

**DRAFT INTERVENTION
DELEGATION OF INDONESIA
8TH ANNUAL SUB COMMITTEE MEETING**

**AGENDA ITEM 4.C:
BRIEFING ON THE CONSULTATIONS ON A DRAFT MINISTERIAL DECLARATION FOR
UNEA 5.**

Madam Chair,

We thank Norway for the presentation of the draft ministerial declaration, and we also look carefully on the proposed timeline for the consultation process, as indicated in the letter.

Indonesia believes that from now until February 2022, it is our responsibility as member states to continue providing input with the aim to strengthen the draft ministerial declaration.

Our delegation will participate actively in this endeavour.

And in this connection, we believe on the importance of an inclusive consultation to achieve consensus.

In this meeting, our delegation wishes to provide a preliminary view and input on the current draft text (as of 8 October 2021) as follows:

Having read the PP part of the Draft Ministerial Declaration, our delegation is of the view that more information is needed to provide better understanding.

At this stage, our delegation is under the impression that there is an indication of separation of nature from the concept of sustainable development in general.

Our understanding on sustainable development is that for it to be achieved, it is imperative to harmonize the three core elements: economic growth, social inclusion and environmental protection. These elements are interconnected and all are crucial for the well-being of individuals and societies.

Taking one element away from the others, and place it as a prerequisite for the other elements, may deconstruct the very notion of the sustainable development itself.

Our delegation is of the view that it is in our common interest that we should maintain and strengthen the construction of sustainable development that we already have right now, even after 2030, when we aim to achieve targets of the SDGs.

Furthermore, on the OP part, our delegation found a terminology that needs to be further clarified, namely “untraditional partnerships”.

What does it entail? What makes it different from “traditional partnership”? How will it affect the stakeholders joining the said “untraditional partnerships”?

Our delegation is of the view that we should consider using simple and plain language to express our intention and desire to strengthen collaboration among stakeholders.

On OP 19, we support the element of “effective means of implementation” in that paragraph. In particular, we are of the view that we need to strengthen the part of capacity building, ensuring reference on transfer of knowledge on environmentally sound technologies, and dedicated development partnerships.

In this connection, our delegation identifies a possible collaboration to promote participation and contribution from the developing countries, namely through south-south cooperation.

We would like to propose that we insert the phrase of south-south cooperation in this paragraph.

We look forward for a productive exchange on this document.

I thank you.

/ends/