"Analysis of the project for the management of mercury and mercury containing waste"

Mario Yarto Consultant for UNEP Chemicals

Geneva, Switzerland 23 September, 2010

Outline

- Project overview
 - Objectives
 - Activities
 - Outcomes
- Analysis
- Recommendations



United Nations Environment Programme

「Rep Caramae des Hattors Lines Pour L'environnique (Tea Place Caramae de Las Haddes Maria, Medid Amberda Programme des Hattors Lines Pour L'environnique (Tea Programme Caramae) (Medida Maria, Medida Amberda Medida M 「Programme Office Caramae (Tea Programme Caramae) (Medida Medida Medida

UNITED NATIONS ENVIRONMENT PROGRAMME PROJECT DOCUMENT

Section 1: Project identification

1.1 Title of subprogramme: Harmful Substances and Hazardous Waste

1.2 Title of project: Management of Mercury and Mercury-Containing Waste

1.3 Project number*: (to be allocated by BFMS)

1.4 Geographical scope: Asia - Cambodia, Pakistan, Philippines; Africa - Burkina Faso

1.5 Implementation (internal, or cooperating agency or supporting organization)

1.6 Duration of the project : (Total number of months) 17 months

Commencing: 1 August 2008 Completion: 31 December 2009

1.7 Cost of project: (Expressed in US S)

	USS	%
Cost to the Environment Fund		- 70
Cost to Trust Fund		
Cost to Earmarked Contribution	462,963	92%
Cost to the Cooperating Agency/Supporting Organization	102,503	2270
Programme Support Cost (8%)	37,037	8%
In-kind Contribution (including UNEP contribution)	37,037	070
20% staff time (30,000 USD); communication services		
Total Cost of the Project	500,000	100%
	. 300,000	10070

1.8 Potential donor: Norway

For UNEP

Sylvie Lemmer, Director

Division of Technology, Industry, Economics (DTIE)

Hg Waste Projects

Norwegian
package (NF10):
Management of
Mercury and Mercurycontaining Waste

Period: 8/2008-

12/2009

Budget: USD 500,000

Objectives – Partners

Objectives:

- To increase the technical capacity to manage mercury waste in an environmentally sound manner;
- Contribution to the further development of the Draft Basel Technical Guidelines for mercury wastes

Participating developing countries:

Burkina Faso, Cambodia, Pakistan, Philippines, and Chile

Others:

University of Aberdeen (UK), International Consultant

Activities under the UNEP Waste Project

- 1. Review of the national mercury inventories;
- Prioritization of mercury sources and the corresponding sectors;
- 3. Development of a national mercury waste management plan;
- 4. ESM application in selected sources and sectors;
- 5. Sampling and mercury analysis of environmental and human samples;
- 6. Final national reports and final project report; lessons learned; evaluation of project.

Outcomes

- A results workshop took place in June 2010 where national mercury waste management plans were presented.
- Countries identified and prioritized mercury-waste related issues at national level by using the Draft Basel Technical Guidelines and the Mercury Toolkit.
- The Basel Technical Guidelines on ESM of mercury waste and the UNEP Mercury Toolkit for developing mercury inventories are the two major guidance documents that were applied in the project.
- In addition, the project delivered enhanced capacities for countries in laboratory testing of human hair and environmental waste samples.
- Sharing of experiences and lessons learned (incl. in final report)

Common issues

- Strengthening of policy and legislative framework
- Establishment of a mechanism for national coordination
- Stakeholder participation, including woman, workers, and other vulnerable groups
- Development of national action plan for mercury and mercury waste (with a life-cycle approach)
- Shared responsibility and cooperation

Common issues

- Sectorial approach (e.g. Health sector, products, ASGM)
- Cleaner production schemes and voluntary initiatives with the industrial sector (technology changes, phase out, etc.)
- Leverage resources for the implementation of action plan
- Capacity building needs
 - Technical issues (e.g. Basel Technical Guidelines)
 - Implementation of environmental management practices (e.g. inspection and enforcement)
- Requirements and challenges (political and financial support)

Gaps

- Toolkit aspects and methodology
- Validation of information and data
- Gaps and shortcomings
 - Input factors for specific sources
- Requirements for improving and collecting additional data
- Applicable for national context? What and what not?
- Future inventory reviews/udpate

Gaps

- Lack of harmonization in existing regulations for mercury and mercury wastes
- Unavailability and/or non reliable information
 - Imports and trade of mercury containing products
 - Emissions from sources and priority sectors
- Limited research and monitoring data
- Limited inspection and enforcement actions
- Insufficient resources (technical, financial)
- Weakeness in public awareness and participation

Recommendations

- Establish a mandate for a multisectorial task force
- Legislation (laws, standards) review and update
- Technical matters
 - Set targets on phase out plans (sector specific)
 - Assessment of alternatives (e.g. chlor-alkali technologies)
 - Assess mercury-free alternatives (health sector, products)

Recommendations

- Identify research and scientific needs
- Identify mercury waste management requirements
 - Applicability of Basel Technical Guidelines
- Implement communication, education and awareness campaigns
- Endorsement of national action plan

Thank you for your attention!

marioyarto@gmail.com