Outcomes Document

Joint Meeting of the Intergovernmental and Multistakeholder Advisory Group (IMAG) and the Multidisciplinary Expert Scientific Advisory Group (MESAG) of the Seventh -7)

November 1, 2023

On November 1, 2023, the Intergovernmental and Multistakeholder Advisory Group and the Multidisciplinary Expert Scientific Advisory Group met to consider the following agenda items:

GEO-7 Zero Order Draft (ZOD) review outcomes

First face to face meeting of the Indigenous and Local Knowledge (ILK) Taskforce Update on the outcomes of the second policy and outlooks workshop in Shanghai GEO-7 Process updates AOB

On these agenda items, the meeting decided:

IMAG and MESAG to provide more written comments once the meeting summary and briefing materials are shared.

Authors to emphasize the interlinkages between the outlooks and solutions pathways chapters.

To invite IMAG and MESAG members to the webinars on the glossary tool and Artificial Intelligence (AI) guidance.

The need to use updated data in the GEO-7 assessment.

To reflect regional balance in the Solutions Pathways that will be presented in the GEO-7 assessment.

To receive feedback from IMAG and the Committee of Permanent representatives to UNEP on the regional priorities that will be identified by chapter 8 and 20 in the GEO-7 assessment.

To ensure that the GEO-7 process on ILK learns from the best practices from other assessment processes such as IPCC and IPBES.

GEO-7 assessment co-chair to work on the new version of the proposed chapter structure of GEO-7.

IMAG to provide their decision on the proposal for the GEO-7 restructuring in their next meeting on 22 November.

MESAG will review and approve the newly developed guidance on use of AI tools and issue guidance to authors.

The Secretariat to share ILK funding proposals with the relevant IMAG members.

MESAG Rapporteur	Signature
Dr. Gian Delgado	Firmado digitalmente por GIAN CARLO DELGADO RAMOS. 11.14.2023.

IMAG Rapporteur	Signature
Eng. Ana Julieta Calvo-Obando	

Meeting Summary November 1, 2023

GEO-7 zero order draft review outcomes

Presentations were provided by the overall Coordinating Lead Authors of the State and Trends part, Policy responses and solutions pathways part and the Outlooks part. The authors noted that inconsistent chapter structures, old data and old references in some cases were common across the entire report, and these will be worked on and polished up in time for the First Order Draft (FOD) review period. Terminology across the report was highlighted as another major issue that required solving and the upcoming webinar on the glossary was indicated to be timely to inform authors about the platform and how they can use it to come to an agreement on various terms. It was also noted that there may be some confusion around the DPSIR framework within the State and Trends part of the report. Lastly, it was also noted that a decision on the proposal for restructuring the report was needed to allow authors to incorporate the approved structure in the FOD. The MESAG co-chair noted that MESAG members had seen the initial proposal for restructuring and that they did not have any comments.

The advisory bodies noted the need for inclusion of success stories into the report noting that they would help sell the report. They also noted the need for further emphasis on the interlinkages between outlooks and solution pathways given that policymakers and other practitioners are likely to rely on the modelling results which need to be well highlighted in the solutions pathways. The need for regional balance and implementation plans in the solutions pathways presented in the report was also highlighted by the advisory bodies as important as well as ensuring that the most available data is used and in cases where it is missing, it should be made known.

The Co-chair of the assessment responded that success stories would only make sense if they are scalable and if they can also be used in different socio-political situations such as different regions. They also added the report will present only options and not recommendations. The co-chair also emphasized that the regional chapters in the reports (chapters 8 and 20) will be working together to identify regional priorities which will be shared back to IMAG and the Committee for Permanent Representatives to UNEP for feedback. The overall Coordinating Lead Author for the Policy responses and Solutions Pathways added that indeed the solutions pathways will link to the outlooks and provide solutions to the regions. The overall Coordinating Lead Author of the outlooks also chimed in to indicate that there will be meetings with the Solutions Pathways chapters to better understand their different roles in ensuring a better link between those two parts of the report.

The advisory groups noted that national models chosen cannot represent the whole region e.g., in the case of Latin America or South America, given that the studies and priorities cannot be based on only some environments of big countries.

The MESAG Co-Chair thanked the authors for the presentation and the IMAG and MESAG members for their comments and encouraged the authors to use the latest data in the report. The MESAG co-chair also mentioned the planned webinar on the glossary and asked the secretariat to share the invite to both IMAG and MESAG members.

First face to face meeting of the Indigenous and Local Knowledge (ILK) Taskforce

The GEO-7 assessment co-chair provided a briefing on the concluded first face to face meeting of the ILK noting that the meeting was very well done, and the taskforce members allocated themselves to work on different parts of the report. The taskforce members are in the process of selecting a convener for each part of the report and the taskforce would work on providing some text for the First Order Draft.

The co-chair shared that there are likely to be four Indigenous Peoples and Local Communities (IPLCs) dialogues depending on funding and resources and he further elaborated on the

proposed timing of each dialogue and the associated outcomes of each. Conceptual issues around terminology such as Indigenous vs Local Peoples, Indigenous Knowledge and Local Knowledge were also discussed in the ILK meeting. During the ILK meeting, it was agreed that there should be a sub section on ILK in each section of the report and there will be more discussion on how that will be integrated. The assessment co-chair indicated that the pathways chapters will need to show the implications of transformation on Indigenous Peoples and Local Peoples.

It was highlighted that the work of the ILK taskforce will build on the IPBES process while noting that the scope of GEO-7 is much broader. The MESAG Co-chair mentioned that the Secretariat had contacted IPBES and UNESCO to ensure that GEO-7 is learning from best practices in the ILK space. The MESAG co-chair further mentioned that some MESAG members had been selected to work in the ILK task force in a subcommittee and the MESAG in their follow up call, will discuss whether they would want to form subcommittees for other task groups involved in the GEO-7 process such as the Climate Change Adaptation task group and the Behavioral Social and Cultural task group.

A MESAG member noted that the documents to be used for the call for knowledge and ILK networks and knowledge should be prepared to ensure timely selection of the relevant ILK networks. The Secretariat noted that funding is needed for those activities and some funding proposals and grant requests were being worked on. Another MESAG member noted that UNFCCC has a facilitating working group on ILK and he would be able to provide a link to that to that effort.

I -chair mentioned that the ILK task force is working on defining that. MESAG members also highlighted that UNESCO, IPCC and IPBES have definitions that could be used and the CBD convention protocols were mentioned as a potential resource for ILK guidance.

The MESAG Co-Chair suggested that both advisory bodies can provide more comments when the meeting summary is shared.

Update on the outcomes of the second policy and outlooks workshop in Shanghai

The Secretariat provided a briefing on behalf of the co-chairs on the second modelling and solutions pathways workshops that took place in Shanghai, China from 4-7 September 2023. The meeting consisted of a three-day workshop and one day outreach event (in the form of science-policy dialogues) that was attended by high level dignitaries from various ministries in China. The meeting was funded by partners in China with the great support from one of the assessments co-chairs and Tongji University. The Secretariat mentioned that they will be looking for other similar opportunities where host countries can provide in-kind contributions.

The Secretariat highlighted that the meeting enabled the authors of the solutions chapters to identify levers and actions and gain more understanding on how to use the Goals Levers and Solutions diagram, which is aimed at providing a clear structure to the chapters of this part of the report. The outlooks chapter authors received feedback on their narratives from the Solutions Pathways authors to ensure that the storylines will feed into the modelling while being coherent and consistent. Additionally, authors of the regional chapters in the outlooks had been having some difficulty in developing the narratives of what the future might hold without the modelling results, but the meeting clarified that subregional issues that they can start building on.

The IMAG co-chair thanked the Secretariat for the briefing and the intentional dialogue that happened between the different author groups during that meeting.

GEO-7 Process updates

Supporting Services Workplan.

The GEO resolution requested GEO to provide supporting services in the areas of capacity building, knowledge generation and capacity building. The Secretariat shared that the Netherlands has provided some funding towards the GEO supporting services work. The Secretariat also shared that 15 collaborating centres were selected, and the next phase would be to develop a workplan of key supporting services that GEO can provide. It was also mentioned that the survey on supporting services had closed and the results from the survey will be presented in the next IMAG call. These results will then be used to develop a workplan for the supporting services.

Proposed GEO-7 Chapter structure.

The GEO-7 assessment co-chair mentioned some changes to the initial proposed structure of GEO-7. The updated proposal will be shared with the IMAG for final approval. These amendments include an introductory chapeau just before the State and Trends section and a short concluding section pulling the climate change, pollution, biodiversity and land degradation story together. The other noted change is the structure of the solutions pathways chapters with the circularity chapter moving up from chapter 14 to chapter 12 right next to the economics and finance chapter. This will ensure a better narrative flow in that part of the report.

The Secretariat also mentioned that the ILK task force will likely create subsections related to ILK and how the systems covered by the solutions pathways chapters are affected and how the transformations might impact ILK communities. The Secretariat indicated that it would go back to the initial proposal and highlight where the changes are for easy reading of the new proposal.

The MESAG co-chair indicated that they had seen the initial version of the proposed changes to the GEO-7 structure, and they look forward to seeing the new version with the new highlighted changes.

Guidance for GEO-7 experts on Large Language Models (LLMs) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools. The Secretariat provided a briefing on why guidance is needed on some parts of AI such as LLM and NLP to ensure that no weakness in the scientific credibility of the report. It was mentioned that the MESAG had prepared two documents with one being a living document based on AI guidance from the UN and academic journals and the other, a disclosure document requiring GEO-7 authors to declare any use of AI in their drafting of the report. It was further highlighted that the two documents have been reviewed by the relevant UNEP colleagues and co-chairs of the assessment and that the documents are now with the Coordinating Lead Authors for commenting before MESAG can approve them and share them with all authors.

The advisory bodies

GEO-7 is not drafted by any of AI tools to which the Secretariat responded that they would review the disclosure documents that authors send in and make publicly available any use of such tools in the report.

The MESAG co-chair thanked the Secretariat for the briefing and indicated that the UN is also working on Al guidance, but it authors are already drafting the GEO-7 report.

Planning for the second authors meeting.

The Secretariat shared that the second authors meeting planned for 15-19 January 2024 will be in Vienna and that a joint decision was taken to move the meeting to Vienna from Jordan due to the security situation in the Middle East. The Secretariat noted that the meeting rooms had been booked and that invitations to the authors will be sent out soon.

Any Other Business

The GEO-7 cashflow issue was resolved and money from the China Trust Fund will be used for the

The co-chairs of the meeting thanked the Secretariat and the co-chairs of the assessment for the great work in managing the GEO-7 process.

There will be a webinar invite to both MESAG and IMAG with regards to the online glossary tool and AI guidance. The Secretariat will work on ensuring best practices with regards to developing a glossary are created.

IMAG Participants		
Names	Affiliation	Region(s) of Representation
Dr. Leila Bendifallah	Algeria	African Group
Ms. Anna Mampye	Director: State of Environment Information in the Branch, ministry of Forestry, Fisheries and Environment	African Group
Ms. Christina Komorski	Director, Information & Indicators Division, Sustainability Directorate, Environment and Climate Change Canada	Western European Group
Dr. Marek Haliniak	General Counsellor in the Ministry of Climate and Environment, Department of Strategy and Analysis, Poland	Eastern European Group
Eng. Ana Julieta Calvo	National Center for Geoenvironmental Information, Ministry of Environment and Energy; Costa Rica	Latin American and Caribbean Group
Mr. Takashi Otsuka	Director of Knowledge and Communications, Strategic Management Office, Institute for Global Environmental Strategies (IGES), Hayama, Japan	Asia and the Pacific Group
Ms. Yi Huang	Professor, School of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, China	Asia and the Pacific Group
Ms. Claudia Kabel	German Environment Agency (UBA), academic staff member, International Sustainability Strategies, Policy and Knowledge Transfer	Western European Group
Mr. Miroslav Havránek	Director of the Czech Environmental Information Agency	Eastern European Group
Ms. Gillian Stanislaus	Environmental Programme Officer, Environmental Management Authority (EMA)	Latin American and Caribbean Group
Ms. Neyra Herrera	Chief of Environmental Statistics; ministry of environment- Panama	Latin American and Caribbean Group
Dr. Lisa Eriksson (Alternate)	Senior Advisor, Transport Analysis, and the Department for Outlook and Policy.	Western European Group
Dr. Jerome Lugumira Sebadduka	Natural Resources Management Specialist (Soils and Land Use), National Environment Management Authority (NEMA) Uganda	African Group
Dr. Thuraya AL Sariri	Assistance Director General of Nature Conservation at MECA- Oman	Asia and the Pacific Group
Names	Affiliation	Major Group
Ms. Merylene Chitharai	Lan Manager at UKZN Howard College	Faith-based groups
Ms. Ingrid Coetzee	Director, Nature & Health; ICLEI Africa	Local authorities

IMAG Apologies		
Names	Affiliation	Region(s) of Representation
Mr. Arthur EIJS	Policy coordinator Natural Resource Management & Sustainable Land Use - Ministry of Infrastructure & water management, department of International Affairs	Western European Group
Dr. Modibo sacko	Vice President of the Permanent Interstate Committee for Drought Control in the Sahel (CONACILSS), Mali	African Group
Dr. Salla Rantala	Development Manager, Environmental Policy Centre, Finnish Environment Institute (SYKE)	Western European Group
Ms. Meri Harutyunyan	Chief specialist of Strategic Policy Department, Ministry of Environment, Armenia	Eastern European Group
	Associate Professor, University of Banja Luka, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, Bosnia and Herzegovina	Eastern European Group
Dr. Toral Patel-Weynand	Director of the Southern Research Station at the U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service	Western European Group
Dr. Keri Holland (Alternate)	Senior Policy Advisor in the Office of Environmental Quality in the Bureau of Oceans, International and Scientific Affairs at the U.S. Department of State	Western European Group
Mrs. Rolenas Baereleo	Principal Officer, Biodiversity and Conservation; Department of Environmental protection and Conservation (DEPC), Vanuatu	Asia and the Pacific Group
Mr. Silvio Albuquerque e Silva	Ambassador, Permanent Representative of Brazil to UNEP; Embassy of Brazil in Kenya	Latin American and Caribbean Group
Ms. Alexandra Gurgel Valente da Costa (Alternate)	Environment Analyst, Ministry of Environment and Climate Change	Latin American and Caribbean Group
Mrs. Maha Maayta	Director of the Policy and International Cooperation, Ministry of Environment, The Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan	Asia and the Pacific Group
Mr. Toghrul Feyziyev	Advisor, International cooperation division of the Ministry of Ecology and Natural Resources of the Republic of Azerbaijan	Eastern European Group
Mr. Kenset Amaury Rosales Riveiro	Coordinator, Information Unit, Environment and Climate Change; Ministry of Environment and Resources Natural Resources (MARN)	Latin American and Caribbean Group
Ms. Margarita Guerra (Alternate)	Ministry of environment- Panama	Latin American and Caribbean Group
Mr. Thomas Chali	Senior Policy Advisor on Environmental Conservation and Natural Resources Management in Tanzania	African Group
Names	Affiliation	Major Group
Mr. Jan-Gustav Strandenaes	Advisory board member of sustainability/environment governance project at the University of Stockholm	Non-governmental organization
Mr. Dominic Kailash Nath Waughray	Senior Advisor to the CEO World Business Council for Sustainable Development	Business and industry
Dr. Mohamed Abdelraouf	Sustainability Research Program Director at Gulf Research Center (GRC)	Science and Technology
Ms. Zahra Abu Taha	Recycling officer at ZATARI refugees camp, Oxfam	Children and youth
Ms. Djatougbe Aziaka	President and founder of welfare Togo; co- facilitator of UNEP NGO major group	Non-governmental organization

Ms. Ruth Viola Spencer	Chair, Marine Ecosystems Protected Areas (MEPA) Trust	Women
Mr. Prem Singh Tharu	Regional Programme Officer, Asia Indigenous Peoples Pact (AIPP) under Environment Programme	Indigenous peoples
Dr. Fabian Wagner	Dean, Capacity Development and Academic Training, IIASA, Austria	Science and Technology

MESAG Participants

Names	Affiliation	Region(s) of Representation
Dr. Eric Davidson		Western European
	University of Maryland	Group
Mr. Alberto Capra	Secretariat of Environmental Policy in Natural	
•	Resources (SPARN), Ministry of Environment	
	and Sustainable Development of the Nation,	Latin American and
	Republic of Argentina	Caribbean Group
Ms. Tatiana Kuznetsova	Federal Service for the Supervision of Natural	Eastern European
	Resources of the Russian Federation	Group
Dr. Razieh Ghayoumi	Biodiversity and Biosafety Group, Research	
·	Center for Environment and Sustainable	Asia and the Pacific
	Development, Department of Environment	Group
Dr. Amal Moufarreh	Ministry of Energy Transition and Sustainable	
	Development	African Group
Prof. Joyeeta Gupta		Western European
•	University of Amsterdam	Group
Dr. James Ford		Western European
	Priestley International Centre for Climate	Group
Ms. Maria del Mar Viana	Institute of Environmental Assessment &	Western European
Rodriguez	Water Research, Spanish Research Council	Group
Ms. Nagwa El Karawy	Ministry of Environment	African Group
Dr. Inna Stecenko		Eastern European
	Transport and Telecommunication Institute	Group
Dr. Ervin Balázs	Centre for Agricultural Research of the	Eastern European
	Hungarian Academy of Sciences	Group
Dr. Mónica Moraes	Bolivian National Academy of Science and	Latin American and
	Universidad Mayor de San Andrés	Caribbean Group
	The Italian Institute for Environmental	Western European
Dr. Lorenzo Ciccarese	Protection and Research (ISPRA)	Group
Dr. Gian Carlo Delgado-	Institute of Geography, National Autonomous	Latin American and
Ramos	University of Mexico	Caribbean Group
	Nueva Ecija University of Science and	Asia and the Pacific
Ms. Rosemarie Casimiro	Technology	Group
		Asia and the Pacific
Dr. Majid Shafie-Pour	University of Tehran, Iran	Group
Ms. Tambe Honourine Enow	Africa Climate and Environment Foundation (ACEF)	African Group
Dr. Nicholas King	Wilderness Foundation Africa	African Group
Dr. Sandra Correa	Mississippi State University, USA	Latin American and Caribbean Group

MESAG Apologies		
Names	Affiliation	Region(s) of Representation
Dr. Yonglong Lu	Xiamen University	Asia and the Pacific Group
Dr. Asha Singh	Environmental Governance Consulting	Latin American and Caribbean Group
Dr Belay Simane		
	Addis Ababa University	African Group
Dr. Nataliia Ryzhenko	Ministry of Environmental Protection and Natural Resources of Ukraine	Eastern European Group
Dr. Richard Filcak	European Environmental Agency (EEA)	Specialized Agency - EU
Dr. Dolors Armenteras Pascual	Universidad Nacional de Colombia	Latin American and Caribbean Group
Dr. Nijavalli Ravindranath	Center for Sustainable Technologies	Asia and the Pacific Group
Dr. Ousséni Arouna	Benin National University of Sciences, Technologies, Engineering and Mathematics	African Group

Dr. /jksqSpzpkhl	UNEP International Resource Panel	Eastern European Group
Dr. Alban Thomas	INRAE - French national research institute for agriculture, food and environment	Western European Group
Dr. Shau Hwai Aileen Tan	Professor Dato' Dr	Asia and the Pacific Group