Contact group 3

Co-facilitators’ guiding questions

On the preamble:

- Is there convergence around the objective of having a brief preamble providing context and setting the tone for the instrument? Is there convergence that elements highlighted in the synthesis report could provide a first basis, to be streamlined?

On definitions:

- Is there convergence around the possibility of using relevant existing international definitions, and if so, which ones, for what purpose?

- How could any relevant work conducted in contact group 1 on substantive provisions inform our consideration of this question in this group?

On principles:

- Is there convergence that principles are important to inform the text? How can we incorporate those principles in the text, whether in a dedicated provision, or in the preamble, or operationalized through substantive provisions?

- If some principles should be operationalized through substantive provisions, which ones and what specific provisions do you think they should be reflected in?

On scope:

- Is there general convergence on the notion that resolution 5/14 should be the basis of the scope of the instrument?

- If a scope provision is included, how detailed should it be? What would be the advantages or disadvantages of having a detailed scope?
- To what extent should specific aspects be reflected or operationalized through the various substantive provisions, or included in a scope provision?

+ identification of **possible needs for intersessional work** in relation to the each of these areas, as relevant.