



INTEGRATED MONITORING AND ASSESSMENT PROGRAMME OF THE MEDITERRANEAN SEA AND COAST AND RELATED ASSESSMENT CRITERIA (IMAP)



LEGAL NOTICE

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this document do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of UNEP/MAP concerning the legal status of any State, Territory, city or area, or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of their frontiers or boundaries.

COPYRIGHT

This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part and in any form for educational or non-profit purposes without special permission from the copyright holder, provided acknowledgement of the source is made. UNEP/MAP would appreciate receiving a copy of any publication that uses this publication as a source. This publication cannot be used for resale or for any other commercial purpose whatsoever without permission in writing from UNEP/MAP.

© 2016 United Nations Environment Programme / Mediterranean Action Plan (UNEP/MAP)

P.O. Box 18019, Athens, Greece

For bibliographic purposes this volume may be cited as:

Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Programme of the Mediterranean Sea and Coast and Related Assessment Criteria UNEP/MAP Athens, Greece (2016).

UNEP/MAP - United Nation Environment Programme - Mediterranean Action Plan 48, Vassileos Konstantinou Avenue | 11635 Athens | Greece www.unepmap.org

Graphic layout and production

Final layout and production were prepared by Elena Porrazzo, INFO/RAC - Information and Communication Regional Activity Centre www.info-rac.org

Photograps by Elena Porrazzo, 2016



This publication is funded by the European Union

The views expressed in this publication do not necessarily reflect the views of the European Commission

UNEP/MAP Information office

Mrs. Hoda Elturk UNEP/MAP

48, Vassileos Konstantinou Avenue | 11635 Athens | Greece

Tel.: +30 210 7273100 | Fax: +30 210 7253196

UNEP/MAP, Official document series 1/2016 ISBN 978-92-807-3592-5

INTEGRATED MONITORING AND ASSESSMENT PROGRAMME OF THE MEDITERRANEAN SEA AND COAST AND RELATED ASSESSMENT CRITERIA (IMAP)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FOREWORD	2
I. Introduction	3
II. IMAP common principles and structure	3
1. Overarching principles and the overall IMAP structure	3
2. IMAP integrated monitoring	4
3. IMAP integrated assessment	4
4. UNEP/MAP Strategy towards an Integrated Data and Information System	5
5. Cooperation with other relevant regional bodies in the context of IMAP	5
III. Key elements of IMAP	6
1. Common Indicators	6
2. Monitoring and assessment of biodiversity and NIS related common indicators	7
3. Monitoring and assessment of pollution and litter related common indicators	9
4. Monitoring and assessment of coastal ecosystems and landscapes and hydrography related common indicators	12
5. Monitoring Ecological Objective 11: Energy including underwater noise	13
Annex 1 Reference list of species and habitats	15
Annex 2 Pollution/Litter related Assessment Criteria	23

FOREWORD

By Gaetano Leone,

Coordinator of the Mediterranean Action Plan

At their 19th Ordinary Meeting (COP 19, Athens, Greece, 9-12 February 2016), the Contracting Parties to the Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment and the Coastal Region of the Mediterranean (Barcelona Convention) - namely 21 Mediterranean countries and the European Union - adopted a novel and ambitious Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Programme and related Assessment Criteria (IMAP).

IMAP is a key achievement for the Mediterranean region, which will enable for the first time a quantitative, integrated analysis of the state of the marine and coastal environment, covering pollution and marine litter, biodiversity, non-indigenous species, coast, and hydrography, based on common regional indicators, targets and Good Environmental Status (GES) descriptions.

IMAP describes the strategy, themes, and products that the Barcelona Convention Contracting Parties are aiming to deliver, through collaborative efforts in the framework of the MAP Barcelona Convention, during the second cycle of the implementation of the Ecosystem Approach Process in 2016-2021. The ultimate goal is to assess the status of the Mediterranean sea and coast, as a basis for enhanced action.

IMAP and the common indicators that are its backbone are the outcome of the Ecosystem Approach Process The Ecosystem Approach process was specified at the 15th Meeting of the Contracting Parties to the Barcelona Convention, in Decision IG. 17/6, with the vision of "A healthy Mediterranean with marine and coastal ecosystems that are productive and biologically diverse for the benefit of present and future generations" and an Ecosystem Approach Roadmap, aiming to achieve this vision., and the coordinated efforts of the Contracting Parties at all levels. In line with the Ecosystem Approach Process as early as 2008, the Contracting Parties to the Barcelona Convention agreed to undertake the following key steps:

- Definition of an Ecological Vision for the Mediterranean;
- · Setting of common Mediterranean strategic goals;
- Identification of important ecosystem properties and assessment of ecological status and pressures;
- Development of a set of ecological objectives corresponding to the Vision and strategic goals;
- Derivation of operational objectives with indicators and target levels;
- Revision of existing monitoring programmes for ongoing assessment and regular updating of targets;
- Development and review of relevant action plans and programmes.

Subsequently, the Parties agreed on strategic goals to achieve the Ecosystem Approach vision, on 11 Ecological Objectives, and on matching Good Environmental Status descriptions, targets and indicators.

Following the approval of this ambitious framework for the integrated monitoring and assessment in the Mediterranean at COP 19, the initial implementation phase starts in 2016 through a number of steps that are expected to cover the next 3 years, i.e.:

- · supporting the integration process at national level (review of country level existing national monitoring and assessment programmes in line with IMAP principles, common indicators);
- $\cdot \ updating \ GES \ definitions \ and \ further \ refining \ the \ assessment \ criteria;$
- · developing a Quality Status Report at regional level in 2017.

The action and the goals ahead of us to make IMAP a reality will require the full commitment of the Contracting Parties to implement the new monitoring and assessment scheme at the country level, as well as country capacity assistance and training to be provided by UNEP/MAP in response to IMAP implementation needs.

Furthermore, a successful IMAP implementation will also rely on the application of Shared Environmental Information System (SEIS) principles, both at national and regional level, and on the development of an IMAP-compatible Integrated Data and Information System within UNEP/MAP. Equally important will be the further cooperation between countries, but also at regional level, with key partners such as the General Fisheries Commission for the Mediterranean (GFCM) and the Secretariat of the Agreement on the Conservation of Cetaceans of the Black Sea, Mediterranean Sea and contiguous Atlantic area (ACCOBAMS).

The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development acknowledges the importance of the regional and sub-regional dimensions, regional economic integration and interconnectivity in sustainable development. Regional and sub-regional frameworks are recognised as facilitating the effective translation of sustainable development policies intro concrete action at the national level. Regional Sea Programmes have an important role to play in this sense with their mandates, structures and partnerships. The UNEP/MAP Barcelona Convention own instruments and activities are, therefore, relevant not only for the achievement of GES but also for the implementation of the 2030 Agenda and the SDGs. In this context, IMAP is an initiative that will contribute to the regional follow-up, and measuring of achievement of the relevant SDGs and associated targets.

At UNEP/MAP, we firmly believe that the agreement on IMAP is a milestone in the successful history of the MAP-Barcelona Convention. It provides the basis for a solid assessment of the Good Environmental Status of the Mediterranean, and it shows again the commitment of all Contracting Parties to the protection of the environment of the "Mare Nostrum" through cooperation and dialogue.

We are ready to meet the challenges of the IMAP initial implementation phase, driven by our common vision: "A healthy Mediterranean with marine and coastal ecosystems that are productive and biologically diverse for the benefit of present and future generations".

^{1.} The Ecosystem Approach process was specified at the 15th Meeting of the Contracting Parties to the Barcelona Convention, in Decision IG. 17/6, with the vision of "A healthy Mediterranean with marine and coastal ecosystems that are productive and biologically diverse for the benefit of present and future generations" and an Ecosystem Approach Roadmap, aiming to achieve this vision.

INTEGRATED MONITORING AND ASSESSMENT PROGRAMME OF THE MEDITERRANEAN SEA AND COAST AND RELATED ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

I. INTRODUCTION

1. Monitoring and assessment, based on scientific knowledge, of the sea and coast is the indispensable basis for the management of human activities, in view of promoting sustainable use of the seas and coasts and conserving marine ecosystems and their sustainable development. The Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Programme of the Mediterranean Sea and Coast and Related Assessment Criteria (IMAP) describes the strategy, themes, and products that the Barcelona Convention Contracting Parties are aiming to deliver, through collaborative efforts inside the UNEP/MAP Barcelona Convention, over the second cycle of the implementation of the Ecosystem Approach Process (EcAp process), i.e. over 2016-2021, in order to assess the status of the Mediterranean sea and coast, as a basis for further and/or strengthened measures.

Background

- 2. IMAP builds on the monitoring and assessment related provisions of the Barcelona Convention and its Protocols, previous Decisions of the Contracting Parties related to monitoring and assessment, and to the EcAp process, including on Decision IG. 21/3 and the expert level discussions mobilized based on this Decision, such as the ones taking place in the Correspondence Groups on Good Environmental Status (COR GEST) and Monitoring (CORMON), as well as the 4th and 5th EcAp Coordination Group.
- **3**. In addition, the development of IMAP took due account of the Contracting Parties' existing monitoring and assessment programmes, practices of other Regional Sea Conventions and other Regional bodies.

Timeline

4. IMAP is aiming to deliver over 2016-2021 its objectives as described above. It is introduced first however in an initial phase (in line with Decision IG. 21/3, in between 2016-2019), during which the existing national monitoring and assessment

programmes will be integrated, in line with the IMAP structure and principles and based on the agreed common indicators. This implies in practice that the existing national monitoring and assessment programmes will be reviewed and revised as appropriate so that national implementation of IMAP can be fulfilled in a sufficient manner.

The main outputs during the initial phase of IMAP will include the update of GES definitions, further refinement of assessment criteria and development of national level integrated monitoring and assessment programmes.

5. Furthermore, the Quality Status Report in 2017 and the State of Environment and Development Report in 2019 will build on the structure, objectives and data collected under IMAP.

The validity of the IMAP should be reviewed once at the end of every EcAp six year cycle, and in addition it should be updated and revised as necessary on a biennial basis, based on lessons learnt of the implementation of the IMAP and on new scientific and policy developments.

II. IMAP COMMON PRINCIPLES AND STRUCTURE

1. Overarching principles and the overall IMAP structure

6. The overarching principles guiding the development of the IMAP include (i) adequacy; (ii) coordination and coherence; (iii) data architecture and interoperability based on common parameters; (iv) concept of adaptive monitoring; (v) risk-based approach to monitoring and assessment, and (v) the precautionary principle, in addition to the overall aim of integration.

In line with the above overarching principles, data and information is gathered through integrated monitoring activities on the national level and shared in a manner that creates a compatible, shared regional pool of data, usable by each Contracting Party, as described under at point 4.

7. The IMAP information system will ensure the establishment of the regional pool of data based on SEIS principles that will allow the production of common indicator assessment reports in an integrated manner, following the monitoring specifics and data provided, which ensures comparability across the Mediterranean region.

8. In line with the above, integration is achieved through IMAP both at monitoring level, through an integrated monitoring system, following common principles and undertaken in a coordinated manner and at assessment level, with the overall aim to assess the overall status of the marine and coastal environment.

2. IMAP integrated monitoring

- **9.** The IMAP monitoring requirements focus on, based on agreed common indicators, parameters that are indicative of the state of the environment, the prevailing anthropogenic pressures and their impacts, and the progress towards the good environmental status (ecological objectives and targets). The monitoring is carried out in such a way that an assessment with adequate confidence and precision is achieved.
- 10. The IMAP sets out the basis for how the Contracting Parties should design and carry out their national integrated monitoring programmes and work together in the framework of the UNEP/MAP Barcelona Convention to produce and update common indicator based regional assessments on the status of the Mediterranean Sea and coast.
- 11. During the initial phase of IMAP (2016-2019), Contracting Parties will:
- During 2016-2017, update their existing monitoring programmes in order to cover the IMAP areas, common indicators in line with the IMAP, and, based on the Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Guidance, Common Indicator Fact Sheets. It has to be noted that a number of Contracting Partied have already developed integrated national monitoring programmes;
- Continue reporting based on their existing national monitoring programmes until they are updated into a national Integrated Monitoring Programme;
- Following the update of their existing monitoring programmes, report quality assured data following a common regional monitoring reporting template (please see more on this under point 4);
- **12.** During national implementation, the Contracting Parties are encouraged to coordinate within and between each other in order to use resources in an efficient way. Shared monitoring

stations and activities, information, and data could be steps towards this direction.

3. IMAP integrated assessment

- 13. The IMAP assessment products, produced by the UNAP/MAP Secretariat, including the Common Indicator Assessment Fact Sheets, and the planned integrated assessments (2017 Status Quality Report, 2019 State of Environment and Development Report, 2023 State of Environment Report), should be mainly based on the common indicators and monitoring data provided by Contracting Parties.
- 14. In areas of scientific and/or data gaps, the assessment products can also build on relevant scientific projects, pilot outcomes, and comparable data of other regional organizations and in case these are not available, on scientific literature. In addition, they will analyze trends, drivers and will build on available socio-economic data.
- **15.** The common indicator assessment fact sheets provide information on the status of the environment and information needed to evaluate the severity of environmental problems and distance from EcAp targets, ecological objectives and Good Environmental Status (GES) description.

The common indicator assessment fact sheets are linked to specific Ecological Objectives (EOs) and together they indicate whether the GES related to the specific EO is met or not. Following the EO level assessment, the integrated assessment takes place on the state of the Mediterranean Sea and Coast.

- 16. The 2017 Status Quality Report will be based on the common indicators, and common indicator assessment fact sheets established for them, following a model to be developed by the Secretariat in cooperation with the Contracting Parties through CORMONs by the end of 2016, and will consider the data from the most recent national monitoring and relevant scientific projects and pilots undertaken relevant to the IMAP.
- 17. During the development of the above an integrated approach for determining and assessing GES will be used, considering the Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Guidance, describing state-based common indicators and explicitly relating them to the pressure-based indicators.

4. UNEP/MAP Strategy towards an Integrated Data and Information System

- 18. Assessments arising from monitoring data are critically dependent upon practical mechanisms for handling data from different activities that ensure that documents, data, and products are managed consistently and are easily available to users. This will support integrated assessments, for example from integrated biological and chemical programmes, or linking the observed changes in spatial distribution and temporal trends in substances or their effects to inputs into the UNEP/MAP Barcelona Convention maritime area.
- 19. Data storage and handling processes are therefore central, and it is important that the role of the various components in this is clear and continuously developed and strengthened.
- **20.** The IMAP thus requires an updated and integrated data and information system for UNEP/MAP Barcelona Convention with clear set roles for data handling and assessment for the various components and with a user-friendly reporting platform for Contracting Parties, based on the following strategic points:
- The UNEP/MAP Barcelona Convention data and information activities aim to achieve a reliable, quantitative assessment of the status of the Mediterranean Sea and Coast:
- The UNEP/MAP Barcelona Convention data and information activities should facilitate access and knowledge of the general public to environmental information.
- **21.** Basic activities, core elements of the UNEP/MAP Barcelona Convention integrated data and information system should include:
- Based on the structure of the Common Indicator Fact Sheets, develop region-wide, electronic, common indicator based monitoring reporting formats and up-to-date tools for data exchange;
- implement relevant quality control and validation procedures;
- make assessment products available in an integrated manner, on a common platform;
- make data and information available using harmonized standards and practices, following the UNEP access-toinformation policy (UNEP/EA. 1/INF/23).

5. Cooperation with other relevant regional bodies in the context of IMAP

- **22.** The current IMAP covers with agreed common indicators the ecological objectives related to biodiversity (EO1), non-indigenous species (EO2), eutrophication (EO5), hydrography (EO7), coast (EO8), contaminants (EO9), and marine litter (EO10).
- 23. In addition, regarding marine noise (EO11), IMAP includes candidate common indicators, with the intention for these candidate common indicators to be further developed, based on pilot monitoring activities, additional expert knowledge, and scientific developments, during the initial phase of IMAP.
- 24. While some of the elements of fisheries (EO3) and marine food webs (EO4) are partly covered by the monitoring and assessment of EO1 and EO2 and the Contracting Parties have agreed on the GFCM developed list of common indicators, the monitoring and assessment specifics of EO3 are still being developed by the GFCM, in close cooperation with UNEP/MAP. During the initial phase of IMAP implementation, a clear roadmap will be developed by the Secretariat in collaboration with GFCM and other relevant partners on the monitoring programme and assessment for EO4 and EO6.
- **25.** In light of the above, it is an absolute necessity for UNEP/MAP to strengthen its cooperation with the relevant regional bodies, especially in relation to:
- EO1, both with the General Fisheries Commission for the Mediterranean (GFCM) for commercial species of fish and shellfish and the Secretariat of the Agreement on the Conservation of Cetaceans of the Black Sea, Mediterranean Sea and contiguous Atlantic area (ACCOBAMS), noting that the ACCOBAMS Survey Initiative, to be undertaken during 2016-2019, will provide important inputs (in terms of monitoring methodologies, capacity building and reliable data on abundance and distribution of cetaceans);
- EO3, with the GFCM, noting that the EO3 related common indicators will be further developed and assessed by GFCM (with assessment results provided to UNEP/MAP in order to undertake the 2017 and following integrated assessments);
- EO11, with ACCOBAMS, noting that further development of the candidate common indicators will need to be carried out in a close cooperation between UNEP/MAP and ACCOBAMS in light

of pilot monitoring activities, additional expert knowledge, and scientific developments, during the initial phase of IMAP, and considering that ACCOBAMS is undertaking an identification of noise hot spots in the Mediterranean.

- **26.** In addition, cooperation with other regional and international bodies will be key for the successful implementation of IMAP, to ensure that no double obligation is created for those Contracting Parties, which are Parties to various Regional Seas Conventions and/or members of the European Union and undertake monitoring activities under other specific frames.
- 27. Cooperation with other regional and international bodies can also strengthen the cost-efficiency and scientific adequacy of IMAP. Exchange of best practices and information is encouraged during the IMAP implementation, both in between Contracting Parties participating in various monitoring programmes and in between UNEP/MAP and other relevant regional, international bodies.

III. KEY ELEMENTS OF IMAP

1. Common Indicators

- 28. The common indicators are the backbone of IMAP.
- **29.** In the context of the Barcelona Convention, a common indicator is an indicator that summarizes data into a simple, standardized, and communicable figure and is ideally applicable in the whole Mediterranean basin, or at least on the level of subregions, and is monitored by all Contracting Parties. A common indicator is able to give an indication of the degree of threat or change in the marine ecosystem and can deliver valuable information to decision makers.
- **30.** Candidate indicators are indicators which still have many outstanding issues regarding their monitoring and assessment and therefore are recommended to be monitored in the initial phase of IMAP on a pilot and voluntary basis.

The Common and candidate indicators agreed upon, which are at the core of IMAP, include:

- 1. Habitat distributional range (EO1) to also consider habitat extent as a relevant attribute;
- 2. Condition of the habitat's typical species and communities (EO1);

- 3. Species distributional range (EO1 related to marine mammals, seabirds, marine reptiles);
- 4. Population abundance of selected species (EO1, related to marine mammals, seabirds, marine reptiles);
- 5. Population demographic characteristics (EO1, e.g. body size or age class structure, sex ratio, fecundity rates, survival/mortality rates related to marine mammals, seabirds, marine reptiles);
- 6. Trends in abundance, temporal occurrence, and spatial distribution of non-indigenous species, particularly invasive, non-indigenous species, notably in risk areas (EO2, in relation to the main vectors and pathways of spreading of such species);
- 7. Spawning stock Biomass (EO3);
- 8. Total landings (EO3);
- 9. Fishing Mortality (EO3);
- 10. Fishing effort (EO3);
- 11. Catch per unit of effort (CPUE) or Landing per unit of effort (LPUE) as a proxy (EO3);
- 12. Bycatch of vulnerable and non-target species (EO1 and EO3)
- 13. Concentration of key nutrients in water column (EO5);
- 14. Chlorophyll-a concentration in water column (EO5);
- 15. Location and extent of the habitats impacted directly by hydrographic alterations (EO7) to also feed the assessment of EO1 on habitat extent:
- 16. Length of coastline subject to physical disturbance due to the influence of man-made structures (EO8) to also feed the assessment of EO1 on habitat extent:
- 17. Concentration of key harmful contaminants measured in the relevant matrix (EO9, related to biota, sediment, seawater);
- 18. Level of pollution effects of key contaminants where a cause and effect relationship has been established (EO9);
- 19. Occurrence, origin (where possible), and extent of acute pollution events (e.g. slicks from oil, oil products and hazardous substances) and their impact on biota affected by this pollution (EO9); 20. Actual levels of contaminants that have been detected and number of contaminants which have exceeded maximum regu-
- 21. Percentage of intestinal enterococci concentration measurements within established standards (EO9);

latory levels in commonly consumed seafood (EO9);

- 22. Trends in the amount of litter washed ashore and/or deposited on coastlines (including analysis of its composition, spatial distribution and, where possible, source.) (EO10);
- 23. Trends in the amount of litter in the water column including microplastics and on the seafloor (EO10);
- 24. Candidate Indicator: Trends in the amount of litter ingested by or entangling marine organisms focusing on selected mammals, marine birds and marine turtles (EO10);
- 25. Candidate Indicator: Land use change (EO8)

- 26. Candidate indicator: Proportion of days and geographical distribution where loud, low, and mid-frequency impulsive sounds exceed levels that are likely to entail significant impact on marine animals (EO11)
- 27. Candidate Indicator: Levels of continuous low frequency sounds with the use of models as appropriate (EO11)
- **31.** During the implementation of the initial phase of IMAP, the CORMONs will further develop the candidate indicators towards common indicators as well as to further refine the specifics of agreed common indicators, in particular on geographical scale, in light of the ongoing implementation experience of IMAP.

NOTE ON GEOGRAPHIC REPORTING SCALES

32. A scale of reporting units' needs to be defined during the initial phase of IMAP taking into account both ecological considerations and management purposes, following a nested approach.

The nested approach aims to accommodate the needs of the above is to take into account 4 main reporting scales:

- (1) Whole region (i.e. Mediterranean Sea);
- (2) Mediterranean sub-regions, as presented in the Initial Assessment of the Mediterranean Sea, UNEP(DEPI)/MED IG.20/Inf.8;
- (3) Coastal waters and other marine waters;
- (4) Subdivisions of coastal waters provided by Contracting Parties
- **33.** The work shall be undertaken to further develop reporting geographical scales of the nested approach.

Monitoring and assessment of biodiversity and NIS related common indicators BIODIVERSITY (EO1)

34. Biological diversity is the "variability among living organisms from all sources, including, inter alia, terrestrial, marine and other aquatic ecosystems and the ecological complexes of which they are part; this includes diversity within species, between species and of ecosystems".

The common indicators to be monitored and assessed in relation to biodiversity are as following:

COMMON INDICATOR 1: Habitat distributional range (EO1) to also consider habitat extent as a relevant attribute;

COMMON INDICATOR 2: Condition of the habitat's typical species and communities (EO1);

COMMON INDICATOR 3: Species distributional range (EO1 related to marine mammals, seabirds, marine reptiles); COMMON INDICATOR 4: Population abundance of selected species (EO1, related to marine mammals, seabirds, marine reptiles);

COMMON INDICATOR 5: Population demographic characteristics (EO1, e.g. body size or age class structure, sex ratio, fecundity rates, survival/mortality rates related to marine mammals, seabirds, marine reptiles)

35. As it is not possible or even necessary to monitor all attributes and components of biological diversity throughout the region, the IMAP monitoring is focusing, in line with the risk-based approach, on some representative sites and species, which can showcase the relationship between environmental pressures and their main impacts on the marine environment.

In light of the above, a reference list of species and habitats to be monitored is presented in Annex 1, noting that those Contracting Parties who have the necessary means and are willing to do so can go beyond the monitoring requirements of this reference list.

- **36.** The Contracting Parties while updating their national monitoring programmes need to include at least the monitoring of the reference list species and habitats with at least two monitoring areas, one in a low pressure area (e.g. marine protected area/ Specially Protected Area of Mediterranean Importance (SPAMI) and one in a high pressure area from human activity.
- 37. The few species of cetaceans regularly present in the Mediterranean Sea should all be considered when developing the national monitoring programmes. The Contracting Parties shall make every effort to identify a minimum of two species to be included in their national monitoring programme, based on the specificity of their marine environment and biodiversity, and taking account that these species should belong to at least two different functional groups, where possible (Baleen whales/Deepdiving toothed whales/Shallow-diving toothed whales). As far as possible the choice of monitored species should be

coordinated at sub regional scale to ensure coherence with cetacean population distribution in the Mediterranean Sea.

- **38.** The methodologies and quality control and quality assurance measures available for Contracting Parties to consider during the update of their national monitoring programmes are described in the Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Guidance.
- **39.** Regarding the assessment of biodiversity, it has to be noted that the quantitative definition of GES is difficult, considering the variety of assessment elements. The conceptual approach for a quantitative GES setting can be framed in a way that the resilience of the ecosystem is suited to accommodate the quantified biodiversity, or, in other words, it will be accounted in the determination of the GES boundaries as the "acceptable deviation from a reference state which reflects conditions largely free from anthropogenic pressures.
- **40.** The scale of monitoring is of specific importance for biodiversity, due to the nature of the biodiversity related common indicators.
- **41.** For the high quality of assessment, baselines and thresholds will need to be agreed on in line with the possible methods for this set out in the Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Guidance document, following the agreed scales of assessment, during the initial phase of IMAP implementation.

NON-INDIGENOUS SPECIES (EO2)

- **42.** Non-indigenous species (NIS; synonyms: alien, exotic, nonnative, allochthonous) are species, subspecies, or lower taxa introduced outside of their natural range (past or present) and outside of their natural dispersal potential.
- **43.** Invasive alien species (IAS) are a subset of established NIS which have spread, are spreading, or have demonstrated their potential to spread elsewhere, and which have an effect on biological diversity and ecosystem functioning (by competing with and on some occasions replacing native species), socioeconomic values, and/or human health in invaded regions.
- **44**. The common indicator in relation to NIS is:

COMMON INDICATOR 6: Trends in abundance, temporal occurrence, and spatial distribution of non-indigenous species, particularly invasive, non-indigenous species, notably in risk areas (EO2, in relation to the main vectors and pathways of spreading of such species in the water column and seabed, as appropriate);

- **45.** Non-indigenous species monitoring in the Mediterranean is a trend monitoring, where it is key to establish reliable, long-term data-sets as a first step of monitoring.
- **46.** In addition, monitoring of non-indigenous species (NIS), following the risk based approach, needs to be focused on the invasive alien species (IAS) in IAS introduction "hot spots" (e.g. ports and their surrounding areas, docks, marinas, aquaculture installations, heated power plant effluents sites, offshore structures). In addition, areas of special interest such as marine protected areas or lagoons may be selected on a case by case basis, as appropriate, depending on the proximity to alien species introduction hot spots.
- **47.** With the application of the risk based approach as stated above, it is possible to obtain an overview of the non-indigenous species present at a large spatial scope while only monitoring a relatively small number of locations.
- **48.** Based on existing regional databases, such as the Marine Mediterranean Invasive Alien Species database, (MAMIAS), the "Andromeda" invasive species database for the Mediterranean and Black Sea, and the European Alien Species Information Network (EASIN), each Contracting Party will determine the list of IAS to be monitored in its national monitoring programme during the initial phase of the IMAP and start collecting data regarding these species. Guidance on developing IAS national lists and a regional and or sub regional reference list will be developed by 2017.
- **49.** The methodologies and quality control and quality assurance measures available for Contracting Parties to consider during the update of their national monitoring programmes, is described in the Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Guidance.
- **50.** As the most effective monitoring method a Rapid Assessment Survey (RAS) will be carried out, at least yearly by the Contracting Parties in hot-spot areas (e.g. ports and their surrounding areas, docks, marinas, aquaculture installations, heated power plant effluents sites, offshore structures).
- **51.** In addition, UNEP/MAP will develop during the initial phase of IMAP citizen survey guidance for NIS, to enable Contracting Parties to use this additional cost-efficient methodology, which also strengthens public awareness and participation.

52. Regarding the assessment of EO2, to be able to specify further GES, it is important to understand which NIS are present within the marine region and sub-regions. A baseline assessment of the extant NIS would provide a reference point against which the success of future actions could be measured. After this baseline data has been gathered during the initial phase of IMAP, it will be possible to set reference levels, following the assessment criteria set out in the Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Guidance.

3. Monitoring and assessment of pollution and litter related common indicators EUTROPHICATION (EO5)

- **53.** Eutrophication is a process driven by enrichment of water by nutrients, especially compounds of nitrogen and/or phosphorus, leading to: increased growth, primary production and biomass of algae; changes in the balance of nutrients causing changes to the balance of organisms; and water quality degradation.
- **54.** Eutrophication related common indicators:
 Common indicators related to eutrophication:
 COMMON INDICATOR 13: Concentration of key nutrients in water column (EO5);
 COMMON INDICATOR 14: Chlorophyll-a concentration in water

column (EO5)

- **55.** The monitoring of eutrophication under IMAP builds on the existing monitoring system of UNEP/MAP MED POL Monitoring programme, and most of the Contracting Parties already have monitoring programmes in place for eutrophication all over the Mediterranean basin, which constitutes a greater concern for the Adriatic than for the rest of sub-regions.
- **56.** The Contracting Parties, building on their existing national monitoring programmes and previous MED POL experience on eutrophication, will update these programmes during the initial phase of IMAP, with the overall aim to establish coherent datasets at the entire regional sea level.
- **57.** The methodologies and quality control and quality assurance measures available for Contracting Parties to consider during the update of their national monitoring programmes are described in the Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Guidance, noting the differences of needed techniques based on the level of the eutrophication problem in different sub-regions and countries.

- **58.** The geographical scale of monitoring for the assessment of GES for eutrophication will depend on the hydrological and morphological conditions of an area, particularly the freshwater inputs from rivers, the salinity, the general circulation, upwelling, and stratification.
- 59. The spatial distribution of the monitoring stations should thus, prior to the establishment of the eutrophication status of the marine sub-region/area, be risk-based and proportionate to the anticipated extent of eutrophication in the sub-region under consideration as well as its hydrographic characteristics aiming for the determination of spatially homogeneous areas. Consequently, each Contracting Party would be required to determine the optimum frequency per year and optimum locations for their monitoring/sampling stations.
- **60.** It is recommended that the Contracting Parties rely on the classification scheme on chl-a concentration (⊠g/I) developed by MEDGIG as an assessment method that is easily applicable by all Mediterranean countries, based on the indicative thresholds and reference values adopted therein (see Table 2, Annex 2). In this context, water typology is a very important factor for the further development of classification schemes in a certain area regarding the definition of sub-regional thresholds for chlorophyll-a.
- **61.** In addition, countries, where appropriate may continue using the existing different eutrophication assessment methods such as TRIX, Eutrophication scale, EI, HEAT, etc. at sub-regional or national levels for assessing eutrophication trends.
- **62.** The assessment methodology is well described in the Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Guidance for eutrophication. The final report of the Informal Online working group on eutrophication (UNEP((DEPI)/MED WG.420/Inf.11) contains assessment criteria regarding eutrophication which are presented in Annex 2 of this document.
- **63.** During the initial phase of IMAP implementation, work will be undertaken to develop GES thresholds and reference conditions for nutrients, transparency, and oxygen, using an adequate geographical scale as well as harmonize existing assessment tools through workshops, dialogue, comparative exercises at regional/sub-regional/subdivision levels.
- **64.** In addition, taking into account sub-regional differences, work will be also undertaken to develop assessment fact sheets

for eutrophication common indicator based on specifics described in the Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Guidance.

CONTAMINANTS (E09)

65. The monitoring of concentrations of a range of chemical contaminants in water, sediments and biota has a long standing history in the Mediterranean, under the auspices of the UNEP/MAP Barcelona Convention, its Land-Based Protocol, and UNEP/MAP MED POL monitoring programmes. The IMAP builds on these existing legislative bases, programmes.

Contaminants related common indicators:

COMMON INDICATOR 17: Concentration of key harmful contaminants measured in the relevant matrix (EO9, related to biota, sediment, seawater);

COMMON INDICATOR 18: Level of pollution effects of key contaminants where a cause and effect relationship has been established (EO9);

COMMON INDICATOR 19: Occurrence, origin (where possible), extent of acute pollution events (e.g. slicks from oil, oil products and hazardous substances), and their impact on biota affected by this pollution (EO9);

COMMON INDICATOR 20: Actual levels of contaminants that have been detected and number of contaminants which have exceeded maximum regulatory levels in commonly consumed seafood (EO9);

COMMON INDICATOR 21: Percentage of intestinal enterococci concentration measurements within established standards (EO9)

- **66.** All Mediterranean countries have programmes already in place in relation to contaminants monitoring, however the scope and scale of this monitoring varies. The IMAP thus aims to build more harmony in between the various existing monitoring programmes, based on the agreed common indicators.
- **67.** Biological effects monitoring is generally less widely established in both national and international programmes, and the number of countries undertaking such studies (and the intensity of the coverage) is much smaller. Therefore, it will be essential during the initial phase of IMAP to expand and develop further the use of biological effects methods to cover properly the EO9.

- **68.** In addition, important development areas during the initial phase of IMAP will include harmonisation of monitoring targets (determinants and matrices) within assessment sub-regions, development of suites of assessment criteria, integrated chemical and biological assessment methods, and review of the scope of the monitoring programmes to ensure that those contaminants which are considered to be important within each assessment area are included in monitoring programmes.
- 69. Noting the above, the Contracting Parties will update their existing contaminants-related monitoring programmes by building on their existing sampling station networks, existing methodologies and statistical tools, existing data sets, and existing time series as the basis of monitoring against a "no deterioration" objective, aiming to cover the monitoring of all contaminants related common indicators.
- **70.** While most monitoring stations already exists, there is also a need for Contracting Parties to include in their monitoring programme areas beyond the coastal areas in a representative and efficient way, where risks warrant coverage, in line with the Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Guidance.
- 71. The methodologies, quality control and quality assurance measures, and reference methods available for Contracting Parties to consider during the update of their national monitoring programmes, are described in the Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Guidance.
- **72.** Regarding assessment, the Report UNEP(DEPI)MED WG.394/Inf.3 on the development of assessment criteria for hazardous substances and the final report of the Informal Online working group on contaminants (UNEP((DEPI)/MED WG.420/Inf.12) present key recommendations which will be followed to establish a forward procedure for monitoring the achievement of GES for contaminants during the initial phase of IMAP (Annex 2 of this Annex).
- **73.** Until EACs are defined under this follow-up, a two-fold approach could be adopted to support monitoring for the assessment of GES:
 - a) a threshold value for GES(BAC), to be set using concentrations from relatively unpolluted areas on a sub-regional level and
 - b) a decreasing trend should be observed from baseline values representing the actual level of contaminants concentrations.

- **74.** Thus, GES can be defined for toxic metals (Hg, Cd, Pb), chlorinated organic compounds, and PAHs, for which monitoring data exist as a result of running monitoring programmes, already during the initial phase of IMAP, and UNEP/MAP will conclude its relevant common indicator based assessment in light with the above.
- **75.** In addition, during the initial phase of IMAP, UNEP/MAP will also prepare an adapted manual establishing the BAC and, when possible, the formulation of EAC for selected biomarkers in Mediterranean species.
- **76.** Regarding acute pollution events, while Contracting Parties already have an existing monitoring obligation under Article 9 of the Prevention and Emergency Protocol, the efforts of which need to be strengthened, it is also foreseen that further analysis of the links in between acute pollution events and their effects on biota and the development of specific assessment criteria for this latter should occur.
- 77. Monitoring of contaminants in biota used for human consumption also builds on existing monitoring requirements and only measures contaminants in fish and other seafood for which regulatory limits have been set in national and international regulations for public health reasons.
- **78.** National monitoring Programmes in this regard should at least consider the following contaminants for which regulatory levels have been laid down: Heavy metals (lead, cadmium, and mercury), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, and dioxins (including dioxin-like PCBs), with the species selection considerations described in the Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Guidance.
- 79. Regarding percentage of intestinal enterococci concentration measurements within established standards), the Revised Mediterranean guidelines for bathing waters of 2007 based on the WHO guidelines for "Safe Recreational Water Environments" and on the EC Directive for "Bathing Waters" serve as a basis for monitoring.
- **80.** The values agreed for the Mediterranean region in COP 17 (Decision IG.20/9 Criteria and Standards for bathing waters quality in the framework of the implementation of Article 7 of the LBS Protocol, (UNEP/MAP, 2012) will be built on to further define GES for the indicator on pathogens in bathing waters during the initial phase of IMAP.

MARINE LITTER (E010)

81. Marine litter monitoring of IMAP is based on the Regional Plan on Marine Litter management (Decision IG. 20/10, the MLRP) and on the following agreed common and candidate indicators:

COMMON INDICATOR 22: Trends in the amount of litter washed ashore and/or deposited on coastlines (EO10); COMMON INDICATOR 23: Trends in the amount of litter in the water column including microplastics and on the seafloor (EO10);

CANDIDATE INDICATOR 24: Trends in the amount of litter ingested by or entangling marine organisms focusing on selected mammals, marine birds, and marine turtles (EO10)

- **82.** In addition, as marine litter monitoring is a new area for the Mediterranean, IMAP greatly builds on the UNEP Guidelines for Comprehensive Beach Litter Assessment and on the Guidance on Monitoring of Marine Litter in European Seas.
- **83.** Contracting Parties will establish national monitoring programmes during the initial phase of IMAP in relation to the two common indicators and are encouraged to also consider in their monitoring programmes the candidate indicator related to ingested litter and to undertake pilot monitoring activities on the latter.
- **84.** Furthermore, is strongly recommended that Contracting Parties, which currently have plans to monitor only in a subset of environmental compartments, start with small pilot research or development projects in other compartments. This would provide baseline data to make an informed decision about future, full-scale monitoring programmes. Without information on trends and amounts in all the marine compartments, a risk-based approach to litter monitoring and measures is not possible.
- **85.** A considerable number of citizens, communities (NGOs, civil society initiatives), and environmental protection associations and institutes across the Mediterranean are already taking part in activities to tackle marine litter. Contracting Parties are encouraged to enable them in the implementation of IMAP and empower them to help improve the evidence base needed for marine litter monitoring.
- **86.** Regarding beach litter, cost-efficient and easy to follow monitoring and sampling methodologies and techniques are well established, as described in the Integrated Monitoring and

Assessment Guidance, with at least two surveys per year in spring and autumn recommended and ideally 4 surveys per year in spring, summer, autumn and winter.

- **87.** A reduced master list of litter categories and items is also included in the Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Guidance with the most frequent items found in Mediterranean beaches. The Contracting Parties can build on this reduced list as a reference approach which is compatible with other lists, in relation to marine litter monitoring, and it can be used also as a practical guide for the field work, enabling a coordinated and harmonized monitoring (including when operated by NGOs, as appropriate).
- **88.** Regarding monitoring litter at the sea (Common Indicator 17), due to the low occurrence of litter in midwater, the common indicator focuses on surface and seafloor litter.
- **89.** Due to the observation methodology (observation from ships), the type of marine litter objects can only be noted during very short visual observation. Therefore, in contrast to beach litter, only rough litter categories can be determined, even though monitoring size categories should also include relevant small items, in line with the Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Guidance.
- **90.** During the initial phase of IMAP, UNEP/MAP will develop a specific Monitoring of floating litter protocol, on a regional basis.
- **91.** Regarding sea floor litter (Common Indicator 17), opportunistic monitoring is the most cost-efficient method for sea-floor monitoring, building on the Mediterranean International Bottom Trawl Surveys (MEDITS) and compatible professional trawling operations to couple monitoring efforts may be the best approach to monitor litter on the sea-floor. There may be other opportunities to couple marine litter surveys with other regular surveys (monitoring in marine reserves, offshore platforms, etc.) or programmes on biodiversity, with methodologies and technical requirements prescribed in the Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Guidance.
- **92.** Regarding ingested litter (Candidate Indicator 18), due to the limited availability of protocols and the state of knowledge, the candidate indicator's focus during the initial phase of IMAP is on sea turtle Caretta caretta. UNEP/MAP thus will develop during the initial phase of IMAP a monitoring protocol for marine litter in sea turtles with focus on relevant parameters for application in the Mediterranean.

- **93.** As ingested litter is a candidate common indicator, Contracting Parties are not obliged to include its monitoring in their national integrated monitoring programmes during the initial phase of IMAP, but they are encouraged however to undertake pilots, further research on this indicator.
- **94.** Furthermore, it is important to note that while micro-litter is considered to be part of IMAP, further work is necessary here regional level, recognizing that our understanding of the potential impacts of microplastic on organisms and the environment is still limited. Contracting Parties are thus encouraged also to undertake pilots, further research work in this area.
- **95.** The Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Guidance includes further specific methodologies, scales, and technical considerations, which can guide the Contracting Parties during the development of their integrated monitoring programme's marine litter component. The report of the Informal Online working group on Marine Litter (UNEP((DEPI)/MED WG.420/Inf.13) present recommendations related to baselines (Annex 2).
- 4. Monitoring and assessment of coastal ecosystems and landscapes and hydrography related common indicators

HYDROGRAPHY

96. Monitoring of hydrographic alterations aim to address developments large enough to have the potential to alter hydrographical conditions, either at broad scale or through acting cumulatively with other developments.

Hydrography related common indicator:

COMMON INDICATOR 15: Location and extent of the habitats impacted directly by hydrographic alterations (EO7)

- **97.** As mentioned above, monitoring under this ecological objective aims to address new developments of permanent alterations (constructions lasting for more than 10 years).
- **98.** Contracting Parties thus when developing their national integrated monitoring programme's hydrography component, need to first agree on a common baseline year in the (very) near future from which monitoring for good status can be based upon. Furthermore, the Contracting Parties are strongly encouraged to list their available records the licensing

applications for any proposed developments that would be considered large enough to have the potential to alter hydrographical conditions (constructions lasting for more than 10 years). The monitoring following this approach, will confirm whether there is need for any additional licensing, monitoring or assessment requirements for Government, marine licensing authorities or developers.

COASTAL ECOSYSTEMS AND LANDSCAPES

99. One particularity of the IMAP (compared to other regional/RSC monitoring and assessment programmes) is the inclusion of an Ecological Objective focusing on the terrestrial part of the coastal zone. This reflects that the Barcelona Convention also covers coastal areas in its work, in line with the ICZM Protocol.

100. The coast related common indicator and candidate common indicator are as follows:

COMMON INDICATOR 16: Length of coastline subject to physical disturbance due to the influence of man-made structures (EO8);

CANDIDATE INDICATOR 25: Land use change (EO8)

101. In line with the above, the monitoring under this Ecological Objective is meant to address human activities causing coastal artificialisation by sealing the coast with the implementation of coastal structures and therefore impact coastal ecosystems and landscapes.

102. The term 'manmade structures' typically refers, solely, to coastal defences and ports (and indirectly to land claim). Coastal segments are "artificialised" when all or part of the 100-meter area on both sides (i.e. land and sea) are subject to transformation by Man, modifying their original physical state.

103. During the development of the national integrated monitoring programmes' coastal component, the Contracting Parties, in line with the above, first need assess the length of coastline affected by man-made structures in the current state, in line with the Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Guidance, noting that the length of coastline subject to physical disturbance due to the influence of manmade structures is an impact indicator, which assumes that the coastlines occupied by manmade structures are potentially impacted areas.

104. For assessment of indicator on length of coastline influenced by man-made structures, definition of thresholds as % and / or m, to be developed, during the initial phase of IMAP, should be based on expert assisted procedure to take into account the typology of the coast including its ecosystem goods and services related to social and economic benefits. The assessment should also include disturbance that comes from such structures.

105. In relation to candidate indicator on land use change, Contracting Parties are encouraged to develop monitoring programmes and undertake monitoring activities in line with the outcomes of the EcAp-MED pilot project, undertaken in the Adriatic. This indicator is very important for the analysis of processes, including land-sea interaction, in coastal areas and as it is a simple tool it should be promoted and developed during the initial phase of IMAP. This will allow countries to propose adequate measures to achieve GES (to be specified by the countries themselves taking into account their local specificities. It will bring more objectivity into reporting on the state and evolution of their coastal zones and implementation of the ecosystem approach in coastal zones. During the initial phase of IMAP implementation further work will be undertaken to provide support to the Contracting parties through training, capacity building activities, exchange of experience including as appropriate consultations at sub-regional level.

5. Monitoring Ecological Objective 11: Energy including underwater noise

106. This part of IMAP has been prepared, thanks to the support of experts from the Joint ACCOBAMS/ASCOBANS/CMS Working Group on Noise

The two candidate common indicators related to energy including underwater noise are:

CANDIDATE INDICATOR 26: Proportion of days and geographical distribution where loud, low, and mid-frequency impulsive sounds exceed levels that are likely to entail significant impact on marine animals

CANDIDATE INDICATOR 27: Levels of continuous low frequency sounds with the use of models as appropriate

Compared to Descriptor 11 related indicators (MSFD), candidate indicators 26 and 27 are more closely related to the acoustic biology of key marine mammal species of the Mediterranean which are known to be sensitive to noise, i.e. the fin whale, the sperm whale and the Cuvier's beaked whale.

The proposed monitoring strategy of these two candidate indicators, as spelled out in the Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Guidance, represents a basis for further work during the initial stage of IMAP towards an effective and widely agreed monitoring of underwater noise at a regional scale.

107. In line with the above, Contracting Parties are encouraged to develop monitoring programmes and undertake activities on the two common indicators on a pilot basis during the initial phase of IMAP.

108. UNEP/MAP and ACCOBAMS, together with other interested partners, will continue during the initial phase of IMAP to further develop these candidate indicators towards common indicators.

109. For GES assessment related to EO11, three thresholds need to be established: a spatial and a temporal threshold concerning candidate indicator 26 and a noise threshold concerning candidate indicator 27.

110. During the initial phase of IMAP, the ACCOBAMS Secretariat in coordination with the competent MAP components will carry out the following tasks with a view to further develop technical aspects of the candidate indicators in particular:

- 1. Reviewing what spatial and temporal thresholds have been selected by European Member States for implementing impulsive noise indicator of D11
- 2. Fulfilling action CA 2b1 of the 2014-2016 Work Plan ("Identifying Noise Hotspots for cetaceans in the ACCOBAMS area which is relevant to the Mediterranean Sea Area as provided for in the Barcelona Convention"), in order to provide the necessary baseline information on space-time distribution of impulsive noise sources across the Mediterranean
- 3. Reviewing ambient noise data available for the Mediterranean Sea as a follow up of the present work in order to identify the threshold for continuous noise indicator 11.1.2.



ANNEX 1

REFERENCE LIST OF SPECIES AND HABITATS

Explanatory Note/Glossary for parameters, criteria and prioritization used here:

EN Term	EN definition	FR Terme	FR définition
Predominant habitat	Widely occurring and broadly defined habitat types by abiotic characteristics (e.g. EUNIS level 3), referred to in Table 1 of Annex III to the EC Marine Strategy Framework Directive (2008/56/EC)	Habitats principaux	Types d'habitats à un haut niveau typologique, définis par des caractéristiques abiotiques (e.g. EUNIS level 3), cités dans le tableau 1 de l'annexe III de la Directive européenne Cadre Stratégie Milieux Marins (2008/56/EC)
Habitat	This term addresses (as defined in EC Decision 2010/477/UE) both the abiotic characteristics and the associated biological community, treating both elements together (e.g. EUNIS level 5 or 6). This term may also refer to a number of habitat complexes (which means assessing, where appropriate, the composition, extent and relative proportions of habitats within such complexes) and to some functional habitats (such as spawning, breeding, resting, feeding areas and migration routes)	Habitat	Ce terme (tel que défini dans la Décision CE 2010/477/UE), se réfère à la fois aux caractéristiques abiotiques et à la communauté biologique associée, de façon indissociables (e.g. EUNIS level 5 ou 6). Ce terme peut également se réfèrer à certains complexes d'habitats (impliquant, si approprié, dévaluer la composition, l'étendue et les proportions relatives des habitats composant ce complexe) et à certains habitats fonctionnels (tels que les frayères, les zones de reproduction, de repos, d'alimentation, et les couloirs migratoires)
Functional group (of species)	An ecologically relevant set of species, applied here in particular to the following (highly) mobile species groups: birds, reptiles, marine mammals, fish and cephalopods. Each functional group represents a predominant ecological role (e.g. offshore surface-feeding birds, demersal fish) within the species group. This term is referred to in the EC Decision 2010/477/UE (Part B, species)	-	Un ensemble écologiquement cohérent d'espèces, appliqué ici en particulier aux espèces (largement) mobiles suivantes : oiseaux, reptiles, mammifères marins, poissons et céphalopodes. Chaque groupe fonctionnel représente un rôle écologique majeur (e.g. oiseaux se nourrisant au large en sub-surface, poissons démersaux) au sein du groupe d'espèces. Ce terme est cité dans la Décision CE 2010/477/UE (Partie B, espèces)
Texel-Faial Criteria	Cf. document downloadable at: http://www.google.fr/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&s ource=web&cd=1&cad=rja&uact=8&ved=0CCYQFj AA&url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.ospar.org%2Fdocu ments%2Fdbase%2Fdecrecs%2Fagreements%2F03- 13e_texel_faial%2520criteria.doc&ei=r1MQVPP7GY vuaPm7gBA&usg=AFQjCNFFBqKlpeixMYiLZD1JqGJ C_rAwTw&sig2=wG6kTCw1ZQvZJwazTNX7iw&bvm =bv.74649129,d.d2s	Critères de Texel-Faial	Cf. document téléchargeable à: http://www.google.fr/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&s ource=web&cd=1&cad=rja&uact=8&ved=0CCIQFj AAahUKEwjzto- 7punGAhWIPxQKHYo0B1k&url=http%3A%2F%2Fw ww.ospar.org%2Fdocuments%2Fdbase%2Fdecrecs %2Fagreements%2F03-13f_criterestexel- faial.doc&ei=i7KsVbPFKYj_UIrpnMgF&usg=AFQjCN EVmuntg7oEq-C4n4tbGPpuM3B_0w&sig2=eVctr- Vg51LEVuFv97-A&bvm=bv.98197061,d.d24
(sub)regional importance (Texel-Faial Criteria)	A high proportion of the habitat or species population (at any time of its life cycle) occurs within a specific biogeographic region and/or (sub)region of national responsibility, within the Mediterranean Sea	(sous-) régionale (critère Texel-Faial)	Une grande proportion de l'habitat ou de la population de l'espèce (quel que soit les stades de vie considéré) est situé dans une zone biogéographique spécifique et/ou une (sous-) région relevant d'une responsabilité nationale, en Méditerranée
Rarity (Texel-Faial Criteria)	A habitat is assessed as being rare if it is restricted to a limited number of locations or to small, few and scattered locations in the Mediterranean Sea. A species is rare if the total population size is small. In case of a species that is sessile or of restricted mobility at any time of its life cycle, a species is rare if it occurs in a limited number of locations in the Mediterranean Sea, and in relatively low numbers. In case of a highly mobile species, the total population size will determine rarity	(critère Texel-Faial)	Un habitat est dit rare s'il est restreint à un nombre limité de sites ou à quelques petits sites dispersés en Méditerranée, Une espèce est rare si sa population totale est faible. Dans le cas d'une espèce sessile ou à mobilité restreinte, quel que soit le stade de vie considéré, cette espèce est rare si son occurrence est limitée à nombre réduit de sites en Méditerranée, et en faibles abondances. Dans le cas d'espèces largement mobiles, la taille de la population détermine sa rareté éventuelle

EN Term	EN definition	FR Terme	FR définition
Key functional role (from Texel-Faial Criteria)	A species (population) or habitat, which function(s) as a key role to support ecosystem processes and interactions. These key functions may be associated to natural productivity, trophic role, remarkable biodiversity or "species functional habitats", such as spawning, breeding, resting and feeding areas and migration routes	(d'après critère Texel-Faial)	Une espèce (population) ou un habitat, dont la(es) fonction(s) ont un rôle clé dans les processus et interactions de l'écosystème. Ces fonctions clés peuvent être associées à une productivité naturelle, un rôle trophique, une biodiversité remarquable, ou aux "habitats fonctionnels d'espèces", tels que les zones de frayères, de reproduction, de repos, d'alimentation et les couloirs migratoires
Sensitivity (Texel-Faial Criteria)	A species (population) or habitat is "sensitive" when: a. it has low resistance (that is, it is easily adversely affected by human activity); and/or b. it has low resilience (that is, after an adverse effect from human activity, recovery is likely to be achieved only over a long period)	Sensibilité (critère Texel-Faial)	Une espèce (population) ou un habitat est "sensible" si: a. il a une faible résistance (c'est-à-dire qu'il est facilement impacté par les activités humaines); et/ou b. il a une faible résilience (c'est-à-dire, qu'après un impact dû à une activité humaine, il n'est susceptible de récupérer qu'après une longue période)
Vulnerability	A species (population) or habitat is "vulnerable" when it is exposed to a pressure, to which it is sensitive (cf. column N to V)	Vulnérabilité	Une espèce (population) ou un habitat est "vulnérable" s'il est exposé à une pression, à laquelle il est sensible (cf. colonnes N à V)
Declining or threatening (from Texel-Faial Criteria)	A "declining" species (population) or habitat means an observed or indicated significant decline in numbers, extent or quality (quality refers for a species to its life history parameters). The decline may be historic, recent or current. The decline can occur in the whole Mediterranean Sea area or (sub) regionally. Where the decline is "clear and present", and can be linked directly or indirectly to human activity, the species (population) or habitat is also considered to be "currently threatened". Where there is a high probability of significant decline linked directly or indirectly to human activity, the species (population) or habitat is considered to be "potentially threatened"	(d'après critère Texel-Faial)	Une espèce (population) ou un habitat en "déclin" implique une diminution, observée ou mesurée de façon significative, en abondance, étendue ou qualité (qualité se réfère pour une espèce à ses paramètres démographiques). Le déclin peut être historique, récent ou actuel. Le déclin peut avoir lieu sur toute la Méditerranée ou une (sous-)région. Quand le déclin est "clair et avéré", et peut être lié directement ou indirectement à une activité humaine, l'espèce (population) ou l'habitat est aussi considéré comme "actuellement menacé". Quand il y a une forte probabilité de déclin significatif, lié directement ou indirectement à une activité humaine, l'espèce (population) ou l'habitat est considéré comme "potentiellement menacé"
Feasability (for monitoring)	Existence of methods and protocols to monitor a species (population) or habitat. Resources needed (logistic, technical and human) and actually existing monitoring are detailed in column W to AG	(pour la surveillance)	Existance de méthodes et protocoles pour réaliser le suivi d'une espèce (population) ou d'un habitat. Les ressources nécessaires (logistiques, techniques et humaines) et les suivis actuellement existant sont détaillés dans les colonnes W à AG
Priority	If a species or habitat meet at least 1 of the Texel-Faial criteria AND is vulnerable AND then it's monitoring is technically feasible, its monitoring should be highly prioritized. Besides, redundancies in selected species or habitats representing specific functional groups/predominant habitats, should be considered. Priority mean than sufficient resources (national and/or joint at (sub) regional scale) should be dedicated to acquire relevant data at sufficient spatial and temporal resolution. Low prioritized species or habitats should also be monitored, but data could be acquired at a minimum relevant spatial and temporal resolution, according to available resources (cf. pragmatic approach for assessment scale)		Si une espèce ou habitat réponds à au moins 1 des critères de Texel-Faial ET est vulnérable ET que son suivi est techniquement faisable, son suivi doit être hautement prioritaire. Par ailleurs, la redondance entre les espèces ou habitats sélectionnés, représentatifs d'un groupe fonctionnel ou habitat principal spécifique, doit être considérée. La priorité haute signifie que des ressources suffisantes (nationales et/ou jointes à l'échelle de la (sous-)région) devraient être dédiées pour acquérir des données pertinentes à une résolution spatiale et temporelle suffisante. Les espèces et habitats moins prioritaires devraient aussi être suivis, mais les données pourraient être acquises à une résolution spatiale et temporelle minimale, mais pertinente, en fonction des ressources disponibles (cf. approche pragmatique pour l'échelle d'évaluation)

EN Term	EN definition	FR Terme	FR définition
Assessment monitoring scale	For monitoring issue, assessment scale is expressed as the relevant spatial and temporal resolution of required data. These resolutions (number and location of sampling stations, accuracy of remote detection, sampling frequencies, etc.) are likely to be a compromise (cost-efficiency) between "high resolution" (which enable a very accurate and complete assessment, but more expensive assessment) and a more pragmatic approach, identifying a resolution and sampling design in accordance with available resources (less expensive, but which could lead to an incomplete or partial assessment)	pour la surveillance	Pour la surveillance, l'échelle d'évaluation correspond au plan d'échantillonnage et aux résolutions spatiale et temporelle pertinentes pour acquérir les données requises. Ces résolutions (nombre et position des stations d'échantillonnage, précision de la télédétection, fréquence d'échantillonnage, etc.) devraient être définies selon un compromis (coût/efficacité) entre une "haute résolution" (permettant une grande précision et une évaluation complète, mais à un coût supérieur), et une approche plus pragmatique, adaptant la résolution et/ou le plan d'échantillonnage, selon les ressources disponibles (moins couteux, mais pouvant conduire à une évaluation partielle ou incomplète)
Mediolittoral	Bathymetric level, corresponding to the intertidal benthic area (from higher to lower tide levels); organisms are in there submitted to alternating immersion and emersion		Étage bathymétrique correspondant à la zone benthique intertidale (comprise entre les niveaux des plus hautes et des plus basses mers); les peuplements y sont régulièrement soumis aux alternances d'émersion et immersion
Infralittoral	Bathymetric level, associated to preferential benthic distribution area of photophilic organisms (approximatively, for Mediterranean Sea, from 0 to -50 meters depth, on official marine bathymetric maps)		Étage bathymétrique correspondant à la zone benthique de répartition préférentielle des organismes photophiles (approximativement, en Méditerranée, de 0 à -50 mètres, sur les cartes marines bathymétriques officielles)
Circalittoral	Bathymetric level, associated to preferential benthic distribution area of sciaphilic organisms (approximatively, for Mediterranean Sea, from -50 to -200 meters depth, on official marine bathymetric maps)		Étage bathymétrique correspondant à la zone benthique de répartition préférentielle des organismes sciaphiles (approximativement, en Méditerranée, de -50 à -200 mètres, sur les cartes marines bathymétriques officielles)
Bathyal	Bathymetric level, associated to darkness and continental slope (approximatively from -200 to -2000 meters depth, on official marine bathymetric maps)	,	Étage bathymétrique correspondant à la zone aphotique et la pente continentale (approximativement de -200 à -2000 mètres, sur les cartes marines bathymétriques officielles)
Abyssal	Last bathymetric level, associated to darkness and plains after the continental slope (approximatively below -2000 meters depth, on official marine bathymetric maps)		Dernier étage bathymétrique correspondant à la zone aphotique et des plaines au bas de la pente continentale (approximativement sous -2000 mètres, sur les cartes marines bathymétriques officielles)
Coastal waters	This term of "coastal waters" addresses here, for pelagic habitats, relatively low depth marine waters, directly influenced by terrigeneous and freshwaters inputs (approximatively from the coast to the beginning of the continental shelf)		Le terme "d'eaux côtières" se réfère ici, pour les habitats pélagiques, à des eaux marines de profondeurs relativement faible, soumises à l'influence directe des apports terrigènes et des eaux douces (approximativement de la côte au début du plateau continental)
Shelf and Oceanic waters	This term of "shelf and oceanic waters" addresses here, for pelagic habitat, offshore marine waters (shell, bathyal and abyss), less directly influenced by terrigeneous and freshwaters inputs. They are characterized by specific physico-chemical conditions and biological communities		Les "eaux du plateau et océaniques" se réfère ici, pour les habitats pélagiques, aux eaux marines situées au large (plateau, bathyal et abysses), moins soumises directement à l'influence des apports terrigènes et des eaux douces. Elles sont caractérisées par des conditions physicochimiques et des communautés biologiques spécifiques

SPECIES CLASS	SPECI	ES FUNCTIONAL GROUPS
	CE/OSPAR	FR experts proposal (subdivision of toothed whales)
Marine mammals/ Mammifères marins	Baleen whales Toothed wales Seals	Baleines à fanons (Mysticètes) Odontocètes épipélagiques stricts (alimentation entre 0 à -200 m) Odontocètes épi- et méso-bathy-pélagiques (alimentation de 0 à >-200 m) Phoques (pinnipèdes)
Reptiles	Turtles	Tortues marines
Birds/Oiseaux	Coastal top predators intertidal benthic-feeders inshore benthic feeders inshore surface-feeders inshore pelagic feeders offshore surface feeders offshore pelagic feeders	rédateur supérieur côtier à alimentation benthique littoral, côtier (côtier) à alimentation benthique subtidale, côtier (eaux côtières) à alimentation pélagique de surface, côtier (eaux côtières) à alimentation pélagique de sub-surface, côtier (eaux côtières) à alimentation pélagique de surface, au large (eaux du plateau et océaniques) à alimentation pélagique de sub-surface, au large (eaux du plateau et océaniques)
Fish/Poissons	Diadromous bony fish Demersal coastal bony fish Demersal coastal elasmobranch Pelagic coastal bony fish Pelagic coastal elasmobranchs Demersal offshore bony fish Demersal offshore elasmobranchs Pelagic offshore bony fish Pelagic offshore elasmobranchs	Poissons diadromes Poissons osseux démersaux côtiers (eaux côtières) Elasmobranches démersaux côtiers (eaux côtières) Poissons osseux pélagiques côtiers (eaux côtières) elasmobranches pélagiques côtiers (eaux côtières) Poissons osseux démersaux du large (eaux du plateau et océaniques) elasmobranches démersaux du large (eaux du plateau et océaniques) Poissons osseux pélagiques du large (eaux du plateau et océaniques) elasmobranches pélagiques du large (eaux du plateau et océaniques)
Cephalopods/Céphalopodes	Coastal cephalopods Offshore cephalopods	Céphalopodes côtiers (eaux côtières) Céphalopodes du large (plateau et océaniques)

	Minimum list	list			Texel-Faial Criteria						Typology	/listed
Predominant habitat or "Functional" group of species	Specific habitat type or species to be monitored	Specific habinat type or species to ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (in be further discussed): specific representatives species or habitats (invertebrates associated with habitats)	(sub)regional impertance	Rarity	Key functional rele	Declining Sen or bill threatening pr	Sensitivity/Vulnera bility (exposure to pressures): cf. column N to V	feasability (for monitoring): cf. column W to AG	Priority (estimated from column D	Assessment monitoring Scale	EUNIS Habitats 2015 Directive	labitats irective
Seabed - mediolittoral - infralittoral rock	Communities in the medicilitoral and infralitoral that are based on bio-construction	(e.g. vermetid reefs, e.g. Dendcopoma paetreum, Cladocora, Astroides caliculants, , some Cy <i>stocerra</i> app. belts,)	Subregional distribution in Patchiness of Southern Mediterranean subregional di (Chemmelo & Silerizi, 2011)	stribution	Biodiversity, keystone/habitat formers, se diment transport, carbon flux, protection from coastal erosion	igid rinv	_	1. (ship/video/photo/ quedrats/diving)		fine scale assessment = community level (EUNIS	711	1170 Reefs
Seabed - unfalitraal rock	Hard beds (tottoms, substrates, reefs) associated with communities of photophilic algae	e g. facter with Cystostra amentacea, My thius gall logwornerials, Corallina elongard Hepsyalphonia genloyovnerials, Corallina elongard Hepsyalphonia serunda. Dago fledu, serminilaris, Alsahum helmmiborhorto, Gelduma genocam, Dobophora amanageaman genocam approas, Alexanto herdy capa, Cystostra barroly capta, Cystostra approas, Alexanton Cystostra ananageaman, Cystostra approas, Alexanton Alypocaulon sergund Comportant Cystostra orompressa, Percohammian Comportum Cystostra a compressa, Percohammian Hebodymenta ardiaconel/Boodophyllia divaricataca fectes with big hydroxans	Wide regional distribution Petchiness of wide regional distribution		Bodversity, keystone, Cerbonate flux, nutrient fluxes	ight ilinv	ulagh sensitivity and 1	1, (ahipivide oʻphoto/ quadras/diving)	N	fine scale successment = community level (EUNIS	1176	1170 Reefs
Sødved-mediolitoral- infralitoral sedanent	s acpeau saudes g	Posidonia oceanica, Cymodocea nodosa, Zostera sp	Wide regional distribution Patchiness of wide (Genroulaki et al., 2013). Gakoum et al., 2013).		Biodiversity, keystone/habitat Comers, eston stak, spaveum and nuesary grounds, critical food resources, water quality and transpersory, water and transpersory, water coygenation, sediment stabilization, protection from coastal erosion	ligh Anv	high sensitivity and 1 (vulnereblity h	1. (ship/soner/video/p hoto/diving)	-	fine scale assessment = community level (EUNIS	1120 bed	1120 Posidonia beds, 1110
Seabed - mediolittoral- infralittoral sediment	Infrallitoral sands or muddy sands	e g. factes withPoma nobilis, Asterina paneerit, Callianassa hyrhenal Kellia corbuloides, Ceratoderma glaucum, Cy athura carnata, Loripes lacteus or Tapes spp.	Wide regional distribution	Patchiness of wide regional repartition	Biodiversity, sediment properties, organic, nutrient fluxes	low	lower sensitivity 1 and vulnerability (1. (ship/sonar/video/p hoto/grabs)	2	fine scale assessment = community level (EUNIS	114	1140, 1110
Seabed - circalitoral rock	Hard bottom habitats associated with coraligenous communities, sciaphilic algae and semi dark caves, deep reefs (dominated by soonses and other filter feeders)		Wide regional distribution (Chakoumi et al, 2013)		Biodiversity, keystone species/habitat formers, carbonate flux	and and	high sensitivity 1	1. (ship/sonar/video/p hoto)	7	fine scale assessment = community level (EUNIS	Sub Sub part subs	1170+8330 Submerged or partially submerged sea
Seabed - circulittoral sediment	Communities of the coastel detritic bottom	e.g. fecies with Lominaria vodriguezii, Osmundaria and Peysonnelia, Ophiothrix quinquemaculata, Neolampas rostellata at Leptometra phalangium	Wide regional distribution	etchiness of regional listribution	Biodiversity, sediment properties and fluxes	low		1. (ship/sonar/video/p hoto/grab)	н	fine scale assessment = community level (EUNIS	11107	ä
Seabed - circalittoral sediment	Maerl communities	e g. Lithothamunon coralltoides, Phymatolithon calcareum	Wide Regional repartition F (cf. Martin et al., 2014; r DOI:10.1038/srep06646)	Patchiness of wide regional repartition	1 (biodiversity, Carbonate flux)	(high	(high sensitivity 1 and vulnerability) (1. (ship/sonar/video/p hoto/grab)	1	fine scale assessment = community level (EUNIS	1160 core 1110 Cal	1160 (L. corallioides), 1110 (P. Calcareum)
Seabed - circulittoral sediment	Biocoenosis of coastal temigenous muds	e.g. fecies with Taritella tricarinata communis, Pirgularia mirabilis/Pennatula phosphorea at Alcyonium palmatum/Stichopus regalis	regional	Patchiness of wide regional repartition	Biodiversity, sediment properties and fluxes	low	lower sensitivity 1 and vulnerability (1. (ship/sonar/video/p hoto/grab)	61	fine scale assessment = community level (EUNIS		
Seabed - circalittoral sediment	Communities of shelf-edge detritic bottoms		regional		Biodiversity	low	lower sensitivity 1 and vulnerability (1. (ship/soner/video/p hoto/grab)	2	fine scale assessment = community level (EUNIS	1110	
Seabed - battiyal-abyszal	Communities of deep-sea corals	e g, facies with Lophelia pertusa as Madrepora oculata	regional / not yet comprehensive mapping of the populations (Bo et al., 2015)	rare	Biodiversity, habitat formers	Extr. vulr but.	Extremely vulnerable species but less exposed to pressures	(ship/sonar/video/p hoto/grab)	M	fine scale assessment = community level (EUNIS)	1170	1170 reefs
Seabed - battiyal abyssal	Seeps and communities associated with bathyal muds	Seeps and communities associated e.g. facies with hiddella elongata. Praincidina with bathyal mude inquidence inquidence inquiest. Thereas anticata. Britanya possibility for a, Apporhant sersatamus or Phenomena carpenteri.	regional		Biodiversity / keystone /	low	sitivity	(ship/sonar/video/p hoto/grab)	7	fine scale assessment = community level (EUNIS	11807	ii.
Seabed - battiyal abyssal	Communaities associated with seemounts	(cf. meditarranean desp see arpatts)?	regional		Biodiversity	wol	ower sensitivity ((ship/sonar/video/p hoto/greb)	7	fine scale assessment = community level (BUNIS 2)	Sub Sub stru mad	1170 reefs; 1180 ? Submarine structures made by leaking gases
Water column - coastal waters	Coastal waters phytoplanidon communities	HABs	wide regional reparhiton	No but depends of the level of texonomy considered (can be true at the species level)	biodiversity, food webs, fluxes and nutrient recycling	lgirl rhvv	high sensitivity and vulnerability		1	national/regional		
aters	Constal waters zooplankton communities	of ; allyfish population dynamics and blooms. Lellyfish species Phylothese punctist and Menemiopsis is alone, Secondary Cestopes and ended chorey/au tage Geryonia proboscidats Maravega stellats Pelaga benovici Rhoplama nomada. Berce ovate	wide regional repartition	No but depends of the level of texonomy considered (can be true at the species level)	biodiversity, food webs, fluxes and nutrient recycling	high vulu	high sensitivity and vulnerability		1	national/subregional		
Water column - shelf and oceanic waters	Shelf and oceanic waters phytoplankton communites		wide regional repartition	No but depends of the level of taxonomy considered (can be true at the species level)	biodiversity, food webs, fluxes and nutrient recycling				to def ine	subregional		
Crustacean (shellfish)	Parapenaeus longirostris		subregional						ı	subregional	+	

Control Cont			Imi			Tevel'r did Cilieria						ľ	
Designation of the particular of the particula	Predominant habitat or "Functional" group of species	Specific habitat type or species to be monitored	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (so be further discussed): specific representatives species or habitats (Imertebrates associated with habitats)	(sub)regional importance				sensitivity/Vulnera bility (exposure to pressures): cf.	feasability (for monitoring): cf. column W to AG	Priority (estimated from column D	Assessm	EUNIS 2015	Habitats
Part	Water column - shelf and oceanic waters	Shelf and Oceanic waters zooplankton communities	cf. jellyfish population dynamics and blooms; HABs	wide regional repartition		biodiversity, food webs, fluxes and nutrient recycling				to def ine	subregional		
Production and another Production and another Production and another	Seabirds - coastal top predator.												
Processor of State Controls Processor of State Control Processor of State Con	Seabirds - intertidal benthic- feeders												
The containment Opportation The Containment of Properties The Containment of The Co	Seabirds - inshore benthic	Phalacrocorax aristotelis		regional	wide regional					1	regional		
State of age o	Seabirds - offshore surface-	Larus audoumti (Payraudeau,		subregional	wide subregional					1	subregional		
Polity Contents Polity Con	Seabirds - inshore surface-	Sterna spp.	Sterna alby rongPalles, 1764) or Sterna nilotica (Gmelin, JF, 1780) or Sterna sandviceness (1 8thm. 1878)	regional						1	regional		
1779 1770	Seabirds - offshore (surface or pelagic ?) feeder		Paf i inus man etanicus owe, PR, 1921), Paf f inus y elkouan (Brimnich, 1764)	regional						I	regional		
17/20 17/2	Mammals - seals	Monachus monachus (Hennaun, 1779)		subregional						ı	subregional	p, ii	priority
Physical anticology bload Physical anticology bload ant	Mammals - baleen whales	Balaenoptera physalus (Linnaeus 1738)		subregional						I	subregional		
\$\frac{12500}{12500} \text{ \$\frac{12500}{12500}} \$\fra	Memmals - toothed whales (deep feeder)	Physeter macrocephalus Cameeus, 1758)		subregional						I	subregional		
	Mammals - toothed whales	Ziphius cavirostris (Cuvier G., 1832)		subregional						2	subregional		
12 2011 2012 20	Mammals - toothed whales (epipelagic feeder)	Delphinus delphis (Linnaeus, 1738)		subregional						ı	subregional		
1 200	Mammals - toothed whales (epipelagic feeder)	Tursiops trioncatus (Montagu, 1821)		regional						I	regional	p. 6	priority
subject Construct Clash 1809 richte gonal richte gonal 2 Construct Clash 1809 richte gonal richte gonal 2 Construct Clash 2000 richte gonal 2 Construct Clash 2000 richte gonal 2 1 School anders 2 2 2 School anders 2 2 3 School anders 2 2 4 School anders 2 2 5 School anders 2 2 6 School anders 2 2 7 School anders 2 2 8 School anders 2	Memmals - toothed whales	Stenella coeruleoalba (Meyen,		regional						2	regional		
Automatication Control of Con	Mammals - toothed whales	Gobicephala melas (Treil, 1809)		subregional						2	subregional		
Contract c	Mammals - toothed whales (epipelagic feeder)	Grampus griseus (Cuvier G., 1812)		subregional						2	subregional		
Obsertioned may date Cinemateura, 17/30 Subsertioned and page of Cinemateura, 17/30 And destination of the control	Reptiles - tutles	Caretta caretta (Linnseus, 1758)		regional						ı	subregional	D, G	priority
1 Solution of the solution	Reptiles - tutles	Chelonia ny das (Linnaeus, 1758)		subregional (East, Central Mediterranean)						ı	subregional	D. 6	priority
1	Fish - Diadromous bony fish												
tabgic Progetitute Degentrates ribbregonal ribbregonal 1 Itema Spirace a marti. Antibregonal 2 Spicare a marti. Spicare a marti. 1 Spicare a marti. Antibregonal 1 Martine crus martine. Antibregonal 1 Martine. Antibregonal 1 Antibregonal Antibregonal 1 Martine. 1 1 Martine. 1 1 Antibregonal 1 1	Figh - Demersal coastal	Solea solea Mullus barbatus		subregional			\dagger			7	subregional	#	
Progetition and production Tubbre goonal	Fish - marine/benthopelagic	Pagellus bogaraveo		subregional						I	subregional		
Participating integrations Spicera americal and produced Spicera and produced Spicera americal and produced Spicera and produced	Fish - marine/benthopelagic	Pagellus ery trimus		subregional						2	subregional		
Spicera ameria	Figh - manne, rocky bottoms, reef associated	Spinephelus marginatus		subregional						2		щ	Endangered
Spicera amerita Spicera amerita Spicera amerita Supplage Sucrea amerita Supplage Sucrea ameritations Subregional authorigonal author	Fish - Demersal coastal elasmobranch												
Stretching principle in the Articustus and Parketin in Stretching in the Articustus in Stretching in the Engine in Stretching	Fish - Pelagic oceanic	Spicara smaris		subregional			+			2	subregional		
Methacetra methacina Subjectional Subjectiona	Figh - pelegic-nentic	Singraulis encrasicolus		subregional			†			,	subregional	1	
Methaceina merhiacina subregional subregional Statementaleia Sta	Fish - demersal /benthopelagic	Boops boops		subregional			\dagger			2	subregional		
Multius surmaletius subregional subregional	Fish - marine/demersal	Merluccius merluccius		subregional						I	subregional		
Analyse strengthus subtragional subtragional I Artzteus convergieus subtragional I Artzteus convergieus subtragional I Perspensatus longinostris subtragional I Perspensatus longinostris subtragional I	Fish - Pelagic coastal elasmobranch												
Multina surmulations Multina surmulations T I Arzana monophaf foliacea arbitregonal I I Arzana monophaf foliacea subregonal I I Maphrops nonvegicus subregonal I I Prerior manus longinostris subregonal I I	Fish - Pelagic offshore elasmobranch												
Attacomorphist Oliscea	Fish - marine/demersal	Mullus surmaletus		subregional						I	subregional		
Artsteus oning plant of since a studie goan a subsequent Artsteus oning plant of since a subsequent Artsteus oning plant of since a subsequent Archive plant of since a subsequent Artsteus oning plant of since a subsequent Artsteus oni	Fish - Demersal offshore elasmobranch												
Nephrop strongenian	Crustacean (shellfish)	Anstaeomorpha foliacea		subregional						,	subregional	1	
Parapenani longivatris subregional	Crustacean (shellfish)	Nephrons norveeres		subregional						2	suoregional	1	
	Crustacean (shellfish)	Parapenaeus longivostris		subregional						1	subregional		

graphic	No	No	No										Buoys	Buoya	Buoys, gliders, argo flosts	Buoys, gliders, sego flosts			0 0.		0 11			i - 10			
al platf	ž	ž	×				<u>,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,</u>							and a		Buoya,	No	Mo									
Satellite / Remote Oceanographic Sensing / serial platforms platforms													sea sudace temperature, chlorophyll etc	N 0	sea surface temperature, chlorophyll etc	o _o	reledetection Fraciting ?	teledetection Tracking 7	Teledection	Teledection	Teledection	Teledection	Teledection	Teledection	Teledection Tracking	Teledection	Teledection Tracking
Existing electrology stations / long term monitoring		WFD monitoring network	WFD monitoring network	WFD montoing network	ē-	party covered by WFD monitoring network for soft bottom comm		parity covered by WFD monitoring network?					yee (to check for each country Yes for FR,SP)	yes (to check for each country Yes for FR,3P)	yes (to check for each country. Yes for FR, SP)	yes (to check for each county, Yes for FR,SP)	çes.	, cer					Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Indicators established		WFD	WFD		For coealigenous milestors under development (+ g, CIOESMED)	For the soft bottom communities WFD indicators / For coedingenous understees under development (* g. CONSSMED)	For the soft bottom communities WFD indicators / For coeffigenous indicators under development (e.g. CORESMED)	WFD?	No	No.	o X		d-n	° z	yes/chl-n	o X							Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
In-water.					22 1520	0 9	8 8												_								
Land based																											
Aerial																											
Mendering techniques developed	Diving ROVe, drop cemeras, quadrate, photo quadrate, Side scen sonar, Methorses bathumates	Diving ROVs, drop cameras, quadrata etc	Diving ROVs, drop cemeras, quadrate, photo quadrate, Side scan sonar, Mutthaan hathumetry	Grabs, corers, deedges	Diving, ROVs, drop cemeras, quadatis, photo quadrats, Side scan sonst, Multipean bathymetry	Graba, corers; diedges, / ROVs, drop sametes, quadrats, photo quadrats, Side seen sume, Multibeam bathymatry	Grabs, corers: deedges, / ROVs, drop camaras, quadrats, photo quadrats, Side scan sonar, Multibe am bathymetry	Grabs, corers, deciges	abs, coreus, ROV, Side scen	ROVs, Side scen soner, Multibean baltymetry	VVs, corers, Side soon soner, Multibeam bethymetry	ROVa, corers, Side scen soner, Multibeam bathymetry	Niekin bottles	Pleaddon nets, LOPC, UVP. PCR, CUFES, pump, trewing net (for yellyfathes), ZooCam and zooscen (for analyze)	Niekin bottles	Flenkton neta, LOPC, UVP, PCR, CUFES, pump, trawling s net (for jellyfishes), ZooCam and zoocean (for analyse)	cowatching, breeding areas	Buthwatching, breeding areas	Shapboard or breeding areas	Shapbaard or breeding areas	Shapboard or breeding areas	Shipboard or breeding areas	Quadrat sampling of colonies	nipboard, accountic or serial	Shipboard surveys Acoustic surveys Acadal surveys (but not optimum due to long dives	Shapboard surveys, Acoustic surveys (but not easy to dates), Aeral surveys (but not optumin due to long dives)	Shipboard or senal strip transects
Taxonomic expertise (technicians, scientists)	Low	High	Moderate		Moderate	High St. 11	High RC		High Or			High RC	High to low (depends of the laboratory where are analyzed the samples)	High to low (depends of the Plaboratory where are nankyred the amelysed the amelysed the		High to low Fin (depends of the PC laboratory where are nated and analysed the analysed the analysed the analysed the analysed the analyse	10	ng.									Moderate
Lab facilities, equipment, consumables	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	¥.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ves	Yes		Yes	depends of H the ship (d in	depends of H the stup (d to the stup to th	10	10	No	No	No	No.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Vessel	No	No.	Yes	Yes	Yes	B /4	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							Yea	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
UW MIS								B+	4								No	o X									
Physical has Physical Nurient Cantanianan Remeabyllyllabulegical Other UW NIS et albair damage is enrichment Cantanianan Effoling Callages distributes to naise gentracing habitat (when the control of t																											
Hydrological changes (thermal, salinity																											
fishing (target, non-target)				6-	Pt.			Ø4																			
Contaminan			p.	p.	e.	Pri		Pi .	2	2	P-	p.		.Pr	6									0. 0			
Nutrient				ě				ě.																			
Physical damage to habitat																											
Physical loss of habitat (construction ports,																											
Specific habitat type or species to be monitored	alittoral	Hard beds (bottoms, substrates, reefs) associated with communities of	прави пендома	infrelitorel sands or souddy sends	Hard bottom habstats sancointed with confligenous communities, exceptibilities algoe and sens dark exves, deep treefs (dominated by sponges and other filter feeders)	Communities of the coestal detutic bottom	Maed communities	Sincoenosis of coastal singenous made	Communities of shelf-edge detrite bottoms	Communities of deep-sea.	Seeps and communities associated with bathyal mids	Communities associated with	Coastal waters phytoploniston communities	Coastal waters moplankton communities	off and oceanic waters regulariston communities	and Oceanic waters plenkton communities			alacrocoras aristotelis mens, 1761)	Larus audounii Pryraudoau, 1826)	and spp	Puf f muspp.	Монасіна монасіна (Непнац, 1775)	tampatera physalta (Linn s 1735)	uneus, 1758)	Mus cauto estris (Cuvier 1832)	Delphinus delphis Ginnseus, 1758)
Predominant habitat or "Functional" group of species	Seebed Com medicitional med infrainteral rock that	Seabed - artraditional Harrings sub-	Seabed - Sea mediclittoral infralittoral sediment	Seabed - Infra medicitional sense unfrainteral sediment	Seabed - carculational Has ass com and treef treef and			Seabed - carcabitoral Bus sediment term						Water column - Con- constal waters com	Water column - shelf Shelf and oceanic waters and oceanic waters phytoplankton community	Water coltains - shelf Shelf and Oceanic weters and oceanic weters stooplend on communities	Seaburds - constal	Seabuds - intertidal bentlac-feeders	Seaburds - mahore Pha.	Seabirds - offshore Lorri surface-feeders (Pay	Seabude - inshore Ste.		Mammals - seals Mor	Mammals - baleen Bail	Mammals - toothed Phy whales (deep feeder) (Lin	Mannanis - Louthed – Riphins cautrostris (Cuvies whales (deep feeder) (G., 1833)	Mammals - touthed Dely whales (epipelagic 1758

1.	lL		W. C		When the same is not as	the same and an arrangement of the same and	The same of the same of the same of		200							The same divine		- Mary	Charles Wilder / Physical Party and Company	
1.000 1.00			of habitat de (construction ports,	amage to en	nrichment	(fark)	ot, (therm	ges disturban ral, species (ity liner, via	ces to notice	Taggan Age			developed developed (Į	rang-page		Descriptions of the control of the c	ekservatory stations / long term monitoring	Sensing / serial platforms	platforms
The control of the											Yes						Yes	Yes		
The control of the		ella coeruleaalba rea, 1833)								Yes	Yes	Moderati	(50)				Yes	Yes	Teledection	
1		ncephala melas (Treil,								Yes	Yes						Yes	Yes	Teledection	
Particular Par		ipiu grissia (Curse G.								Yes								Yes	Teledection	
		of caretta Cimaetas,								Yes, trans (monitorin) 3&4 in me erest)			Other monitoring techniques developed by benefit sindre (C) 3-3, during resting now step. 3-5, during resting now step. 3-5, resting to a 3-5, repetiment to bounding the sexual point of the sexual o	Yes, banects nonitoing Cl 3&4 in mattic sees?	Yet, neating mornloung (breeding area) and stranding mornloung (creatal area) (CI 3.5)	Yes, downy snonteling transects, capture-melo- recepture (CJ 3.5 m metric sceaz)			Yes, dueing nestingin- waterbycatch surveys (Cl 3-5 in name & breeding areas)	o z
1		onto my doz (Linaneus,								Yes,trans (monitorin 384 in me ereas)			Other mornforms techniques developed by youcht rathdres (2). So during newfine, now the bycatch narveys: manker steapmen (2) 3.5, percent becenture, againg seeming them the seeming the s	Yes, transects nonitoring Cl 3&4 in maxine sreas)	Yes, nesting monitoring (breeding nees) and stranding monitoring (cosatal areas) (Cl 3-3)	Yes, deving/enorteling transects, cepture-male recepture (Cl 3-5 m merine areas)			Yes, during nesting'in- water'bycatch surveys (Cl 3-5 in marine & breeding neas)	No.
Particular Par	indromous									Yes	Yes									
Milita blockboards Milita		a solea								Yes	Yes		Surveys at sea, data collection programmes, stock assessment models				Уин	yes		
		hus bembahus								Yes	Yes		Surveys at sea, data collection programmes, stock assessment				yes	yes		
Patrice Patr	ο,	illus bogaravao								Yes	Yes	нер	Surveys at sea, data collection programmes, stock assessment models				yes	yes		
Part	-	सीध कपु रिमावड								Yes	Yes	High	Surveys at see, data collection programmer, stock assessment models				уез	yes		
Part	ne, rocky ef	ephelus nurginatus																		
Special amounts Special am	emersal									Yes	Yes									
Page		ara smarts								Yes	Yes	High	Surveys at see, data collection programmes, stock assessment models				yes	yes		
1		anlis encranicolus								Yes	Yes		Surveys at see, data collection programmes, stock assessment models				yes	yes		
Recognition		ina pilehardus								Yes	Yes		Surveys at see, data collection programmer, stock assessment models				ука	уея		
Machine continue co		se poops								Yes	Yes		Surveys at sea, data collection programmes, stock assessment models				Уин	yes		
Authorise Paris		hacetus merblacetus								Yes	Yes		Surveys at sea, data collection programmes, stock assessment models				yes	yes		
Multius servativists Yes Yes Yes High Sloveyty at a sea call-ctern yes Actitions models Yes Yes Yes High Sloveyty at a sea call-ctern yes Actitions models Yes Yes Yes Yes yes Actitions models Yes Yes Yes yes Actitions models Yes Yes Yes yes Actions Yes Yes Yes yes	elagic coastal									Yes	Yes									
Multistatement Near Near	siegic e erench									Yea	Yes	High								
Yea Yea High Starveys at a set, date collection		tus surmaletus								Yes	Yes	High	Staveys at see, data collection programmes, stock assessment models				yes	ужи		
Actinocomorphic foliated Actinocomorphic fol	emersal e ranch									Yes	Yes									
Activities generated		naomorpha,f oliacea								Yes	Yes		Surveys at see, data collection programmes, stock assessment				yes	yes		
Majhraga norvegicus		neiss antennatus								Yes	Yes	High	Surveys at see, data collection programmes, stock assessment models				yes	yes		
Paraparisana l'argentes de la calebra de la		irops norvegicus								Yes	Yes		Staveys at see, data collection programmes, stock assessment models				yes	уен		
		penteus longirostris								Yes	Yes		Surveys at sea, data collection programmer, stock arressment models				yes	yes		

ANNEX 2

POLLUTION/LITTER RELATED ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

a) Eutrophication

It is accepted that surface density is adopted as a proxy indicator for static stability as both temperature and salinity are relevant in the dynamic behaviour of a coastal marine system. More information on typology criteria and setting is presented in document UNEP(DEPI)/MED WG 417/Inf.15.

The different coastal water types, in an ecological perspective, can be described as follows:

- Type I coastal sites highly influenced by freshwater inputs
- Type IIA coastal sites moderately influenced not directly affected by freshwater inputs

(Continent influence)

continental coast, coastal sites not influenced/affected by freshwater inputs Type IIIW

(Western Basin)

not influenced by freshwater input (Eastern Basin) Type IIIE

Type Island: coast (Western Basin)

In addition, coastal water type III was split in two different sub basins, the Western and the Eastern Mediterranean ones, according to the different trophic conditions and is well documented in literature.

It is recommended to define the major coastal water types in the Mediterranean that have been inter calibrated (applicable for phytoplankton only) as presented in the table 13.

TABLE 1: MAJOR COASTAL WATER TYPES IN THE MEDITERRANEAN

		Type IIA,			
	Type I	IIA Adriatic	Type IIIW	Type IIIE	Type Island-W
σt (density)	<25	25 <d<27< td=""><td>>27</td><td>>27</td><td>All range</td></d<27<>	>27	>27	All range
salinity	<34.5	34.5 <s<37.5< td=""><td>>37.5</td><td>>37.5</td><td>All range</td></s<37.5<>	>37.5	>37.5	All range

With the view to assess eutrophication, it is recommended to rely on the classification scheme on chl-a concentration (µg/l) in coastal waters as a parameter easily applicable by all Mediterranean countries based on the indicative thresholds and reference values presented in Table 2.

TABLE 2: COASTAL WATER TYPES REFERENCE CONDITIONS AND BOUNDARIES IN THE MEDITERRANEAN

Coastal Water Typology	Reference con	ditions of Chla (μg L-1)	Boundaries of Cl	hla (µg L-1) for G/M status
	G_mean	90 % percentile	G_mean	90 % percentile
Type I	1,4	3,33 ⁴ -3,93 ⁵	6,3	10 ² - 17,7 ³
Type II-FR-SP		1,9		3,58
Type II-A Adriatic	0,33	0,8	1,5	4,0
Type II-B Tyrrhenian	0,32	0,77	1,2	2,9
Type III-W Adriatic			0,64	1,7
Type III-W Tyrrhenian			0,48	1,17
Type III-W FR-SP		0,9		1,80
Type III-E		0,1		0,4
Type Island-W		0,6		1,2 – 1,22

^{3.} Reference and threshold (Good/Moderate status) derived values (G-mean annual values based on long time series (>5 years) of monthly sampling at least) differ from type to type on a sub-regional scale and were built with different strategies.

^{4.} Applicable to Golf of Lion Type I coastal waters

^{5.} Applicable to Adriatic type I coastal waters

b) Marine litter baselines values

TABLE 3: MARINE LITTER BASELINE VALUES

Common Indicator	minimum value	maximum value	mean value	Baselines
(16). Beaches (items/100 m)	11	3600	920	450-1400
(17). Floating litter (items/km²)	0	195	3.9	3-5
(17). Sea floor (items/km²)	0	7700	179	130-230
(17). Microplastics	0	4.860.000	340.000	200.000 -
(items/km²)				500.000
(18). Sea Turtles				
Affected turtles (%)	14%	92.5%	45.9%	40-60%
Ingested litter (g)	0	14	1.37	1-3

Note:

"It must be noted that the amount of existing information is limited to set definitive baselines that may be adjusted once the national monitoring programs could provide additional data. Moreover, average values over large areas are difficult to harmonize, in particular for beach litter. Also, the setting or derivation of baselines should take the local conditions into account and may follow a more localized approach. Finally, additional specific baselines may be decided by CPs on specific litter categories, especially when they may represent an important part of litter found or a specific interest (targeted measures, etc.)."

c) Contaminants

- 1. It is recommended to follow the OSPAR approach of a "traffic light" system for both contaminant concentrations and biological responses where there are two "thresholds" T0 and T1 to be defined (OSPAR, 2008; Davies et al., 2012);
- 2. It is recommended to adopt background concentrations (BCs) and background assessment concentrations (BACs) of contaminants (for naturally occurring substances) in sediments obtained from the analysis of pre-industrial layers of dated sediment cores established for the Mediterranean region (UNEP(DEPI)/MED WG. 365/Inf.8) where appropriate, based on data availability;
- **3**. It is recommended to use for indicative purposes the existing environmental assessment criteria (EACs) of contaminants in sediments and biota and of biological responses established by ICES/OSPAR until new eco-toxicological information is available including for Mediterranean species, (OSPAR, 2008; Davies et al., 2012);
- **4**. It is recommended to use the existing BACs and EACs of LMS, SoS, MN frequency and AChE activity biomarkers established (Davies et al., 2012) and further work to develop and discuss new BAC by using data from organisms sampled at sites/areas which the Mediterranean contracting parties consider to be reference stations/areas, to be defined based on commonly agreed criteria.

TABLE 4 (A): UNEP/MAP BAC Levels for Trace Metals in Sediments

UNEP/MAP, 2011. Development of Assessment Criteria for hazardous Substances in the Mediterranean. UNEP(DEPI)/MED WG. 365/Inf.8. Athens, 2011.

Contaminant	Sediments (µg/kg d.w.)	
Cd	150	
Hg	45	
Pb	30,000	

TABLE 4 (B): Benedicto BAC Levels for Trace Metals in Mussels and Fish

Contaminant	^a Mussels (<i>Mytilus</i> <i>galloprovincialis</i>) (mg/kg d.w.)	^b Mussels (<i>Brachidontes</i> <i>variabilis</i>) (mg/kg d.w.)	^a Fish (<i>Mullus</i> <i>barbatus</i>) (mg/kg d.w.)
Cd	1.088	1.00	0.016c
Hg	0.188	0.17	0.600
Pb	3.80	1.00	0.559

^a preliminary data for the NW Mediterranean;

TABLE 5: OSPAR EAC LEVELS

OSPAR Commission, Agreement number 2009-2. Agreement on CEMP Assessment Criteria for the QSR 2010. Publication number 2009/461. CEMP: 2008/2009 Assessment of trends and concentrations of selected hazardous substances in sediments and biota. Publication number 2009/390. OSPAR QSR 2000-Chapter 4.

5 (A): Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons

Contaminant	Mussels (µg/kg d.w.)	^a Sediments(µg/kg d.w.)
Phenantrene	1700	240
Anthracene	290	85
Fluorantene	110	600
Pyrene	100	665
Benzo[a]anthracene	80	261
Chrysene	-	384
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	260	-
Benzo[a]pyrene	600	430
Benzo[ghi]perylene	110	85
Indene[123-c,d]pyrene	-	240

^a Effects Range Low (ERLs)

^b additional BAC data provided by Lebanon;

^c earlier estimation (UNEP(DEPI)MED WG.365/Inf.8)

TABLE 5 (B): Organochlorinated Contaminants

Contaminant	Mussels (µg/kg d.w.)	Sediments (µg/kg d.w.)	Fish (µg/kg lipid)
CB28	3.2	-	64
CB52	5.4	-	108
CB101	6.0	-	120
CB105	-	-	-
CB118	1.2	-	24
CB138	15.8	-	316
CB153	80	-	1600
CB156	-	-	-
CB180	24	-	480
Σ7CBS ICES	-	11.5	-
Lindane	1.45	3.0c	11b
α -нсн	-	-	-
pp'DDE	5-50a	2.2 c	-
НСВ	-	20.0 c	-
Dieldrin	5-50a	2.0 c	-

 $^{^{\}rm a}$ earlier data from QSR2000 Report; b μ g/kg wet weight (CEMP 2008/2009); c Effects Range Low (ERLs)

TABLE 6: DAVIES LEVELS FOR BIOMARKERS

ICES Cooperative Research Report No. 315. 277 pp.

Biomarkers/Bioassays	BAC levels in Mussels (Mytilus galloprovincilais) (mg/kg d.w.)	EAC levels in Mussels (Mytilus galloprovincilais) (mg/kg d.w.)
Stress on Stress (days)	10	5
Lysosomal membrane stability Neutral Red Retention Assay (minutes)	120	50
Lysosomal membrane stability Cytochemical method (minutes)	20	10
AChE activity (nmol min-1 mg-1 protein) in gills (French Mediterranean waters)	29	20
AChE activity (nmol min-1 mg-1 protein) in gills (Spanish Mediterranean waters)	15	10
licronuclei frequency (0/00) in haemocytes	3,9	-



