



GEF-6 GEF SECRETARIAT REVIEW FOR FULL-SIZED/MEDIUM-SIZED PROJECTS THE GEF/LDCF/SCCF TRUST FUND

GEF ID:	9815		
Country/Region:	South Sudan		
Project Title:	Systemic, institutional and individual capacity for the implementation of the Rio Conventions in the Republic of South Sudan		
GEF Agency:	UNEP	GEF Agency Project ID:	
Type of Trust Fund:	GEF Trust Fund	GEF Focal Area (s):	Multi Focal Area
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):	CCCD-1; CCCD-2; CCCD-3;		
Anticipated Financing PPG:	\$50,000	Project Grant:	\$1,000,000
Co-financing:	\$2,250,000	Total Project Cost:	\$3,250,000
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	Susan Waithaka	Agency Contact Person:	Jochem Zoetelief

PIF Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Project Consistency	1. Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework? ¹	Yes the project will address CCCD objectives 1-3. Cleared 4/6/2017	
	2. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?	Yes the PIF is addressing the gaps identified under the NCSA. It has also taken into account the provisions provided through the Transitional Constitution which address the need	

¹ For BD projects: has the project explicitly articulated which Aichi Target(s) the project will help achieve and are SMART indicators identified, that will be used to track the project's contribution toward achieving the Aichi Target(s)?

PIF Review

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
		<p>to protect and manage natural resources. Furthermore the country approved and operationalized two key Policies – The Disaster Management Policy, and its accompanying Master Plan 2015-2030, and also approved the National Environment Policy. the project is consistent with Plans under Conventions that have been prepared such as the NAPA, while others are under development.</p> <p>Cleared 4/6/2017</p>	
Project Design	3. Does the PIF sufficiently indicate the drivers ² of global environmental degradation, issues of sustainability, market transformation, scaling, and innovation?	<p>Yes. Cleared 4/6/2017</p>	
	4. Is the project designed with sound incremental reasoning?	<p>No - this is not clearly articulated. Please provide more information including what is expected from the baseline including co-financing.</p> <p>Please provide this information 4/6/2017</p> <p>Information provided. Cleared 7/21/2017</p>	
	5. Are the components in Table B sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to	<p>The components in table B are somewhat clear, and are expected to</p>	

² Need not apply to LDCF/SCCF projects.

PIF Review

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	achieve project objectives and the GEBs?	<p>be refined after stakeholder consultation. However there is no mention of how the project will contribute to the GEBs.</p> <p>Please provide some information on this.</p> <p>4/6/2017</p>	
	6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?	<p>'Women suffer most from environmental degradation and climate change impacts; and benefit most from improved environmental conditions. Women experience numerous negative social outcomes associated with environmental degradation, with many more unaccounted for. Accordingly, gender will be a crosscutting theme in the formulation, revision and strengthening of key policies and legislative instruments at all levels'. CSOS and other NGO details will be provided at CEO endorsement - please ensure that they are consulted.</p> <p>Cleared</p> <p>4/6/2017</p>	
Availability of Resources	7. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The STAR allocation? 	N/A	

PIF Review

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The focal area allocation? 	N/A	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The LDCF under the principle of equitable access 	N/A	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)? 	N/A	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Focal area set-aside? 	<p>GEF 6 CCCD funds. Cleared</p> <p>4/6/2017</p> <p>Please note that following a review on availability of funds, we are unable to approve this project at this time. Please note that council decision GEF/C.51/04 - Update on GEF-6 Resource Availability requests the Secretariat to effectively and proactively manage the projected shortfall in GEF-6. As mandated, the Secretariat will keep the issue under review and will advise of any changes that may arise.</p> <p>7/21/2017</p> <p>Following the latest update of the availability of funds for CCCD, we can now clear this project for PIF approval.</p> <p>1/26/2018</p>	

PIF Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Recommendations	8. Is the PIF being recommended for clearance and PPG (if additional amount beyond the norm) justified?	<p>Not yet.</p> <p>4/6/2017</p> <p>Please see above message on availability of funds.</p> <p>7/21/2017</p> <p>The PIF is now being recommended for clearance and PPG.</p> <p>1/26/2018</p>	
Review Date	Review	April 06, 2017	
	Additional Review (as necessary)	July 28, 2017	
	Additional Review (as necessary)	January 26, 2018	

CEO endorsement Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement	Response to Secretariat comments
Project Design and Financing	1. If there are any changes from that presented in the PIF, have justifications been provided?		

CEO endorsement Review

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement	Response to Secretariat comments
	2. Is the project structure/ design appropriate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs?		
	3. Is the financing adequate and does the project demonstrate a cost-effective approach to meet the project objective?		
	4. Does the project take into account potential major risks, including the consequences of climate change, and describes sufficient risk response measures? (e.g., measures to enhance climate resilience)		
	5. Is co-financing confirmed and evidence provided?		
	6. Are relevant tracking tools completed?		
	7. <i>Only for Non-Grant Instrument:</i> Has a reflow calendar been presented?		
	8. Is the project coordinated with other related initiatives and national/regional plans in the country or in the region?		
	9. Does the project include a budgeted M&E Plan that monitors and measures results with indicators and targets?		
	10. Does the project have descriptions of a knowledge management plan?		

CEO endorsement Review

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement	Response to Secretariat comments
Agency Responses	11. Has the Agency adequately responded to comments at the PIF ³ stage from:		
	• GEFSEC		
	• STAP		
	• GEF Council • Convention Secretariat		
Recommendation	12. Is CEO endorsement recommended?		
Review Date	Review		
	Additional Review (as necessary)		
	Additional Review (as necessary)		

³ If it is a child project under a program, assess if the components of the child project align with the program criteria set for selection of child projects.