

NOTE: This solution is stable.

4.8.4 Standard solutions: 0.05, 0.10, 0.20, 0.30 og $Hg\mu 1^{-1}$.

Using volumetric flasks (5.9), dilute appropriate aliquots (e.g. 0.05, 1.10, 0.20, 0.30 ml) of the stock solution (4.8.3) in benzene (4.7) so that with 5 μ l the following amounts can be injected into the gas chromatograph: 0.25, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 ng Hg. Keep the solutions well closed to evoid evaporation.

NOTE: This solution is stable for several months.

- 4.9 Teramilic alcohol, antifoam B emulsion (e.g. Fisher Corp.) or similiar.
- 4.10 Working matrix: Prepare a sufficiently large amount of biological tissue to last several determinations (e.g. 300 g FW) according to (9.1). Homogenize with a stainless steel blender or other homogenizer (5.1).

Test for homogeneity by running 5 extractions (9.1) and analyses (10.3). If the coefficient of veriation is less then 20% the working matrix is ready for use. Otherwise homogenize the working matrix again or prepare a new working matrix.

NOTE: The working matrix should be prepared from specimens of the same species which will be analysed.

APPARATUS

- 5.1. Homogenizer (e.g. Polytran).
- 5.2 Bulb pipettes, 1.00 ml, 2.00 ml, 3.00 ml, 4.00 ml, 5.00 ml, 10.00 ml, 15.00 ml, 50.0 ml and graduated pipettes, 1.0 ml, 5.0 ml and 10 ml.
- 5.3 Graduated cylinder, 100 ml.
- 5.4 Erlenmeyer flasks, 200 ml and 2000 ml.
- 5.5 Separation funnels, 75 ml capacity.
- 5.6 Fest tubes with ground joints and stoppers , 5 ml and 15 ml capacity.
- 5.7 Centrifuge tubes, 200 ml capacity, complete with ground glass stoppers.
- 5.8 Centrifuge tubes, 10-15 mi capacity.
- 5.9 Volumetric flasks, 10 ml, 100 ml, 200 ml, 500 ml and 1000 ml capacity.
- 5.10 Centrifuge, 600 g or faster, at least 200 ml capacity.

- 5.11 Mechanical shaker for mixing solutions.
- 5.12 Widmer distillation apparatus complete with:
 - Flask, round bottom and ground joint 29/32, 3 1 capacity.
 - Heating mantle for this 3 1 flask with energy regulator, 500 W.
 - Adapter with two ground joints 29/32 and one ground joint 14/23, with thermometer.
 - Coil condenser with ground joint 29/32, coil length 20 cm.
 - Distillation column, Widmer, with ground joints 29/32, effective length 20 cm.
- chromatograph with electron capture detector (${}^{3}\text{H or }{}^{63}\text{Ni}$) 5.13 Gas recorder, complete with:
 - 5 x 1/8" glass column containing lithium chloride and 5% pheny! disthanolamine succinate (POEAS) on Chromosorb W. AW, DMCS, or similar, 60/80 mesh;
 - a supply of carrier gas (gas flow rate 60-75 ml N $_2$ min $^{-1}$) purified b_1 a Molecular Sieve.

Preparation of the column and conditioning:

Dissolve 0.5 q lithium chloride and 1.5 q phenyl diethanol diethylomine succinate in 2.5 ml ethanol and 50 ml acetone in a round bottom flask. Add 10 (Chromosorb W. 60/80 mesh, AW, CMCS or similar . Evacuate the flask until all air bubbles have disappeared. After 10 min. transfer the mixture into a glass filter funnel and remove the liquid by suction. Air-dry on filter paper. Fil: the column with the dry Chromesorb. Heat the column under low gas flow rate (25-30 ml N \min^{-1}) at 210°C for 18 hours WITHOUT attaching the end of the column to the detector. Then bring the gas chromatograph to normal conditions i.e.,

- Gas flow 60-70 ml N₂ min⁻¹ Column temperature: 175°C
- Injection temperature: 200°C
- Detector temperature: 205°C (for 63Ni detector use 220°C)

and saturate the column with MeHg by injecting large amounts (atout 18 mg) o solution (4.8.4) repeatedly. CAUTION: In order not to standard contaminate the detector it is important to ensure that it is at operations. temperature (205°C) before connecting the column while the carrier gas is flowing. Check the efficiency of the newly prepared column by injecting 0.10~
m mHg as MeHg. The peak height should be at least 20% of the maximal observable If the sensitivity is not sufficient or the peaks are broadened the column can be improved by keeping it at working temperature injecting (about

GRAIA

. 4

40 mg Hg per injection) repeatedly benzene solutions of MeHg iodide or methoxyethyl-mercury. These solutions are prepared by adding sodium iodide to aqueous solutions of MeHg chloride or methoxyethyl-mercury hydroxide and extracting with benzene. This solution is stable for only two hours. The benzene layer is then dried with sodium sulphate.

- 5.14 Chromatographic syringes 5 µl.
- 5.15 Weighing bottles with ground stoppers.
- 5.16 Drying oven (105°C).
- 5.17 Stainless steel tweezers.
- 5.18 Desiccator.
- 5.19 Freeze dryer.
- 5.20 Analytical balance.

NOTE: All glassware to be used in analytical procedure should be cleaned first by ordinary laboratory procedures and then by rinsing with 1 N ammonium hydroxide solution, followed by rinsing with destilled water and ethanol.

SAMPLING

For a sampling plan follow UNEP/FAO/IAEA (in preparation) and for sampling of marine organisms follow UNEP/FAO/IAEA (1984).

7. SAMPLE PREPARATION

For sample preparation follow UNEP/FAG/IAEA (1984).

8. DETERMINATION OF DRY WEIGHT

8-1 Oven-drying

A clean weighing bottle (5.15), with its ground stapper removed, is placed into a drying oven (5.16) set at 105°C. It is important to use the tweezers (5.17) every time the glass is touched, to avoid leaving fingerprints and particles of dirt on the weighing bottle. After 2 hours at 105°C place the table and the bottle separately into a desiccator (5.18) to cool.

Weigh the empty bottle with its stopper in place on the analytical balance (5.20). Note the weight. Place 1-2 g of the specimen sample (7) in the weighing bottle and close with the stopper. Weigh it again and note the weight.

Place the weighing bottle containing the subsample in the drying oven set at $105\,^{\circ}\text{C}$, remove the stopper with tweezers and place the stopper also in the oven.

After 24 hours replace the stopper on the bottle, remove the bottle with stopper from the drying oven, open the bottle and place it and the stopper in a desiccator to cool.

Weigh the bottle with stopper in place and note the weight.

Repeat the drying cycle until the difference between subsequent weighings is less than 0.5% of the total weight; calculate the dry weight (DW) and record the fresh weight (FW) and DW/FW ratio.

NOTE: Biological materials containing large amounts of lipids cannot be oven-dried to constant weight and must, therefore, be freeze-dried (8.2).

8.2 Freeze-drying

366

Place a 1-2 g exactly weighed (5.20) subsample of the specimen sample (7) in a clean container suitable for freeze-drying and freeze-dry (5.19) for 24 hours. Weigh the subsample exactly and freeze-dry for another 24 hours. Determine again the weight of the subsample. If the difference between the two weighings is less than 0.5% determine the DW and DW/FW ratio. Otherwise repeat the drying cycle until the difference between successive weighings is less than 0.5%.

9. EXTRACTION AND CLEAN-UP

9.1 Sample

Homogenize (5.1) about 5 g of tissue (note the exact weight in the protocol) in a 200 ml centrifuge tube (5.8). Add 60 ml of distilled water (4.1) and then 14 ml of concentrated MC1 (4.2) and 10 q NaBr (4.4). Mix and then add 70.0 ml benzene (4.7), shake mechanically (5.11) for 5, or 15 minutes vigorously by hand. Centrifuge (5.10) and them transfer 50.0 ml of the benzene extract into a separating funnel (5.5). Add 6.0 mL cysteine solution (4.5) with aIf too much pipette (5.2) and shake vigorously for 2 minutes. Centrifuge. emulsion or foam is present edd an antifoam agent (4.9). The emulsion can also be broken by vigorous stirring with a glass rod. Centrifuge again. 2.8 ml of the clear agueous layer into a 15 ml test tube with ground joint (5.6). Acidify with 1.2 mi 6 N HCl (4.3), add 8.5 g NaBr (4.4) and extract with 4.8 ml benzene by shaking for 2 min. Transfer the extract into a 5 ml test tube (5.6). Dry with anhydrous sodium sulphate (4.5).

This benzene extract represents the test solution used in the gas chromatographic enalysis.

9.2 81ank

. ..;

Follow procedure (9.1) replacing the 5 g tissue sample with 5 ml of distilled water (4.1). If the blank gives a peak at the retention time of MeHg (1.5 mln) check all reagents and solutions for impurities.

NOTE: The extraction and clean-up should be carried out in one run in order to minimize loss of MeHg. If the procedure has to be interrupted briefly then it should be discontinued only after the first centrifugation, i.e. when the MeHg is bound to cysteine. Longer interruption (i.e. overnight) should only occur when the sample is in benzene solution. Usually the final benzene extract (i.e. the test solution) is stable and can be stored for several weeks, but an extract kept longer may lose MeHg.

GAS CHRUMATUGRAPHIC ANALYSIS

10.1 StendardIzation

Set up the gas chromatograph to normal operating conditions (5.1%) and inject (5.14) 5 µl benzene (4.7) as a solvent blank followed by 5 µl each of the standard solutions (4.8.4) containing 0.05, 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3 ng Hgul⁻¹ in order to obtain standards of 0.25, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 ng Hg. Plot peak height against amount of mercury injected. A straight line cutting the x-axis at the most at 0.05 ng Hg frum zero should be obtained. Otherwise, check the gas chromatograph for malfunctioning or check the benzene (4.7) for impurities.

10.2 Calibration

Add 1.0 ml, 2.0 ml, 3.0 ml, 4.0 ml end 5.0 ml of the calibration solution (4.8.2) into 5 different 200 ml centrifuge tubes (5.8). Add to each 60 ml of distilled water (4.1) and then 14 ml of concentrated HCl (4.2) and 10 g NaBr (4.4). Mix and then add 70.0 ml benzene (4.7), shake mechanically (5.11) for 15 min., or 5 min. vigorously by hand. Then transfer 50.0 ml of the benzene extract into a separating funnel (5.5). Add 6.0 ml cysteine solution (4.5) with a pipette (5.2) and shake vigorously for 2 minutes. Fransfer 2.0 ml of the clear aqueous layer into a 15 ml test tube with a ground joint (5.6). Acidify with 1.2 ml 6 N HCl (4.3), add 0.5 g NaBr (4.3) and extract with 4.0 ml benzene by shaking for 2 min. Transfer the extract into a 5 ml test tube (5.6). Ory with anhydrous sodium solphate (4.5).

Prepare a subsample of the working matrix and extract it as described under (9.1).

Inject (5.14) 5 μ l of each of the extrects containing the different calibration solutions (4.8.2) and the extract of the working matrix and plot peak heights obtained against Hg content.

Check peak height of working matrix against previous values.

10.3 Analysis of sample

Start each series of sample analyses with a standardization (10.1), a calibration (10.2) and a blank (9.2).

Inject 5 μ l of the test solution (9.1,) followed by 5 μ l of a standard solution (4.8.4) whose peak height does not differ more than 25% from that of the sample. Check the correct function of the gea chromatograph by comparing this peak height with the corresponding one obtained in previous standardizations (18.1).

Make duplicate injections of both the sample and the standard for each sample enalysed.

NOTE: If Methy levels are expected to be so high that the peak obtained lies beyond the linear part of the standardization curve, either less tissue must be extracted (9.1) or the test solution (9.1) must be diluted. If on the other hand the peak height is small the sensitivity of the procedure can be increased either by increasing sample size to a maximum of 10 g, or by employing a smaller emount of the cysteine solution and changing the subsequent volumes accordingly.

11. EXPRESSION OF RESULTS .

Read the MeHg concentration corresponding to the peak height obtained from the calibration curve (10.2) and correct the weight difference with respect to the theoretical 5 g of tiegue to be extracted. Correct also for differences in volumes used in the calibration (10.2) and in the sample extraction (9.1).

Express this concentration both in $mgkg^{-1}$ FW and in $mgkg^{-1}$ DW utilizing for the latter the results of (8).

ESTIMATION OF PRECISION AND ACCURACY

12.1 Precision

88

Estimate the precision of the entire analytical procedure (9 to 10) by analysing 5 subsamples from one original sample. If the coefficient of variation is greater than 20%, check the procedure for the coefficient of the co

MOTE: The standardization test (10.1) can also be used for the estimation of the precision of the gas chromatographic analysis.

12.2 Accuracy

- Participate in the intercalibration exercises and, in addition,
- include analyses of the working matrix (4.10).

12.3 Quality control

If the quality control checks reveal a fluctuation in the standard deviation or the accuracy by more than 5%, check the following factors: stability of stock solutions (prepare new solutions); instrumental drift or inadvertant changes in operational parameters; contamination of the working matrix (select alternative reference meterial for analysis); contamination of equipment e.g. glassware, operator error(s).

13. TEST REPORT

Fill in the test report (table 1) giving full details in every column. Attach sampling and sample preparation protocol (UNEP/FAO/IAEA 1984).

::::

Table 1: Test Report on Total Methylmercury Concentration in Biological Material

1.	5am	ple code:						
2.	Dotermination of dry weight by freeze-drying or in oven							
	2.1	Ouration of o	trying:	<u> </u>				hours
	2. 2	Date of dryin	ng (day, mon	th, year):			
	2.3	DW/FW ratio:						
3.	Ext	rection and cl	.ean-up					
	3.1	Date (day, mo	nth, year):					
	3.2	Anomalies obs	erved which	may inf	luënce	test re	sulta:	
				<u> </u>				
							<u> </u>	
٠.	Cas	chromatograph	ic enelysis					
	4.1	Date (day, mo	mth, year):					
	4.2	Standardizati	on:					
			Blank		0,25	0.5	1.0	1.5
		peak height						_
	4.3	Calibration				**		
			Blank	1 ml	2 ml	3 ml	4 ml	5 ml
		peak height	•					
		metrix substa	ndard					_
		peak height				•		

The second

٧.

	4.4	Analysis of sample								
		weight of sample (grams)								
		FW/DW ratio:								
		peak height:								
	4.5	Result of analysis (mgkg ⁻¹	- FW) _			— <u>—</u> .	· ··			
5.	Est	imation of precision			<u>,</u>					
	5.1	Date (day, month, year) _				<u> </u>	 -			
	5.2	Results:								
		replicates	1	2	3	4	5			
		g sample								
		peak height								
		mean peak height		സ⊛ലെ ഒ	ine		gkg ⁻¹			
		stand. deviation	coef	f. of v	aristio	n	%			
6.	Est	imation of accuracy								
	6.1	.1 Date (day, month, year)								
	6.2	Origin and type of certifi	ed star	ndard us	ed:					
	6.3	Declared mg MeHgkg ⁻¹ of ce	rtified	i stande	rd:					
	6.4 Resulta:									
		replicates	1	2	3	4	5			
		g sample			<u> </u>		·			
		peak height								
						<u>-</u> . –	,1			

774

~:4

	Anomalies observed during test and other conditions which of influence the test results:	20
-		_
-	Intercalibration exercise (give details):	
-		
-		
-	Full address of the institution which carried out the test:	
	Name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) who carried out test:	t
	Date:	

Attachment: Sampling and sample preparation protocol relevant to the analyzed sample.

LIST OF REFERENCE METHODS FOR MARINE POLLUTION STUDIES

LISTE DES METHODES DE REFERENCE POUR LES ETROES DE PORLUTION MARSNE.

- UMEP/AND : Guidolines for monitoring the quality of commtal recreational waters. (Draft)
 Reference Methods for Marine Pollution Studies No. 1, UNEP 1982.
- user/AHO : Determination of total coliforms in see-water by the membrane filtration culture action. Reference Methods for Marine Pollution Studies No. 2 Rev. 1, UNEP 1985.
- PRUE JOHS : Détermination des colliformes totaux dans l'edu de mer par le méthode de culture aux membranes filtrentes. Méthodes de références pour les études de pollution marine No 2 rév. 1, CNUE 1993.
- tWEP/AND : Optomination of feasel colliforms in serveter by the combrone filtration culture method. Reference Mathods for Marine Pollution Studies No. 3 Rev. 1, (REP 1993.
- PROE/CMS : Détarmination des collignes férmix dess l'ami de mer per la méthode de culture mir membranes filtrentes. Méthodes de références pour les études de pollution marine No 3 rév. 1, PNOE 1983.
- UNEF/MMI : Determination of faccal stroptococci in sec-water by the scopesia filtration culture sethod. Reference Methods for Marine Pollution Studies No. 4 Rev. 1, UNEF 1983.
- PNUE/CMS : Détarmination des atreptacoques fécaux deme l'eau de met par le méthode de culture sur membranes filtrantes. Méthodes de références pour les études de pollution marine No 4 rév. 1, PNUE 1983.
- UNEF/MHO : Determination of faccal californs in thirelyes by sultiple test tube method. Reference Mathoda for Marina Follution Studies No. 3 Rev. 1, UNEF 1983.
- PNE/ONS : Odtermination des cull'armes fécuex dans les bivaives per le test des tubes aultiples.
 Méthodes de références pour les études de poilution marine No 5 rév.l. PAE 1983.
- UNEP/FAM/IACA : Guidelines for monitoring chemical conteminants in marine organisms. Reference Methods for Marine Pollution Studies No. 6, UNEP. (in propagation)
- UNEP/FAD/IAEA/ Sempling of selected merine organisms and sample preparation for trace metal enalysis. IOC: Reference Methods for Marine Pollution Studies No. 7 Rev. 2, UNEP 1984.
- UNEP/FAM/IAEA/ Determination of total servicy in selected marine organisms by cald vapour atomic IDC: absorption spectrophotomatry. Reference Methods for Marine Pollution Studies No. 8 Rev. 1, UMEP 1984.
- UNEP/FAD/IAEA : Determination of total practic in selected marine organisms by fiscaless etonic absorption spectrophotometry. (Draft) Reference Methods for Marine Pollution Studies No. 9, UNEP 1984.
- EMEP/FAD/IAEA: Determination of total selection in selected marine organisms by hydride generation atomic obscrition spectrophotometry. Reference Methods for Marine Pollution Studies No. 10, UNEP 1984.
- UNEF/FAG/IREA/ Determination of total cadedum, zinc, lead and copper in selected marine organisms by ICC: floweless stomic absorption spectrophotometry. Reference Mathods for Marine Pollution Studies No. 11 New. 1, UNEF 1984.
- UNEF/FRC/IAEA : Sampling of selected marine organizes and mample preparation for the analysis of chlorinated hydrocarbons. Reference Matheda for Marine Pollution Studies No. 12 Rev. 1, UNEF 1964.
- UMEF/FAC/TACA: Determination of muthylmercumy in selected marine organisms. Reference Methods for Marine Pollution Studies No. 13, UMEP UNEP 1984.
- UNEP/FAG/IAEA: Determination of ODTs and PCBs in selected marine organisms. Reference Hethodo for Warine Pollution Studies No. 14, UNEP 1982.

UNEP/IAEA : Determination of DOTs, PCBs and other hydrocarbons in marine sediments by gas liquidences atography. (Oraft) Reference Hethods for Marine Pollution Studies No. 17, UNE 1982.

UMEP/IOC : Ostermination of total dissolved cadmium in post-water by differential pulse anodi atripping voltamentry. (Draft) Reference Methods for Marine Pollution Studies No. 18 UMEP 1983.

UMEP/IGC : Determination of total servery in deturrine weters and suspended setter by cold vapor etosic absorption spectrophotosetry. Reference Methods for Marine Pollution Pollutic Studies No. 19, UMCP 1983. (in properation)

UNEP/ICC r Howitaring of petroleum hydrocarbons in sediments. Reference Hethods for Marj. Pullution Studies No. 20, UNEP. (in preparation)

UNEF/AND : Determination of total coliforms in sea-water by multiple test tube method. Reference Methods for Harine Pollution Studies No. 21, UNEP 1983. (in preparation)

UNEP/MM) : Determination of faccal coliforms in soc-water by multiple test tube method. Referent Methods for Marine Pollution Studies No. 22, UNEP 1983. (In preparation)

UNEP/AND : Determination of faccal streptococci in seg-water by multiple test tube metho Reference Hethods for Marine Pollution Studies No. 23, UNEP 1983. (in preparation)

UNEPARD : Sampling of aerosols and wet precipitation for enalysis of chemical pollutant Reference Methods for Merine Pollution Studies No. 24, UNEP (in preparation)

SPC/UNEP : Corel Reef Hantbook. Reference Hathoda for Marine Pollution Studies > 25, UNEP 1984.

UNEP/IAEA : Determination of total mercury in marine andiments and suspended sollids by cald vaporable spectrophotometry. Reference Hathods for Marine Pollution Studies No. : INCP 1984. (In preparation)

UNEP/IAEA ; Determination of total redoins in marine sediments by flameless shourt: spectrophotometry. Reference Mathods for Marine Pollution Studies No. 27, UNEP 191 (in preparation)

UNEP/ICC : Monitoring of petroleum hydrocarbona in sem-water. (in preparation)

UNEP/IAEA : Guidelines for monitoring of estuatine waters and suspended matter. (in properation

UNEP/WHO : Determination of faecal colligens in estuarine waters, suspended watter and sedimen (in preparation)

UNEP/460 : Ostermination of phosphorus in suspended matter and addiments. (In preparation)

UNCTABLE : Determination of mitrogen in suspended matter and sediments. (in preparation)

UNEF/AND : Determination of 800₅ and COO in estuarine maters. (in preparation)

UNEP/FAG : Acute toxicity tests. (In preparation)

. . . .

UNEP/UNESCO : Determination of total madmium in estuarine weters and suspended matterpreparation)

UNEP : Biological con-acute toxicity tests, (in preparation)

NEP/ICC : Catemination of basic oceanographic and mateorological conditions. (in preparatio

unFP/IDC : Determination of standard physical and chemical parameters. (in preparation)

UNEP/IAEA : Ostermination of selected trace metals in serosols and in wet precipitation. (in preparation)

UNEP/IAEA : Ostermination of helogenated hydrocarbons in garosols and in wet precipitation. (in preparation)

UNEP/WHO : Sempling of dry deposition. (in preparation)

Issued and printed by:



Oceans and Coastal Areas Programme Activity Centre United Mations Environment Programme

Additional copies of this and other publications issued by the Oceans and Coastal Areas Programme Activity Centre can be obtained from:

Oceans and Coastal Areas Programme Activity Centre
United Nations Environment Programme
P.O. Box 30552
Nairobi
Kenya