

Management Response: Implementation Plan for Evaluation Recommendations

General Information

Eval ID	680
Evaluation Manager	Janet Wildish
Project Evaluation Title	Terminal Evaluation of the UNEPGEF project "Mainstreaming Agrobiodiversity Conservation and Use in Sri Lankan Agro-Ecosystems for Livelihoods and Adaptation to Climate Change" GEF Id No 4150
PIMS #	
GEF ID	4150
UNEP Sub-programme	SP3 – Healthy and Productive Ecosystems
GEF Focal Area	Biodiversity
Project Manager/ Task Manager	Max Zieren
Office/Division	Ecosystems Division
Branch & Unit	Ecosystems - Biodiversity and Land Branch
Final PDF Report distributed by Evaluation Office (Date)	25-05-22
Total # of Recommendations as per Report	4
Implementation Plan Sent to PM/TM (Date)	04-04-22
Implementation Plan Returned by PM/TM (Date)	19-05-22
Implementation Plan finalized (if different from the date above)	

Implementation Plan

No	Challenge/problem to be addressed by the recommendation	Recommendation	Priority level	Type of Recommendation	Responsibility	Proposed Implementation time-frame	Acceptance	Reason if not Accepted or Partially Accepted	Management Action(s) to be taken
1	Results framework was there, but weak	Assure that a good results framework is developed during the PPG phase, which should have reliable baselines, and specific targets for planning of project activities and monitoring of results. In cases where baselines are not available or not finalized at the moment of approval, it is better to put the baseline as zero and define the target according to the direct project outputs.	Important	UNEP-wide	UNEP	Immediately	Partially Accepted	Task Manager notes that this project was approved in 2012 and there have been efforts to improve the quality of results framework since then. Importance of PRC quality assurance process and that follow up is done	

No	Challenge/problem to be addressed by the recommendation	Recommendation	Priority level	Type of Recommendation	Responsibility	Proposed Implementation time-frame	Acceptance	Reason if not Accepted or Partially Accepted	Management Action(s) to be taken
								(baselines, targets, results statements and indicators all work together and all need to be complete)	
2	OECD criterion of Coherence is reflected in UNEP's sub-category on Complementarity under Strategic Relevance	Assure more exchange of experiences and lessons learned, especially between UNEP projects going on in the same country at the same time, but also with other agencies working in the same technical fields. In this case the project did not even have interaction with another UNEP-GEF project in Sri Lanka that was focused on agro-biodiversity and pesticide reduction in the tea sector (GEF ID 5750).	Opportunity for improvement	UNEP-wide	UNEP	Immediately	Partially Accepted	NOTED - the integration and collaboration is more likely to take place when there are financial relationships (e.g. co-finance) Collaboration results may need to be included in the logframe (e.g. outputs that rely on collaboration)	
3	National legislation and regulations, as well as bureaucratic processes.	Assure that problems with transfer of project funds are resolved early on during the project implementation, to avoid delays and project extensions. This should be dealt with on high government level, based on UNEP's direct contact with the government as an international agency.	Important	UNEP-wide	UNEP (Financial Management)	Immediately	Accepted	These factors are outside the control of UNEP - can happen with partners who have worked with UNEP before. Some learning can be applied when all the parties are the same, but little UNEP can do.	
4	UNEP's and partner agencies' capacity	Assure that lessons learned from this project are being integrated into the design of other UNEP projects that are focusing on agrobiodiversity,	Opportunity for improvement	UNEP-wide	UNEP	Immediately	Accepted	New system (Chris Cox in Panama Office) on knowledge management being set up (technical)	

No	Challenge/problem to be addressed by the recommendation	Recommendation	Priority level	Type of Recommendation	Responsibility	Proposed Implementation time-frame	Acceptance	Reason if not Accepted or Partially Accepted	Management Action(s) to be taken
		implemented by BI or other agencies.						There is some institutional knowledge of capacity of partners and govt ministries/depts. Which should come to the fore at PRC (through the Portfolio Manager or Head of Branch)	