

## Agenda item 8 CPR Based Review – NL comments

Thank secretariat for the preparation of this agenda item and the update on the implementation of the recommendations following the CPR based review.

My delegation wishes to reflect on what is agreed under paragraph 10 of the outcomes of the CPR Based Review that aims at *improving the identification, formulation and recording of decisions and conclusions from CPR meetings*

We note with concern that there is little difference in the format and outcomes of the different meetings we have, such as briefings to the CPR, subcommittee meetings including this annual subcommittee meeting and the quarterly CPR meetings as the decision making meeting of the CPR.

[clarify on different purpose of briefings, subcommittee meetings and CPR]

In that regard we would like to make the following recommendations, with a view to give more purpose, focus to the meeting and with a view to come to outcomes of the CPR that can be followed up:

1. To request the Bureau, with the help of the secretariat to:
  - a. to Provide a strategically planned roadmap for briefings, subcommittee meetings, taking into account the different purposes of those meetings, well ahead to our meetings, as requested several times,
  - b. For the quarterly CPR meetings to develop a standing agenda, taking into account the timelines of relevant processes, that includes at least, to facilitate the oversight responsibility of the CPR, three elements:
    1. Report of CPR subcommittees, including recommendations for CPR to consider
    2. PPRs and Quarterly reports
    3. Audits/evaluations/inspections (fraud-corruption and SEAH) and a separate agenda item, on preparations for UNEA
  - c. For the annotated agendas to be presented well in advance to the meetings that reflects: a reference to the documents to be discussed, the issues for discussion and – where relevant – the recommendations or draft decisions for MS to consider.
  - d. Likewise For the documents presented to the meetings to be available well in advance, and to include recommendations, where relevant to allow MS to prepare and provide useful input to the meetings
  
1. We furthermore ask the secretariat to clearly document the outcomes of the meetings, including the recommendations to the CPR of subcommittee meetings and

reflect the decisions of the quarterly CPR meetings in the report of those meetings, to facilitate they can be followed up and monitored.

2. We recognize the MS driven process and we totally agree, it is up to MS to decide on if and which recommendations are taken up, but we should make the best use of Secretariat – they have the knowledge – to facilitate decision making and the efficiency and effectiveness of our meetings
  
3. It is our strong believe that a more structured and more disciplined way of working, focusing on outcomes of the CPR would greatly help us to perform better the mandate provided to us by UNEA.

I thank you