

Netherlands Comments on the Programme Performance Review

Please find below, as indicated in the chat the key points I wanted to make:

- In addition to the points raised by the EU, NL welcomes the intention as stated by the Secretariat, for future Programme Performance Reports to the committee, to link the overall outcomes to the results framework as agreed for the MTS and the POW in previous UNEAs. We believe it important for the committees oversight role to not only receive a report on what has been done, but also to be provided with information on what this means related to the outcomes and results that have been agreed to be the basis for UNEPs work. Only then, the committee be in a position to provide meaningful guidance for the future implementation of UNEPs PoW.
- NL welcomes the information provided by the Secretariat on the impact of COVID, though it would have been useful to have a bit more clear picture on how exactly COVID has impacted the delivery of the sub-programmes and the expected impact in the coming period, including key steps undertaken to respond to the pandemic.
- In particular, we would welcome further efforts to contribute to the support of MS in the development of Green Recovery Plans, as well as Greening Stimulus Packages, through engagement in the UN Country Teams and Resident Coordinator system, as well as through engagement in the UN system.
- Lastly, we thank the secretariat for highlighting the NL contribution to the Agri3Fund, which we hope will serve as an important instrument to develop more resilient practices and reduced deforestation. We welcome if this earmarked contribution would be reflected amongst other contributions (e.g. for the IRP and other specific Trustfunds) as part of our earmarked contributions.

Thank you.