Assessment Questionnaire

You are cordially invited to complete this survey for the Independent **Assessment of Closed Projects under the Special Programme (SP)**. The survey will help to improve the work of the Special Programme in the future. It will take **approximately 30 minutes** and has **three sections (Focus Areas and Progress Attained, Inclusivity and Factors Affecting Performance, and Sustainability of Results).** Thank you in advance for your crucial support in completing the survey until **7 August 2022**.

By clicking on **"next"** at the bottom of each page, the results are **automatically saved**. You can continue or resume the survey at a later stage, just close the page once having clicked "next" and re-access it by **clicking the same survey link**. Please note that for this you must use **the same device and same web browser with cookies enabled**. You can go back to the survey as many times you want. Make sure you click on **the "Done and Submit"** button once you finish.

NB: Please note that for matrix questions you can only select one answer per row and column, and for checkbox questions also only one answer.

Background Information

Contact Details:			
Full Name:		Gender:	
Organization:			
Title/Position in Organization:			
Contact Information	Email		Phone:	
Which role did you have in the in the SP project? (please specify):			
Please also indicate if you are from the minority group?			
Yes or no			

SECTION 1 - AREAS OF FOCUS OF YOUR SP PROJECT AND PROGRESS ATTAINED

1.1 Did your SP project focus on introducing a national chemical or waste database or registry?
- Yes, and progress was made
- Yes, but no progress was made
- No

  If "Yes, and progress was made", go to question 1.1.1
  If "Yes, but no progress was made", go to question 1.1.2
  If "No", go to question 1.2

1.1.1   What **database or registry** on chemical or waste management did your country have?

	At the **start** of your SP project	At the **end** of your SP project

No database or registry		
Database or registry covering **1 Multilateral Environmental Agreement (MEA)**		
Database or registry covering **2 MEAs**		
Database or registry covering **3 MEAs**		
Database or registry covering **4 MEAs**		
Database or registry covering **4 MEAs and SAICM**		
None of the above		

If your project had "none of the above" situation, please specify it in the comment box below, otherwise please write "NA" to move to the next question (1.2):

1.1.2   If no progress was made, what **database or registry** on chemical or waste management did your country have at the **start** of your SP project?
- **No** database or registry
- Database or registry covering **1 Multilateral Environmental Agreement (MEA)**
- Database or registry covering **2 MEAs**
- Database or registry covering **3 MEAs**
- Database or registry covering **4 MEAs**
- Database or registry covering **4 MEAs and SAICM**
- None of the above, please specify:

1.2   Did your SP project focus on developing the knowledge or expertise on chemical or waste management through trainings or workshops?
- Yes, and progress was made
- Yes, but no progress was made
- No
   If "Yes, and progress was made", go to question 1.2.1
   If "Yes, but no progress was made", go to question 1.2.2
   If "No", go to question 1.3

1.2.1   What was the level of **knowledge or expertise** in your country on chemicals and waste?

	At the **start** of your SP project	At the **end** of your SP project
No knowledge or expertise available		
Not enough personnel in **at least one priority Ministry, Department or Agency** have		

basic training in chemical and/or waste management		
Enough personnel in **at least one priority Ministry, Department or Agency** have **basic training** in Chemical and/or waste management		
Enough personnel from **1 or 2 Ministry, Department or Agency** have been **trained** in chemical and /or waste management and **know how to apply it into country planning**		
Enough personnel in **3 or 4 Ministries, Departments or Agencies** have been **trained** in chemical and /or waste management **and can transfer their knowledge** to colleagues for day to day use		
All the required personnel have **necessary expertise** and **can integrate** chemical management **into the development planning process**		
None of the above		

If your project had "none of the above" situation, please specify it in the comment box below, otherwise please write "NA" to move to the next question (1.3):

1.2.2   If no progress was made, what was the level of **knowledge or expertise** in your country on chemicals and waste at the **start** of your SP project?
- **No** knowledge or expertise available
- **Not enough** personnel in **at least one priority Ministry, Department or Agency** have **basic training** in chemical and/or waste management
- **Enough** personnel in **at least one priority Ministry, Department or Agency** have **basic training** in Chemical and/or waste management
- **Enough** personnel from **1 or 2 Ministry, Department or Agency** have been **trained** in chemical and /or waste management and **know how to apply it into country planning**
- **Enough** personnel in **3 or 4 Ministries, Departments or Agencies** have been **trained** in chemical and /or waste management **and can transfer their knowledge** to colleagues for day to day use
- **All** the required personnel have **necessary expertise** and **can integrate** chemical management **into the development planning process**
- None of the above, please specify:

1.3 Did your SP project focus on establishing a chemical or waste management unit in your Government?
- Yes, and progress was made
- Yes, but no progress was made
- No

1.3.1 At what stage was your Government in the process of **establishing a unit** on chemicals and waste at the **start** of your SP project?

	At the **start** of your SP project	At the **end** of your SP project
Nothing had been done		
The Government **decided on a mandate** to establish a unit		
The Government developed a **framework document** detailing how the unit would be established and would operate		
The unit was **established** and had an **executive director**		
The unit was established and had an executive director. In addition, **standard operating procedures** were developed, and **staff** were hired		
The unit had all human, financial and physical resources and was **fully operational**		
None of the above		

If your project had "none of the above" situation, please specify it in the comment box below, otherwise please write "NA" to move to the next question (1.4):

```



```

1.3.2 If no progress was made, at what stage was your Government in the process of **establishing a unit on chemicals and waste** at the **start** of your SP project?
- **Nothing** had been done
- The Government **decided on a mandate** to establish a unit
- The Government developed a **framework document** detailing how the unit would be established and would operate
- The unit was **established** and had an **executive director**

- The unit was established and had an executive director. In addition, **standard operating procedures** were developed, and **staff** were hired
- The unit had all human, financial and physical resources and was **fully operational**
- None of the above, please specify:

1.4 Did your SP project focus on establishing a national multi-stakeholder coordination mechanism on chemicals and waste?
- Yes, and progress was made
- Yes, but no progress was made
- No

1.4.1   How did the **national multi-stakeholder** mechanism look like?

	At the **start** of your SP project	At the **end** of your SP project
There was **no** multi-stakeholder coordination mechanism		
There was a multi-stakeholder coordination mechanism with **very limited and irregular participation** from Government and non-Government bodies		
There was a multi-stakeholder coordination mechanism with **more regular and structured participation** from Government and non-Government bodies		
There was a multi-stakeholder coordination mechanism **with regular meetings and adequate** participation from Government and non-Government bodies		
There was **coordinated planning and a common knowledge exchange mechanism** in addition to a multi-stakeholder coordination mechanism **with regular meetings and adequate participation** from Government and non-Government		
The multi-stakeholder coordination mechanism		

reached **full maturity** with **full participation** from all Governmental and non-Governmental stakeholders and a **joint community** of practice		
None of the above		

If your project had "none of the above" situation, please specify it in the comment box below, otherwise please write "NA" to move to the next question (1.5):

1.4.2   If no progress was made, how did the **national multi-stakeholder mechanism** look like at the **start** of your SP project?
- There was **no** multi-stakeholder coordination mechanism
- There was a multi-stakeholder coordination mechanism with **very limited and irregular participation** from Government and non-Government bodies
- There was a multi-stakeholder coordination mechanism with **more regular and structured participation** from Government and non-Government bodies
- There was a multi-stakeholder coordination mechanism **with regular meetings and adequate** participation from Government and non-Government bodies
- There was **coordinated planning and a common knowledge exchange mechanism** in addition to a multi-stakeholder coordination mechanism **with regular meetings and adequate participation** from Government and non-Government
- The multi-stakeholder coordination mechanism reached **full maturity** with **full participation** from all Governmental and non-Governmental stakeholders and a **joint community** of practice
- None of the above, please specify:

1.5   Did your SP project focus on developing, updating or implementing a national strategy, policy or plan on chemicals or waste?
- Yes, and progress was made
- Yes, but no progress was made
- No

If "Yes, and progress was made", go to question 1.5.1
If "Yes, but no progress was made", go to question 1.5.2
If "No", go to question 1.6

1.5.1   At what stage was the **strategy, policy or plan**?

	At the **start** of your SP project	At the **end** of your SP project
There was **no** strategy, policy or plan		

The strategy, policy or plan was **proposed**		
The strategy, policy or plan was **adopted**		
The strategy, policy or plan was in place and **being implemented**		
None of the above		

If your project had "none of the above" situation, please specify it in the comment box below, otherwise please write "NA" to move to the next question (1.6):

1.5.2   If no progress was made, at what stage was the **strategy, policy or plan** at the **start** of your SP project?
- There was **no** strategy, policy or plan
- The strategy, policy or plan was **proposed**
- The strategy, policy or plan was **adopted**
- The strategy, policy or plan was in place and **being implemented**
- None of the above, please specify:

1.6   Did your SP project focus on integrating the texts of any multilateral environmental agreement (MEA) ratified by your Government into national legislation?
- Yes, and progress was made
- Yes, but no progress was made
- No
- If "Yes, and progress was made", go to question 1.6.1
- If "Yes, but no progress was made", go to question 1.6.2
- If "No", go to question 1.7

1.6.1   To what extent were the **MEAs** ratified by your Government integrated into **national legislation**?

	At the **start** of your SP project	At the **end** of your SP project
Nothing was done		
The relevant authority **proposed** to integrate the MEAs into national legislation		
The integration of the MEAs into national legislation was **adopted**		
The MEAs were integrated into national legislation and being **implemented**		

None of the above		

If your project had "none of the above" situation, please specify it in the comment box below, otherwise please write "NA" to move to the next question (1.7):

1.6.2 If no progress was made, to what extent were the **MEAs ratified** by your Government integrated into **national legislation** at the **start** of your SP project?
- **Nothing** was done
- The relevant authority **proposed** to integrate the MEAs into national legislation
- The integration of the MEAs into national legislation was **adopted**
- The MEAs were integrated into national legislation and being **implemented**
- None of the above, please specify:

1.7 Did your SP project focus on developing national regulations stipulating how to manage chemicals or waste on a day-to-day basis?
- Yes, and progress was made
- Yes, but no progress was made
- No

  If "Yes, and progress was made", go to question 1.7.1
  If "Yes, but no progress was made", go to question 1.7.2
  If "No", go to question 1.8

1.7.1 To what extent were **regulations** on how to manage chemicals and waste developed?

	At the **start** of your SP project	At the **end** of your SP project
Nothing was done		
The relevant authority **proposed** to develop regulations		
The regulations were **adopted**		
The regulations were in place and being **implemented**		
None of the above		

If your project had "none of the above" situation, please specify it in the comment box below, otherwise please write "NA" to move to the next question (1.8):

1.7.2   If no progress was made, to what extent were **regulations** on how to manage chemicals and waste developed at the **start** of your SP project?
- **Nothing** was done
- The relevant authority **proposed** to develop regulations
- The regulations were **adopted**
- The regulations were in place and being **implemented**
- None of the above, please specify:

1.8 Did your SP project undertake activities related to submitting reports to the MEAs to which your country is a party?
- Yes, and progress was made
- Yes, but no progress was made
- No
- If "Yes, and progress was made", go to question 1.8.1
- If "Yes, but no progress was made", go to question 1.8.2
- If "No", go to question 2.1

1.8.1   At the start of your SP project, what was the status of the submission of the reports to the Secretariat of the conventions that your country has ratified?

	At the **start** of your SP project	At the **end** of your SP project
No reports were submitted		
Only the outlines of the reports were prepared (**unfinished** draft)		
The reports were **drafted**		
The reports were **submitted** to the relevant MEA Secretariat		
None of the above		

If your project had "none of the above" situation, please specify it in the comment box below, otherwise please write "NA" to move to the next question (2.1):

1.8.2   At the end of your SP project, what was the status of the submission of the reports to the Secretariat of the conventions that your country has ratified?
- **No** reports were submitted
- Only the outlines of the reports were prepared (**unfinished** draft)
- The reports were **drafted**
- The reports were **submitted** to the relevant MEA Secretariat
- None of the above, please specify:

SECTION 2 - INCLUSIVITY AND FACTORS AFFECTING PERFORMANCE OF YOUR SP PROJECT

2.1 Did your SP project have content that was specifically addressed to women?

   a) Do not know or not applicable
   b) No
   c) Slightly
   d) Moderately
   e) Substantially

If applicable, which content was specifically addressed to women?

```
```

2.2 What was the overall level of participation of women in the project activities, such as workshops, trainings, capacity building or other similar activities?

   - Do not know or not applicable
   - 0-15%
   - 16-30%
   - 31-45%
   - 46% - more

Please add comments, if needed:

```
```

2.3 Did your SP project have a specific focus on indigenous peoples or persons with disabilities?

   a) Do not know or not applicable
   b) No
   c) Slightly
   d) Moderately
   e) Substantially

If applicable, what aspects of indigenous peoples or persons with disabilities did it integrate?

```
```

2.4 Was your SP project impacted by socio-political factors, such as social movements, elections or political changes in your Government?
   a) Do not know or not applicable
   b) No
   c) Slightly
   d) Moderately
   e) Substantially

If applicable, please specify how it was impacted:

```
```

2.5 Was your SP project impacted by financial factors, such as the overall budgetary situation in your country and of the different agencies and ministries within your Government?
   a) Do not know or not applicable
   b) No
   c) Slightly
   d) Moderately
   e) Substantially

   If applicable, please specify how it was impacted:

2.6 Was your SP project impacted by institutional factors, such as the level of coordination between Ministries and Agencies in your Government?
   a) Do not know or not applicable
   b) No
   c) Slightly
   d) Moderately
   e) Substantially

   If applicable, please specify how it was impacted:

2.7 Was your SP project impacted by the COVID-pandemic?
   a) Do not know or not applicable
   b) No
   c) Slightly
   d) Moderately
   e) Substantially

   If applicable, please specify how it was impacted:

2.8 Were there other factors or challenges that affected the implementation of your SP project? If applicable, please provide information in bullet points and explain. Otherwise please write "NA" to move to the next question:

SECTION 3 - SUSTAINABILITY OF RESULTS OF YOUR SP PROJECT

3.1 To what extent are the main results that were achieved through your SP project in your country still maintained after the closure of the project? Example: If you created an institutional structure (a unit or a coordination mechanism) or took any other measure answer whether they are still in place and functioning.

a) Results **not** maintained
b) Results **partially** maintained
c) Results **mostly** maintained
d) Results **fully** maintained

Which specific results are maintained?

<div style="border:1px solid black; height:60px;"></div>

3.2 Did your SP project incorporate specific measures (such as exit strategy or associated domestic measures) during the lifetime of the project to ensure that the results of the project could be maintained over time?"

- No
- Yes

If yes, which measures?

<div style="border:1px solid black; height:60px;"></div>

3.3 To what extent has your Government secured financing (monetary or in-kind) after the closure of the project to ensure that the results that your SP project achieved can be maintained over time?

a) **No** financing secured
b) Financing secured **just for maintaining the most basic results** achieved in the project
c) Financing secured for **maintaining the majority of the results** achieved in the project
d) Full financing secured for **maintaining all the results achieved** by the project

What type of financing is your Government currently providing for securing the Project results?

<div style="border:1px solid black; height:60px;"></div>