

Management Response: Implementation Plan for Evaluation Recommendations

General Information

Eval ID	687
Evaluation Manager	Janet Wildish
Project Evaluation Title	Terminal Evaluation of the UNEP project Macaya Grand Sud Phases I (PIMS ID 01870)
PIMS #	1870
GEF ID	
UNEP Sub-programme	SP3 – Healthy and Productive Ecosystems
GEF Focal Area	
Project Manager/ Task Manager	Fabien Monteils
Office/Division	Ecosystems Division
Branch & Unit	Resilience to Disasters and Conflicts Global Support Branch
Final PDF Report distributed by Evaluation Office (Date)	26/10/2021
Total # of Recommendations as per Report	5
Implementation Plan Sent to PM/TM (Date)	10/11/2021
Implementation Plan Returned by PM/TM (Date)	10/12/2021
Implementation Plan finalized (if different from the date above)	

Implementation Plan

No	Challenge/problem to be addressed by the recommendation	Recommendation	Priority level	Type of Recommendation	Responsibility	Proposed Implementation time-frame	Acceptance	Reason if not Accepted or Partially Accepted	Management Action(s) to be taken
1	There is a clear demand among partners for UNEP to remain involved in regional planning, protected area planning and management, and green value chains in the South of Haiti. Building on the capital of partnerships, knowledge and action accumulated over a decade, UNEP's role should be one of support (accompaniment)	Develop a new country strategy that defines a new role for UNEP. The strategy should incorporate the key lessons from this and previous, project evaluations of work in Haiti, and it should pursue the original idea of facilitating collaboration among UN agencies. The strategy should also provide an integrated	Important	Project	UNEP Country Office, in collaboration with partners.	Immediate and on-going, with lessons from this and other evaluations and self-assessments applied in the design and implementation of the strategy and of future programmes and projects.	Accepted		Formulate a new country strategy with full consideration of recommendations. As a prerequisite, the model of operation and perspectives for UNEP in Haiti need to be clarified as part of the broader UNEP reform (not under the PM

No	Challenge/problem to be addressed by the recommendation	Recommendation	Priority level	Type of Recommendation	Responsibility	Proposed Implementation time-frame	Acceptance	Reason if not Accepted or Partially Accepted	Management Action(s) to be taken
	<p>to national and local actors, in government, in civil society and in the private sector (cooperatives, small and micro enterprises). The new strategy should be consistent with the recently formulated integrated strategic framework for Haiti, known as the "One United Nations plan", aimed at focusing UN efforts on support to Haitian institutions to ensure they are better equipped to tackle challenges to peace, stability and development and to deliver on the commitments of the 2030 Agenda.</p> <p>In the design of specific elements of this new strategy, UNEP should: (a) ensure that all stakeholders are effectively engaged in the planning processes, (b) give early attention to the design of a suitable governance and institutional arrangements, and (c) be realistic in the formulation of management programmes, actions and investments.</p> <p>In the design and implementation of this strategy, UNEP should also actively pursue the original idea of facilitating collaboration among UN agencies and helping to</p>	<p>framework for monitoring, evaluation and learning that can be applied to specific projects and programmes.</p>							<p>control). In addition, such a UNEP country strategy will have to be aligned with the UNSDCF, which is expected to be developed in 2022 and start in 2023, so the need to consider harmonization as part of the country strategy formulation and consolidation process.</p>

No	Challenge/problem to be addressed by the recommendation	Recommendation	Priority level	Type of Recommendation	Responsibility	Proposed Implementation time-frame	Acceptance	Reason if not Accepted or Partially Accepted	Management Action(s) to be taken
	<p>mainstream the environmental dimension into the programming and practices of other UN agencies. Actors and observers indeed note the importance of donor coordination, at both national and decentralised levels, and see a clear role for UNEP in this regard. The original ambition of CSI to bring together UN agencies and provide them with a common platform to which each agency can contribute according to its mandate and areas of expertise remains entirely valid and relevant today. The programmes and activities of UN agencies all have an environmental dimension, all need to ensure that potentially negative environmental impacts are avoided and mitigated, and all have the potential to embrace objectives related to environmental sustainability and quality. With the expertise at its disposal, especially within the Country team and in ROLAC, UNEP has much to offer to other UN agencies, to help them mainstream the environment in their programming and operations.</p>								