



UNITED
NATIONS

EP

UNEP (DEPI)/MED CC.13/Inf.5



UNITED NATIONS
ENVIRONMENT PROGRAMME
MEDITERRANEAN ACTION PLAN

18 August 2017
Original: English

13th Meeting of the Compliance Committee of the Barcelona Convention and its Protocols

Athens, Greece, 26-27 September 2017

Agenda item 6: Draft Guidelines for the Preliminary Assessment of Reports submitted under Article 26 of the Barcelona Convention and relevant Articles of its Protocols

Compilation of Tests Received of the Draft Guidelines for the Preliminary Assessment of Reports to Identify Actual or Potential Cases of Non-Compliance

For environmental and economic reasons, this document is printed in a limited number. Delegates are kindly requested to bring their copies to meetings and not to request additional copies.

UNEP/MAP
Athens, 2017

Pilot Test of the draft Guidelines for preliminary assessment of reports to identify actual or potential cases of non-compliance

(Document UNEP(DEPI)/MED CC.12/7)

National implementation report: Israel Reporting period: 2014-2015	Group A: Selma Cengic, Joseph Edward Zaki, Aysin Turpanci and Milena Batakovic	Test conducted by: Aysin TURPANJI
Barcelona Convention		
Part subject to compliance evaluation	Compliance status according to the Compliance Criterion of the draft Guidelines: "YES" or "NO"	Comments/Remarks (from the Secretariat/from the person conducting the test)
Part: Submitting of Reports (Article 26 of the Barcelona Convention)	Xx	
Part: Ratification of the Barcelona Convention/Amendments	Yes	
Part: Bilateral, sub-regional and regional agreements	Yes	
Part: Multilateral instruments	No	
Legal Part	Yes *	<p><i>Note from the Secretariat: This part seeks to determine whether Contracting Parties have established the legal framework to: (1) implement the precautionary principle and the polluter paid principle (Article 4.3.a and b); (2) notification exchange in case of transboundary EIA (Article 4.3.c); (3) promote ICZM (Article 4.3.e); (5) monitor the pollution of the marine environment and its coastal areas (Article 12), and (6) ensure public information and participation (Article 15).</i></p> <p>*except transboundary EIA as per art 4 para 3 (c), (d)</p>
Policy Part	Yes	<p><i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to determine whether policy measures have been put in place addressing: (1) domestic strategies for sustainable development, (2) regional strategies adopted in the framework of MAP, (3) ICZM and physical planning and (4) economic instruments.</i></p>
Part: Allocation of resources for establishment of institutional structures	Yes*	<p><i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to gather information on the institutional arrangements in place to: apply the polluter paid principle (Article 4), notification exchange in case of transboundary EIA (Article 4), apply ICZM (Article 4), monitor marine pollution (Article 12), and ensure public access to information and public participation in the decision making process (Article 15).</i></p> <p>*except transboundary EIA as per art 4 para 3 (c), (d)</p>
Part: Measures and actions to implement provisions on monitoring and access to information of Barcelona Convention	Yes	<p><i>Note from the Secretariat: Part seeks to collect information on the monitoring arrangements in place and the access to marine environmental data by the public</i></p>
Compliance status with the Barcelona Convention	Yes	<p><i>Following the Guidelines: If one should make estimation of Compliance status of Country to Barcelona Convention and all Protocols as a whole, the criteria and scores might be like following:</i></p> <p><i>All 8 Components of BC are in state of Compliance (Barcelona Convention + 7 Protocols) = highest level of Compliance</i></p> <p><i>7 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = high level</i></p> <p><i>6 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = good level</i></p> <p><i>5 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = satisfactory level</i></p> <p><i>4 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = low level</i></p> <p><i>3 and less – unsatisfactory level</i></p>

National implementation report: Israel Reporting period: 2014-2015	Group A: Selma Cengic, Joseph Edward Zaki, Aysin Turpanci and Milena Batakovic	Test conducted by: Aysin TURPanci
Dumping Protocol		
Part subject to compliance evaluation	Compliance status according to the Compliance Criterion of the draft Guidelines: “YES”or “NO”	Comments/Remarks (from the Secretariat/from the person conducting the test)
Part: Ratification of Dumping Protocol	Yes	
Part: Legal Part	Yes*	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to determine whether the required permitting system has been put in place through legal measures. Reporting on national implementing legislation would allow to identify Contracting Parties whose legislation provide them with the authority to: (1) prohibit dumping in violation of the Protocol (Article 4); (2) prohibit incineration at sea (Article 7); (3) apply the Protocol to ships and aircrafts (Article 11), and (4) issue instructions to maritime inspections ships and aircrafts to report on illegal dumping (Article 12).</i> *article 4/2 in process
Part: Allocation of resources for establishment of institutional structures	Yes	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to determine whether the required permit-system: (1) includes the designation or establishment of a competent authority or authorities responsible for the issuance of permits (Article 5); and (2) enables the designated competent authority or authorities responsible for the issuance of permits to inventory current sea disposal operations (Article 10). Part II further seeks to determine whether monitoring programmes have been established to monitor the conditions of the sea for the purpose of the Protocol.</i>
Administrative Part		<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part (including subparts) seeks to gather quantitative information about quantities and types of wastes permitted and dumped, including wastes dumped under force majeure and critical situations.</i>
Subpart: Existence/Application of Permitting Procedures	Yes	
Subpart: Occurrences of dumping in cases of force majeure (Article 8), if any	?	? Not clear information
Subpart: Occurrences of critical situations (Article 9), if any	?	
Enforcement Part	Yes	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Parts seeks to collect information on enforcement in order to verify that permit conditions are met.</i>
Part: Implementation of the Guidelines: “On dredged material”, “On fish waste or organic material”, “On platforms and other man-made structures at sea” and “On inert uncontaminated geological materials”.	?	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to gather information on the implementation of the Guidelines adopted under the Dumping Protocol by the meeting of the Contracting Parties.</i> ? Not clear information
Part: Establishment of Monitoring Programmes	?	? Not clear information
Compliance status with the Dumping Protocol	?	<i>Following the Guidelines: If one should make estimation of Compliance status of Country to Barcelona Convention and all Protocols as a whole, the criteria and scores might be like following: All 8 Components of BC are in state of Compliance (Barcelona Convention + 7 Protocols) = highest level of Compliance 7 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = high level 6 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = good level 5 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = satisfactory level 4 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = low level 3 and less – unsatisfactory level</i>

National implementation report: Israel Reporting period: 2014-2015	Group A: Selma Cengic, Joseph Edward Zaki, Aysin Turpanci and Milena Batakovic	Test conducted by: Aysin TURPanci
Emergency Protocol		
Part subject to compliance evaluation	Compliance status according to the Compliance Criterion of the draft Guidelines: "YES" or "NO"	Comments/Remarks (from the Secretariat/from the person conducting the test)
Part: Ratification of Emergency Protocol	Yes	
Part: Status of ratification of international conventions dealing with maritime safety and prevention of pollution from ships	Yes	<i>Note from the Secretariat: These three Parts seek to collect information on the Emergency Protocol related Conventions signed, ratified, accepted, approved or accede to by Contracting Parties. This encompasses Conventions dealing with maritime safety and prevention of pollution from ships, combating pollution and liability and compensation for pollution damage.</i> <i>*only art 3/1 (a) is yes.</i>
Part: Status of ratification of international conventions dealing with combating pollution	No*	
Part: Status of ratification of international conventions/legal instrument dealing with liability and compensation for pollution damage	No	
Part: Legal and administrative measures taken to implement the provisions of the Emergency Protocol	Yes	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to determine whether Contracting Parties have established the legal and administrative framework to facilitate international cooperation and mutual assistance in preparing for and responding to oil and hazardous noxious substances (HNS) pollution incidents. This includes a designated national authority, a national operational contact point and a national contingency plan. In turn, this needs to be backstopped by a minimum level of response equipment, communications plans, regular training and exercises.</i>
Part: Technical measures taken to prevent and combat marine pollution incidents	Yes	<i>Note from the Secretariat: These two Parts seek to collect information on the response strategy in place, resources and expertise in order to evaluate whether there is adequate capacity and resources to address oil and/or HNS pollution emergencies.</i>
Part: Operational measures taken to prevent and combat marine pollution incidents	No	
Part: Incidents	Yes	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to gather information on spills incidents. Under this heading, Contracting Parties are invited to provide information on accident type, vessel flag, product released, if any, and any actions taken in response to the incident.</i>
Part: Effectiveness	Yes	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to collect data on the number of operational national contingency plans and other plans</i>
Compliance status with the Emergency Protocol	No	<i>Following the Guidelines: If one should make estimation of Compliance status of Country to Barcelona Convention and all Protocols as a whole, the criteria and scores might be like following: All 8 Components of BC are in state of Compliance (Barcelona Convention + 7 Protocols) = highest level of Compliance 7 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = high level 6 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = good level 5 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = satisfactory level 4 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = low level 3 and less – unsatisfactory level</i>

National implementation report: Israel Reporting period: 2014-2015	Group A: Selma Cengic, Joseph Edward Zaki, Aysin Turpanci and Milena Batakovic	Test conducted by: Aysin TURPanci
LBS Protocol		
Part subject to compliance evaluation	Compliance status according to the Compliance Criterion of the draft Guidelines: "YES" or "NO"	Comments/Remarks (from the Secretariat/from the person conducting the test)
Part: Ratification of the Land-Based Sources Protocol	Yes	
Part: Legal Part	Yes	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to determine whether national legislative regimes address LBS and activities as per the provisions of the Protocol. In particular, questions in Table I of the reporting format are meant to test whether: (1) National Actions Plans (NAPs) and the Strategic Action Programme (SAP) are in place to eliminate LBS pollution and phase-out POPs (Article 5.2); (2) measures have been adopted to reduce accidental pollution (Article 5.5); (3) discharges and pollutant releases are subject to the required authorization/regulation issued by the competent national authority (Article 6.1); (4) a system of enforcement, including sanctions, is in place (Article 6.2 /3) and (5) measures adopted by the Conferences of the Parties are implemented (Article 7).</i>
Part: Allocation of resources for the establishment of institutions and monitoring programmes	Yes	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to gather information on the institutional arrangements for environmental permitting, compliance monitoring, environmental monitoring and the testing of the NAPs and the SAP effectiveness</i>
Administrative Part	No	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to collect quantitative information on authorizations for discharge granted and pollutant releases</i>
Enforcement Part		
Part: Implementation of the NAPs and their effectiveness	?	<i>Note from the Secretariat: The analysis of the implementation of NAPs was undertaken by the Secretariat for the period 2000-2015 and it is reflected in the UNEP/MAP publication "Strategic Action Programme to Address Pollution from Land Based Activities (SAP-Med) and related National Action Plans (NAPs). Implementation status 2000-2015</i>
Part: Implementation of monitoring programmes	Yes	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to gather information on whether compliance monitoring and field monitoring (state and trend, biomonitoring and eutrophication) are carried out</i>
Compliance status with the LBS Protocol	No	<i>Following the Guidelines: If one should make estimation of Compliance status of Country to Barcelona Convention and all Protocols as a whole, the criteria and scores might be like following: All 8 Components of BC are in state of Compliance (Barcelona Convention + 7 Protocols) = highest level of Compliance 7 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = high level 6 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = good level 5 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = satisfactory level 4 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = low level 3 and less – unsatisfactory level</i>

National implementation report: Israel Reporting period: 2014-2015	Group A: Selma Cengic, Joseph Edward Zaki, Aysin Turpanci and Milena Batakovic	Test conducted by: Aysin TURPanci
Specially Protected Areas (SPA) and Biodiversity Protocol		
Part subject to compliance evaluation	Compliance status according to the Compliance Criterion of the draft Guidelines: "YES" or "NO"	Comments/Remarks (from the Secretariat/from the person conducting the test)
Part: Ratification of the SPA Protocol	Yes	
Part: Legal Part	Yes	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to determine whether Contracting Parties have established the legal framework for the protection and conservation of Specially Protected Areas (SPA), including Specially Protected Areas of Mediterranean Importance (SPAMI) and those endangered or threatened species of flora and fauna listed in Annexes II and III to the Protocol.</i>
Part: Specially Protected Areas (SPAs)		<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to collect information on the list of SPAs designated and the measures adopted for their management, including the development and adoption of a management plan for each SPA, which incorporates the elements listed in Article 7 of the Protocol.</i>
Subpart: Establishment of SPAs	Yes	
Subpart: List of SPAs	Yes	
Subpart: Management of SPAs	Yes	
Part: Specially Protected Areas of Mediterranean Importance (SPAMIs)		<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part (including subparts) seeks to gather information on the list of SPAMIs designated and the measures adopted for their management, including the development and implementation of a management plan for each SPAMI which includes regulation of dumping and releases of wastes likely to impair the integrity of the SPAMI, monitoring programmes, introduction and reintroduction of species, and activities carried out in the buffer zone.</i>
Subpart: Establishment of SPAMIs	No	
Subpart: List of SPAMIs	No	
Subpart: Management of SPAMIs	No	
Part: Measures for the protection and conservation of species	Yes	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to gather information on the protection measures adopted by Contracting Parties to protect those endangered or threatened species listed in the Annexes to the Protocol</i>
Part: Conservation of the components of marine and coastal biodiversity	Yes	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to check whether Contracting Parties have inventory the components of marine and coastal biodiversity (Article 3.3.) and formulated a national strategy and action plan to protect the components of marine and coastal biodiversity (Article 3.4).</i>
Enforcement Part		
Part Action Plans	No	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to collect information on measures put in place for the implementation of Regional Action Plans (RAPs), i.e. RAPs on cartilaginous fish, non-indigenous species, bird species, cetaceans, marine vegetation, monk seal and marine turtles.</i>
Compliance status with the SPA Protocol	No	<i>Following the Guidelines: If one should make estimation of Compliance status of Country to Barcelona Convention and all Protocols as a whole, the criteria and scores might be like following: All 8 Components of BC are in state of Compliance (Barcelona Convention + 7 Protocols) = highest level of Compliance 7 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = high level 6 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = good level 5 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = satisfactory level 4 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = low level 3 and less – unsatisfactory level</i>

National implementation report: Israel Reporting period: 2014-2015		Group A: Selma Cengic, Joseph Edward Zaki, Aysin Turpanci and Milena Batakovic	Test conducted by: Aysin TURPanci
Offshore Protocol			
Part subject to compliance evaluation	Compliance status according to the Compliance Criterion of the draft Guidelines: "YES" or "NO"	Comments/Remarks (from the Secretariat/from the person conducting the test)	
Part: Ratification of the Offshore Protocol	Yes		
Part: Legal Part	Yes	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This part seeks to determine whether Contracting Parties have established the appropriate legal framework to regulate offshore oil and gas activities, so that offshore activities are subject to prior authorization issued by the national competent authority in accordance with the requirements of the Protocol for the use and discharge of harmful or noxious substances and materials, oil and oily mixtures and drilling fluids and cuttings, sewage and garbage (plastics, such as synthetic ropes, synthetic fishing nets, plastic garbage etc.).</i>	
Part: Allocation of resources for the establishment of institutions and monitoring programmes	No	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to test institutional arrangements in place for: (1) the required permitting system for offshore chemicals, (2) the exceptions to the prohibition of sewage, and garbage discharges to be granted, (3) the required safety measures, including an on-board offshore contingency plan, (4) environmental and compliance monitoring and (5) the removal of disused offshore installations.</i>	
Administrative Part		<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part (including subparts) seeks to collect data on permits and quantities and inventory disused offshore installations removed</i>	
Subpart: Existence/Application of Authorization Procedures	No		
Subpart: Occurrences of Disposal under the terms of Article 14 /Exceptions	No		
Subpart: Occurrences of Removal of installations/Article 20	No		
Enforcement Part	No		
Compliance status with the Offshore Protocol	No	<p><i>Following the Guidelines: If one should make estimation of Compliance status of Country to Barcelona Convention and all Protocols as a whole, the criteria and scores might be like following:</i></p> <p><i>All 8 Components of BC are in state of Compliance (Barcelona Convention + 7 Protocols) = highest level of Compliance</i></p> <p><i>7 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = high level</i></p> <p><i>6 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = good level</i></p> <p><i>5 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = satisfactory level</i></p> <p><i>4 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = low level</i></p> <p><i>3 and less – unsatisfactory level</i></p>	

National implementation report: Israel Reporting period: 2014-2015		Group A: Selma Cengic, Joseph Edward Zaki, Aysin Turpanci and Milena Batakovic	Test conducted by: Aysin TURPanci
Hazardous Waste (HW) Protocol			
Part subject to compliance evaluation	Compliance status according to the Compliance Criterion of the draft Guidelines: "YES" or "NO"	Comments/Remarks (from the Secretariat/from the person conducting the test)	
Part: Ratification of the HW Protocol	No		
Part: Legal Part	No	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to determine whether Contracting Parties have established the legal framework to: (1) reduce and/or eliminate the generation of hazardous wastes (Article 5.2); (2) reduce the amount of hazardous wastes subject to transboundary movement (Article 5.3); (3) restrict and/or prohibit the export import and transit of hazardous wastes (Article 5.4) ; (4) establish the notification procedure of the transboundary movement of hazardous wastes (Article 6) and (5) put in place enforcement measures (Article 5.5).</i>	
Part: Allocation of resources for the establishment of institutions and monitoring programmes	No	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to gather information on the institutional arrangements put in place to control the generation and transboundary movement of hazardous wastes and to identify and sanction activities in contravention of the Protocol.</i>	
Technical Part		<i>Note from the Secretariat: These two Parts (including subparts) seek to gather data on wastes controlled for the purpose of transboundary movement, the generation of hazardous wastes, the transboundary movement of hazardous wastes (import/export) and incidents occurred during the transboundary movement of wastes</i>	
Subpart: Existence of definitions of hazardous wastes under domestic legislation	No		
Subpart: Total amount of hazardous wastes and other wastes generated and by category	No		
Part: Transboundary movements of hazardous wastes or other waste	No		
Subpart: Import/Export of hazardous wastes and other wastes	No		
Subpart: Occurrences of not proceeding as intended with hazardous waste or other waste	No		
Subpart: Occurrences of accidents during the transboundary movement and disposal of hazardous waste or other waste	No		
Subpart: Existence of alternative options for the disposal of hazardous wastes carried out within the area of the national jurisdiction of the Party	No		
Enforcement measures	No		
Part: Implementation of the Regional Plan on the Reduction of Hazardous Waste Generation by 20% in 2011	No		
Compliance status with the HW Protocol	No	<p><i>Following the Guidelines: If one should make estimation of Compliance status of Country to Barcelona Convention and all Protocols as a whole, the criteria and scores might be like following:</i></p> <p><i>All 8 Components of BC are in state of Compliance (Barcelona Convention + 7 Protocols) = highest level of Compliance</i></p> <p><i>7 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = high level</i></p> <p><i>6 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = good level</i></p> <p><i>5 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = satisfactory level</i></p> <p><i>4 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = low level</i></p> <p><i>3 and less – unsatisfactory level</i></p>	

Pilot Test of the draft Guidelines for preliminary assessment of reports to identify actual or potential cases of non-compliance

(Document UNEP(DEPI)/MED CC.12/7)

National implementation report: Israel Reporting period: 2014-2015	Group A: Selma Cengic, Joseph Edward Zaki, Aysin Turpanci and Milena Batakovic	Test conducted by: Milena BATAKOVIC
Barcelona Convention		
Part subject to compliance evaluation	Compliance status according to the Compliance Criterion of the draft Guidelines: "YES" or "NO"	Comments/Remarks (from the Secretariat/from the person conducting the test)
Part: Submitting of Reports (Article 26 of the Barcelona Convention)	Yes	
Part: Ratification of the Barcelona Convention/Amendments	Yes	
Part: Bilateral, sub-regional and regional agreements	Yes	
Part: Multilateral instruments	No	No information provided within the report
Legal Part	Yes (?)	<p>Party provided 12 Yes answers and one No</p> <p>References of enacting legal acts missing for 4 points (Monitoring Art.12 and Public participation Art.15) but explanation is provided in Remarks/Comments section. Since in Guidelines is stated "Mandatory provision of relevant List / Titles of Laws, for every "YES" or "In Progress" /" Partially" answers and that "All criteria need to be met for "In Compliance" status ("YES"); otherwise this Part should be estimated as "Not in Compliance"("NO")" it is not clear can information in the remarks comment section can be considered as a compliance if it explain that mechanism is in place within the country?</p> <p><i>Note from the Secretariat: This part seeks to determine whether Contracting Parties have established the legal framework to: (1) implement the precautionary principle and the polluter paid principle (Article 4.3.a and b); (2) notification exchange in case of transboundary EIA (Article 4.3.c); (3) promote ICZM (Article 4.3.e); (5) monitor the pollution of the marine environment and its coastal areas (Article 12), and (6) ensure public information and participation (Article 15).</i></p>
Policy Part	Yes	<p>Party provided all answers as a yes. In the remarks comment section references on strategic documents are provided but also in section Difficulties/Challenges it was pointed out that Regulatory framework and Administrative management are challenging explaining it future more by stating Israel has not yet developed a holistic maritime policy that encompasses all sectors, although there is coordination among sectors within the framework of planning committees, the Coastal Protection Committee, and additional interministerial committees. An interministerial committee, including representatives of NGOs and academic institutions, which is headed by the Ministry of the Interior is preparing a Marine Spatial Plan whose first stage was completed in 2015.</p>

		<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to determine whether policy measures have been put in place addressing: (1) domestic strategies for sustainable development, (2) regional strategies adopted in the framework of MAP, (3) ICZM and physical planning and (4) economic instruments.</i>
Part: Allocation of resources for establishment of institutional structures	YES (?)	<p>Party provided 5 Yes answers describing institutional structure and one No answer. For no answer explanation of difficulties and challenges are not given since in Guidelines is stated “Mandatory provision of type of difficulties and related comments, for every “NO” answer and/or plans to make progress, if any” and that “All criteria need to be met for “In Compliance” status (“YES”); otherwise this Part should be estimated as “Not in Compliance”(“NO”)”. Since it seems from provided information that institution set up is in place I don’t think that this answer No without explanation should be reason to estimate that Party is not in compliance. It would be too strict.</p> <p><i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to gather information on the institutional arrangements in place to: apply the polluter paid principle (Article 4), notification exchange in case of transboundary EIA (Article 4), apply ICZM (Article 4), monitor marine pollution (Article 12), and ensure public access to information and public participation in the decision making process (Article 15).</i></p>
Part: Measures and actions to implement provisions on monitoring and access to information of Barcelona Convention	YES	<p>All information is provided on satisfactory level.</p> <p><i>Note from the Secretariat: Part seeks to collect information on the monitoring arrangements in place and the access to marine environmental data by the public</i></p>
Compliance status with the Barcelona Convention	Following the Guidelines due to two uncertainties (see comments) Party is in compliance with satisfactory to high level	<p><i>Following the Guidelines: If one should make estimation of Compliance status of Country to Barcelona Convention and all Protocols as a whole, the criteria and scores might be like following:</i></p> <p><i>All 8 Components of BC are in state of Compliance (Barcelona Convention + 7 Protocols) = highest level of Compliance</i></p> <p><i>7 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = high level</i></p> <p><i>6 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = good level</i></p> <p><i>5 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = satisfactory level</i></p> <p><i>4 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = low level</i></p> <p><i>3 and less – unsatisfactory level</i></p>

National implementation report: Israel Reporting period: 2014-2015	Group A: Selma Cengic, Joseph Edward Zaki, Aysin Turpanci and Milena Batakovic	Test conducted by: Milena BATAKOVIC
Dumping Protocol		
Part subject to compliance evaluation	Compliance status according to the Compliance Criterion of the draft Guidelines: “YES” or “NO”	Comments/Remarks (from the Secretariat/from the person conducting the test)
Part: Ratification of Dumping Protocol	YES (?)	<p>Party stated that ratification is in process explaining that “The original protocol was ratified. The ratification of the protocol amended in 1995 has yet to be completed. Changes to the current legislation that would enable ratification of the amended protocol are under review.</p> <p>Guidelines provided rule that In compliance is a “Party who provide information in case on “In process” answer on mandatory comment on undertaken related actions and expected date of ratification “– since in this case date is missing should this be case of non compliance???</p>
Part: Legal Part	YES	<p>Party provided 6 yes answers and two In process. Regulatory framework was mentioned as a challenge for Art.4 para.1 of the Protocol since The Dumping Protocol of 1976 is in force. The amendment of 1995 has not yet been ratified but Israel adheres to its provisions. Regulations are in the process of modification according to changes in the Dumping Protocol.</p> <p><i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to determine whether the required permitting system has been put in place through legal measures. Reporting on national implementing legislation would allow to identify Contracting Parties whose legislation provide them with the authority to: (1) prohibit dumping in violation of the Protocol (Article 4); (2) prohibit incineration at sea (Article 7); (3) apply the Protocol to ships and aircrafts (Article 11), and (4) issue instructions to maritime inspections ships and aircrafts to report on illegal dumping (Article 12).</i></p>
Part: Allocation of resources for establishment of institutional structures	Yes	<p>Information provided confirms that system is in place. Not possible to estimate implementation part.</p> <p><i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to determine whether the required permit-system: (1) includes the designation or establishment of a competent authority or authorities responsible for the issuance of permits (Article 5); and (2) enables the designated competent authority or authorities responsible for the issuance of permits to inventory current sea disposal operations (Article 10). Part II further seeks to determine whether monitoring programmes have been established to monitor the conditions of the sea for the purpose of the Protocol.</i></p>
Administrative Part		
Subpart: Existence/Application of Permitting Procedures	YES (?)	<p>Certain data are provided by Party such as Granted Permit, Date of Issue ,Validity Country of origin, Port of loading, Vessel speed, Distance of the waste dumping site from the nearest coast, Depth of the waste dumping site, Form of waste and Total waste quantity. Guidelines says Mandatory provision of data on granted permits (number; Subject of permit; country of origin; Information on related Article, location –distance of dumping sites from the coast of and total waste quantity” what is bit confusing since I cannot find in the table section on number; Subject of permit Information on related Article so it is not possible to conclude is the level of provided information are satisfactory or no to conclude level of compliance.</p>
Subpart: Occurrences of dumping in cases of force majeure (Article 8), if any	NO	
Subpart: Occurrences of critical situations (Article 9), if any	NO	

		<p>For Subparts on Art. 8 and 9. Data are missing.</p> <p><i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part (including subparts) seeks to gather quantitative information about quantities and types of wastes permitted and dumped, including wastes dumped under force majeure and critical situations.</i></p>
Enforcement Part	? YES	<p>Information is provided on number of Inspection just National legislation and regulation Implementing the Protocol since number of controls are not provided for Specific conditions attached to permits and Provisions regarding dumping in contravention to the Protocol so is this case of noncompliance? It is not clear according to the Guideline.</p> <p><i>Note from the Secretariat: This Parts seeks to collect information on enforcement in order to verify that permit conditions are met.</i></p>
Part: Implementation of the Guidelines: “On dredged material”, “On fish waste or organic material”, “On platforms and other man-made structures at sea” and “On inert uncontaminated geological materials”.	YES	<p>Party provided positive answers regarding Implementation of the Guidelines for all permits except one where only Conditions for issuing a permit and Consultation procedure are ticked as a implemented. Waste management options are missing but it is not foreseen as a mandatory within the Guidance.</p> <p><i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to gather information on the implementation of the Guidelines adopted under the Dumping Protocol by the meeting of the Contracting Parties.</i></p>
Part: Establishment of Monitoring Programmes	No (?)	<p>Frequency of reporting of monitoring data are missing since all other procedures are reported as in place. According to Guidelines it is stated that “Mandatory inclusion of the following Impact hypothesis; Reference baseline; Establishment of a monitoring program, Frequency of reporting of monitoring data; Quality control and assurance;” and that “The Criteria need to be met for “In Compliance” status (“YES”); otherwise this Part should be estimated as “Not in Compliance”(“NO”)” so question is can Party should be estimate as not in compliance if Frequency of reporting of monitoring data are missing since the rest is ticked as a in place?!</p>
Part VI: Effectiveness	YES	<p>This Part missing in the Guid. And this form too.</p>
Compliance status with the Dumping Protocol	According to the Guideline Party has Good level of Compliance. Question is this correct conclusion having on mind status of Ratification?	<p><i>Following the Guidelines: If one should make estimation of Compliance status of Country to Barcelona Convention and all Protocols as a whole, the criteria and scores might be like following:</i></p> <p><i>All 8 Components of BC are in state of Compliance (Barcelona Convention + 7 Protocols) = highest level of Compliance</i></p> <p><i>7 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = high level</i></p> <p><i>6 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = good level</i></p> <p><i>5 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = satisfactory level</i></p> <p><i>4 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = low level</i></p> <p><i>3 and less – unsatisfactory level</i></p>

National implementation report: Israel Reporting period: 2014-2015		Group A: Selma Cengic, Joseph Edward Zaki, Aysin Turpanci and Milena Batakovic	Test conducted by: Milena BATAKOVIC
Emergency Protocol			
Part subject to compliance evaluation	Compliance status according to the Compliance Criterion of the draft Guidelines: "YES" or "NO"	Comments/Remarks (from the Secretariat/from the person conducting the test)	
Part: Ratification of Emergency Protocol	YES		
Part: Status of ratification of international conventions dealing with maritime safety and prevention of pollution from ships	YES	<p>Party provided two Yes answers one no and one in progress. In the Guidance it is stated that compliance is $\geq 2/3$ yes answers and no $\leq 1/3$ but in this case it should be checked is it applicable.</p> <p><i>Note from the Secretariat: These three Parts seek to collect information on the Emergency Protocol related Conventions signed, ratified, accepted, approved or accede to by Contracting Parties. This encompasses Conventions dealing with maritime safety and prevention of pollution from ships, combating pollution and liability and compensation for pollution damage.</i></p>	
Part: Status of ratification of international conventions dealing with combating pollution	No		
Part: Status of ratification of international conventions/legal instrument dealing with liability and compensation for pollution damage	YES (?)		
Part: Legal and administrative measures taken to implement the provisions of the Emergency Protocol	YES	<p>Minimum level of response equipment is not provided but from explanation it can be concluded that system is in place.</p> <p><i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to determine whether Contracting Parties have established the legal and administrative framework to facilitate international cooperation and mutual assistance in preparing for and responding to oil and hazardous noxious substances (HNS) pollution incidents. This includes a designated national authority, a national operational contact point and a national contingency plan. In turn, this needs to be backstopped by a minimum level of response equipment, communications plans, regular training and exercises.</i></p>	
Part: Technical measures taken to prevent and combat marine pollution incidents	Yes (?)	<p>Party has just one answer as a no and all the rest is yes. Some explanations are provided but mainly no additional comments. For no answer type of difficulties and related comments are not provided what according to the Guidelines is mandatory to meet compliance so question is this reason to make conclusion as that Party is not in compliance?</p> <p>For Operational measures in Remarks and Comments just few additional explanations are provided so it is not possible to estimate level of implementation.</p> <p><i>Note from the Secretariat: These two Parts seek to collect information on the response strategy in place, resources and expertise in order to evaluate whether there is adequate capacity and resources to address oil and/or HNS pollution emergencies.</i></p>	
Part: Operational measures taken to prevent and combat marine pollution incidents	YES		
Part: Incidents	YES	<p>Since answer is Yes some Incidents happen Guidelines said that mandatory field are "Accident location; Accident Type; and actions taken, if any". Party provided information on "Accident location; Accident Type and some others but action taken for certain Incidents are provided till for certain no but according to the Guidelines one of the criteria under the Yes status is satisfactory to conclude that Party is in compliance. This should be checked additionally is it relevant or no to estimate compliance.</p> <p><i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to gather information on spills incidents. Under this heading, Contracting Parties are invited to provide information on accident type, vessel flag, product released, if any, and any actions taken in response to the incident.</i></p>	

Part: Effectiveness	YES	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to collect data on the number of operational national contingency plans and other plans</i>
Compliance status with the Emergency Protocol	According to the Guideline Party has Good to High level of Compliance. (depending on open uncertainties risen above)	<p><i>Following the Guidelines: If one should make estimation of Compliance status of Country to Barcelona Convention and all Protocols as a whole, the criteria and scores might be like following:</i></p> <p><i>All 8 Components of BC are in state of Compliance (Barcelona Convention + 7 Protocols) = highest level of Compliance</i></p> <p><i>7 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = high level</i></p> <p><i>6 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = good level</i></p> <p><i>5 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = satisfactory level</i></p> <p><i>4 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = low level</i></p> <p><i>3 and less – unsatisfactory level</i></p>

National implementation report: Israel Reporting period: 2014-2015	Group A: Selma Cengic, Joseph Edward Zaki, Aysin Turpanci and Milena Batakovic	Test conducted by: Milena BATAKOVIC
LBS Protocol		
Part subject to compliance evaluation	Compliance status according to the Compliance Criterion of the draft Guidelines: “YES” or “NO”	Comments/Remarks (from the Secretariat/from the person conducting the test)
Part: Ratification of the Land-Based Sources Protocol	Yes	
Part: Legal Part	Yes	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to determine whether national legislative regimes address LBS and activities as per the provisions of the Protocol. In particular, questions in Table I of the reporting format are meant to test whether: (1) National Actions Plans (NAPs) and the Strategic Action Programme (SAP) are in place to eliminate LBS pollution and phase-out POPs (Article 5.2); (2) measures have been adopted to reduce accidental pollution (Article 5.5); (3) discharges and pollutant releases are subject to the required authorization/regulation issued by the competent national authority (Article 6.1); (4) a system of enforcement, including sanctions, is in place (Article 6.2 /3) and (5) measures adopted by the Conferences of the Parties are implemented (Article 7).</i>
Part: Allocation of resources for the establishment of institutions and monitoring programmes	Yes	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to gather information on the institutional arrangements for environmental permitting, compliance monitoring, environmental monitoring and the testing of the NAPs and the SAP effectiveness</i>
Administrative Part	No	Party provided data on Number of ongoing authorizations for each sectors as well as Quantity (tons/year) for the first year of the report and Quantity (tons/year) for the second year of the report but other data from Table III.1 and Table III.2 are missing. <i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to collect quantitative information on authorizations for discharge granted and pollutant releases</i>
Enforcement Part	Yes	In the Guideline it is written that „Mandatory provision of data on: on number of inspections, non-compliance cases and fines issued - in relation to national legislation, specific conditions attached to permit” and Party provided data on all except Number of operation shutdowns Number of clean measures implemented. Specific conditions attached to permit that are mentioned as a mandatory Criteria in the Guideline doesn't exist as a column in the Table III.1 neither Table III.2.
Part: Implementation of the NAPs and their effectiveness	No	Party didn't provide any data. <i>Note from the Secretariat: The analysis of the implementation of NAPs was undertaken by the Secretariat for the period 2000-2015 and it is reflected in the UNEP/MAP publication “Strategic Action Programme to Address Pollution from Land Based Activities (SAP-Med) and related National Action Plans (NAPs). Implementation status 2000-2015</i>
Part: Implementation of monitoring programmes	Yes	It is not possible to estimate level of implementation. In the Tables just statement that monitoring program exist giving reference for two parts of monitoring programme in Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to gather information on whether compliance monitoring and field monitoring (state and trend, biomonitoring and eutrophication) are carried out
Compliance status with the LBS Protocol	According to Guidelines Party is in compliance- satisfactory level.	<i>Following the Guidelines: If one should make estimation of Compliance status of Country to Barcelona Convention and all Protocols as a whole, the criteria and scores might be like following: All 8 Components of BC are in state of Compliance (Barcelona Convention + 7 Protocols) = highest level of Compliance</i>

		<i>7 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = high level</i> <i>6 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = good level</i> <i>5 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = satisfactory level</i> <i>4 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = low level</i> <i>3 and less – unsatisfactory level</i>
--	--	---

National implementation report: Israel Reporting period: 2014-2015		Group A: Selma Cengic, Joseph Edward Zaki, Aysin Turpanci and Milena Batakovic	Test conducted by: Milena BATAKOVIC
Specially Protected Areas (SPA) and Biodiversity Protocol			
Part subject to compliance evaluation	Compliance status according to the Compliance Criterion of the draft Guidelines: "YES" or "NO"	Comments/Remarks (from the Secretariat/from the person conducting the test)	
Part: Ratification of the SPA Protocol	NO (?)	Status of ratification is in process. Party stated that Israel signed the SPA/Biodiversity Protocol on 10 June 1995. And that ratification is in its early stages. It is not clear from Guidance is this non compliance case.	
Part: Legal Part	YES	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to determine whether Contracting Parties have established the legal framework for the protection and conservation of Specially Protected Areas (SPA), including Specially Protected Areas of Mediterranean Importance (SPAMI) and those endangered or threatened species of flora and fauna listed in Annexes II and III to the Protocol.</i>	
Part: Specially Protected Areas (SPAs)	YES	Attention should be paid is it really good criteria for this chapter just number of answers >=1/2 or <=1/2 top conclude about compliance?! This depends of the country so for example Bosnia that has very small part of the sea is not even expected to have 3 SPA and according to this probably this country would be non compliance what maybe in reality isn't really the case having on mind circumstances. <i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to collect information on the list of SPAs designated and the measures adopted for their management, including the development and adoption of a management plan for each SPA, which incorporates the elements listed in Article 7 of the Protocol.</i>	
Subpart: Establishment of SPAs	YES		
Subpart: List of SPAs	YES		
Subpart: Management of SPAs	YES		
Part: Specially Protected Areas of Mediterranean Importance (SPAMIs)	No	Same comment as above.	
Subpart: Establishment of SPAMIs	NO	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part (including subparts) seeks to gather information on the list of SPAMIs designated and the measures adopted for their management, including the development and implementation of a management plan for each SPAMI which includes regulation of dumping and releases of wastes likely to impair the integrity of the SPAMI, monitoring programmes, introduction and reintroduction of species, and activities carried out in the buffer zone.</i>	
Subpart: List of SPAMIs	NO		
Subpart: Management of SPAMIs	No		
Part: Measures for the protection and conservation of species	NO (?)	Party answer positive on 3 question out of 5, one answer is in process and one no. For No answer additional explanations are missing so according to the Guideline this should be case of non-compliance. Anyway level of implementation is not possible to estimate. <i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to gather information on the protection measures adopted by Contracting Parties to protect those endangered or threatened species listed in the Annexes to the Protocol</i>	
Part: Conservation of the components of marine and coastal biodiversity	YES (?)	It is just two questions in Table X so Party in total could provide two answers i total what in this case is fulfilled. In the Guideline >=1/2 is compliance case and <=1/2 is non compliance case what is hard to follow in this case. <i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to check whether Contracting Parties have inventory the components of marine and coastal biodiversity (Article 3.3.) and formulated a</i>	

		<i>national strategy and action plan to protect the components of marine and coastal biodiversity (Article 3.4).</i>
Enforcement Part	YES	The data are provided but for Article 11.3 and 11.5 Party reported 0 number of inspections so Guideline doesn't say anything about this case. Answer is Provided by Party so Party is in compliance but the question is this really the case if Party reported 0 number of Inspection for certain Articles. !?
Part VII: Effectiveness	YES	This column is added by me – It was missing in the Guidance but here as well.
Part Action Plans		Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to collect information on measures put in place for the implementation of Regional Action Plans (RAPs), i.e. RAPs on cartilaginous fish, non-indigenous species, bird species, cetaceans, marine vegetation, monk seal and marine turtles.
Action Plan on Cartilaginous fish	YES	Level of implementation not possible to estimate.
Action plan on the introduction of non-indigenous species into the Mediterranean Sea	YES	Level of implementation not possible to estimate.
Action plan for the conservation of bird species	YES	Level of implementation not possible to estimate.
Action plan for the conservation of cetaceans in the Mediterranean Sea	YES	Level of implementation not possible to estimate.
Action plan for the conservation of marine vegetation in the Mediterranean Sea	NO to Not applicable???	Party reported that There are no marine meadows.
Action plan for the conservation of the monk seal	YES to Not applicable	
Compliance status with the SPA Protocol	Since Protocol is not Ratified and since ratification is reported "In early stage" Party is not in compliance. However Party provided answers and majority of measures are implemented so it is not clear according to the Guideline what to conclude.	<i>Following the Guidelines: If one should make estimation of Compliance status of Country to Barcelona Convention and all Protocols as a whole, the criteria and scores might be like following: All 8 Components of BC are in state of Compliance (Barcelona Convention + 7 Protocols) = highest level of Compliance 7 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = high level 6 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = good level 5 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = satisfactory level 4 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = low level 3 and less – unsatisfactory level</i>

National implementation report: Israel Reporting period: 2014-2015	Group A: Selma Cengic, Joseph Edward Zaki, Aysin Turpanci and Milena Batakovic	Test conducted by: Milena BATAKOVIC
Offshore Protocol		
Part subject to compliance evaluation	Compliance status according to the Compliance Criterion of the draft Guidelines: "YES" or "NO"	Comments/Remarks (from the Secretariat/from the person conducting the test)
Part: Ratification of the Offshore Protocol	NO	In Process without estimated date of ratification
Part: Legal Part	YES	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This part seeks to determine whether Contracting Parties have established the appropriate legal framework to regulate offshore oil and gas activities, so that offshore activities are subject to prior authorization issued by the national competent authority in accordance with the requirements of the Protocol for the use and discharge of harmful or noxious substances and materials, oil and oily mixtures and drilling fluids and cuttings, sewage and garbage (plastics, such as synthetic ropes, synthetic fishing nets, plastic garbage etc.).</i>
Part: Allocation of resources for the establishment of institutions and monitoring programmes	Not applicable	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to test institutional arrangements in place for: (1) the required permitting system for offshore chemicals, (2) the exceptions to the prohibition of sewage, and garbage discharges to be granted, (3) the required safety measures, including an on-board offshore contingency plan, (4) environmental and compliance monitoring and (5) the removal of disused offshore installations.</i>
Administrative Part	Not applicable	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part (including subparts) seeks to collect data on permits and quantities and inventory disused offshore installations removed</i>
Subpart: Existence/Application of Authorization Procedures	Not applicable	
Subpart: Occurrences of Disposal under the terms of Article 14 /Exceptions	Not applicable	
Subpart: Occurrences of Removal of installations/Article 20	Not applicable	
Enforcement Part	Not applicable	
Compliance status with the Offshore Protocol	Not in Compliance	<i>Following the Guidelines: If one should make estimation of Compliance status of Country to Barcelona Convention and all Protocols as a whole, the criteria and scores might be like following: All 8 Components of BC are in state of Compliance (Barcelona Convention + 7 Protocols) = highest level of Compliance 7 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = high level 6 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = good level 5 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = satisfactory level 4 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = low level 3 and less – unsatisfactory level</i>

National implementation report: Israel Reporting period: 2014-2015		Group A: Selma Cengic, Joseph Edward Zaki, Aysin Turpanci and Milena Batakovic	Test conducted by: Milena BATAKOVIC
Hazardous Waste (HW) Protocol			
Part subject to compliance evaluation	Compliance status according to the Compliance Criterion of the draft Guidelines: "YES" or "NO"	Comments/Remarks (from the Secretariat/from the person conducting the test)	
Part: Ratification of the HW Protocol	No		
Part: Legal Part	Not applicable	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to determine whether Contracting Parties have established the legal framework to: (1) reduce and/or eliminate the generation of hazardous wastes (Article 5.2); (2) reduce the amount of hazardous wastes subject to transboundary movement (Article 5.3); (3) restrict and/or prohibit the export import and transit of hazardous wastes (Article 5.4) ; (4) establish the notification procedure of the transboundary movement of hazardous wastes (Article 6) and (5) put in place enforcement measures (Article 5.5).</i>	
Part: Allocation of resources for the establishment of institutions and monitoring programmes	Not applicable	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to gather information on the institutional arrangements put in place to control the generation and transboundary movement of hazardous wastes and to identify and sanction activities in contravention of the Protocol.</i>	
Technical Part	Not applicable	<i>Note from the Secretariat: These two Parts (including subparts) seek to gather data on wastes controlled for the purpose of transboundary movement, the generation of hazardous wastes, the transboundary movement of hazardous wastes (import/export) and incidents occurred during the transboundary movement of wastes</i>	
Subpart: Existence of definitions of hazardous wastes under domestic legislation	Not applicable		
Subpart: Total amount of hazardous wastes and other wastes generated and by category	Not applicable		
Part: Transboundary movements of hazardous wastes or other waste	Not applicable		
Subpart: Import/Export of hazardous wastes and other wastes	Not applicable		
Subpart: Occurrences of not proceeding as intended with hazardous waste or other waste	Not applicable		
Subpart: Occurrences of accidents during the transboundary movement and disposal of hazardous waste or other waste	Not applicable		
Subpart: Existence of alternative options for the disposal of hazardous wastes carried out within the area of the national jurisdiction of the Party	Not applicable		
Enforcement measures	Not applicable		
Part: Implementation of the Regional Plan on the Reduction of Hazardous Waste Generation by 20% in 2011	Not applicable		
Compliance status with the HW Protocol	Not in Compliance	<p><i>Following the Guidelines: If one should make estimation of Compliance status of Country to Barcelona Convention and all Protocols as a whole, the criteria and scores might be like following:</i></p> <p><i>All 8 Components of BC are in state of Compliance (Barcelona Convention + 7 Protocols) = highest level of Compliance</i></p> <p><i>7 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = high level</i></p> <p><i>6 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = good level</i></p> <p><i>5 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = satisfactory level</i></p> <p><i>4 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = low level</i></p> <p><i>3 and less – unsatisfactory level</i></p>	

Due to many Uncertainties noted in my Comments above I can not make conclusion about overall Compliance.

Pilot Test of the draft Guidelines for preliminary assessment of reports to identify actual or potential cases of non-compliance

(Document UNEP(DEPI)/MED CC.12/7)

National implementation report: Israel Reporting period: 2014-2015	Group A: Selma Cengic, Joseph Edward Zaki, Aysin Turpanci and Milena Batakovic	Test conducted by: Selma CENGIC
Barcelona Convention		
Part subject to compliance evaluation	Compliance status according to the Compliance Criterion of the draft Guidelines: "YES" or "NO"	Comments/Remarks (from the Secretariat/from the person conducting the test)
Part: Submitting of Reports (Article 26 of the Barcelona Convention)	"YES"	
Part: Ratification of the Barcelona Convention/Amendments	"NO" <i>(Barcelona Convention and 2 Protocols Ratified - "YES"; 2 Protocols Signed and ratification In progress with comment on undertaken actions (currently under way); 1 Protocol Signed and ratification In early stage; 1 Protocol neither signed nor ratified)</i>	
Part: Bilateral, sub-regional and regional agreements	"YES" <i>(3 Agreements listed including dates and subjects)</i>	
Part: Multilateral instruments	"No" <i>(Table III does not exists in Report)</i>	
Legal Part	"YES" <i>(1 "NO" answer including comment; 7 "Yes" answers with listed Laws; 5 "Yes" answers without listed names of Laws but with Comments justifying that pollution monitoring (National marine environmental program), Designation of competent authorities and public access to information are in place.)</i>	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This part seeks to determine whether Contracting Parties have established the legal framework to: (1) implement the precautionary principle and the polluter paid principle (Article 4.3.a and b); (2) notification exchange in case of transboundary EIA (Article 4.3.c); (3) promote ICZM (Article 4.3.e); (5) monitor the pollution of the marine environment and its coastal areas (Article 12), and (6) ensure public information and participation (Article 15).</i>
Policy Part	"YES" <i>(All answers (4) are "YES" including list of Laws and Policies – Plans, except listing specific policy relevant for economic instruments - fees, taxis, charges e.t.c)</i>	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to determine whether policy measures have been put in place addressing: (1) domestic strategies for sustainable development, (2) regional strategies adopted in the framework of MAP, (3) ICZM and physical planning and (4) economic instruments.</i>
Part: Allocation of resources for establishment of institutional structures	"YES" <i>(Out of 6 questions , 5 answerer are "YES")</i>	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to gather information on the institutional arrangements in place to: apply the polluter paid principle (Article 4), notification exchange in case of transboundary EIA (Article 4), apply ICZM (Article 4), monitor marine pollution (Article 12), and ensure public access to information and public participation in the decision making process (Article 15).</i>
Part: Measures and actions to implement provisions on monitoring and access to information of Barcelona Convention	"YES" <i>(All answers (3) are "YES")</i>	<i>Note from the Secretariat: Part seeks to collect information on the monitoring arrangements in place and the access to marine environmental data by the public</i>
Compliance status with the Barcelona Convention	Party in Compliance with Barcelona Convention	<i>Following the Guidelines: If one should make estimation of Compliance status of Country to Barcelona Convention and all Protocols as a whole, the criteria and scores might be like following: All 8 Components of BC are in state of Compliance (Barcelona Convention + 7 Protocols) = highest level of Compliance</i>

		<p><i>7 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = high level</i></p> <p><i>6 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = good level</i></p> <p><i>5 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = satisfactory level</i></p> <p><i>4 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = low level</i></p> <p><i>3 and less – unsatisfactory level</i></p>

National implementation report: Israel Reporting period: 2014-2015	Group A: Selma Cengic, Joseph Edward Zaki, Aysin Turpanci and Milena Batakovic	Test conducted by: Selma CENGIC
Dumping Protocol		
Part subject to compliance evaluation	Compliance status according to the Compliance Criterion of the draft Guidelines: "YES" or "NO"	Comments/Remarks (from the Secretariat/from the person conducting the test)
Part: Ratification of Dumping Protocol	"YES" (In process, national legislation under review aiming at complying with DP and enable its ratification)	
Part: Legal Part	"YES" (6 "YES" answers, ; 1 In process – in process of revising national regulation)	Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to determine whether the required permitting system has been put in place through legal measures. Reporting on national implementing legislation would allow to identify Contracting Parties whose legislation provide them with the authority to: (1) prohibit dumping in violation of the Protocol (Article 4); (2) prohibit incineration at sea (Article 7); (3) apply the Protocol to ships and aircrafts (Article 11), and (4) issue instructions to maritime inspections ships and aircrafts to report on illegal dumping (Article 12).
Part: Allocation of resources for establishment of institutional structures	"YES" (All "YES" answers including explanatory comments)	Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to determine whether the required permit-system: (1) includes the designation or establishment of a competent authority or authorities responsible for the issuance of permits (Article 5); and (2) enables the designated competent authority or authorities responsible for the issuance of permits to inventory current sea disposal operations (Article 10). Part II further seeks to determine whether monitoring programmes have been established to monitor the conditions of the sea for the purpose of the Protocol.
Administrative Part	"No" (1 subpart "YES", 2 sub parts "NO", see below)	Note from the Secretariat: This Part (including subparts) seeks to gather quantitative information about quantities and types of wastes permitted and dumped, including wastes dumped under force majeure and critical situations.
	"YES" Requested data presented	
Subpart: Occurrences of dumping in cases of force majeure (Article 8), if any	"No" (no data presented)	
Subpart: Occurrences of critical situations (Article 9), if any	"No" (no data presented)	
Enforcement Part	"YES"	Note from the Secretariat: This Parts seeks to collect information on enforcement in order to verify that permit conditions are met.
Part: Implementation of the Guidelines: "On dredged material", "On fish waste or organic material", "On platforms and other man-made structures at sea" and "On inert uncontaminated geological materials".	"YES" (In both sub-parts requested data presented)	Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to gather information on the implementation of the Guidelines adopted under the Dumping Protocol by the meeting of the Contracting Parties.
Part: Establishment of Monitoring Programmes	"YES" (requested data presented /"YES")	
Compliance status with the Dumping Protocol	Party in Compliance with Dumping Protocol.	Following the Guidelines: If one should make estimation of Compliance status of Country to Barcelona Convention and all Protocols as a whole, the criteria and scores might be like following:

		<p><i>All 8 Components of BC are in state of Compliance (Barcelona Convention + 7 Protocols) = highest level of Compliance</i></p> <p><i>7 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = high level</i></p> <p><i>6 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = good level</i></p> <p><i>5 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = satisfactory level</i></p> <p><i>4 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = low level</i></p> <p><i>3 and less – unsatisfactory level</i></p>
--	--	---

National implementation report: Israel Reporting period: 2014-2015	Group A: Selma Cengic, Joseph Edward Zaki, Aysin Turpanci and Milena Batakovic	Test conducted by: Selma CENGIC
Emergency Protocol		
Part subject to compliance evaluation	Compliance status according to the Compliance Criterion of the draft Guidelines: "YES" or "NO"	Comments/Remarks (from the Secretariat/from the person conducting the test)
Part: Ratification of Emergency Protocol	"YES"	
Part: Status of ratification of international conventions dealing with maritime safety and prevention of pollution from ships	"YES" (7 "YES", one "NO" answers)	<i>Note from the Secretariat: These three Parts seek to collect information on the Emergency Protocol related Conventions signed, ratified, accepted, approved or accede to by Contracting Parties. This encompasses Conventions dealing with maritime safety and prevention of pollution from ships, combating pollution and liability and compensation for pollution damage.</i>
Part: Status of ratification of international conventions dealing with combating pollution	"NO" (1 "YES", 2 "NO" answers)	
Part: Status of ratification of international conventions/legal instrument dealing with liability and compensation for pollution damage	"NO" (3 "YES", 1 "NO" answers)	
Part: Legal and administrative measures taken to implement the provisions of the Emergency Protocol	"YES" (18 "YES" "with explanatory comments justifying that provisions of EP are implemented, even thou some comments does not contain data on legal act or institution responsible , 2 "YES" without explanatory comments, 1 "not applicable" answer)	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to determine whether Contracting Parties have established the legal and administrative framework to facilitate international cooperation and mutual assistance in preparing for and responding to oil and hazardous noxious substances (HNS) pollution incidents. This includes a designated national authority, a national operational contact point and a national contingency plan. In turn, this needs to be backstopped by a minimum level of response equipment, communications plans, regular training and exercises.</i>
Part: Technical measures taken to prevent and combat marine pollution incidents	"YES" (13 "YES", 1 "NO" answers)	<i>Note from the Secretariat: These two Parts seek to collect information on the response strategy in place, resources and expertise in order to evaluate whether there is adequate capacity and resources to address oil and/or HNS pollution emergencies.</i>
Part: Operational measures taken to prevent and combat marine pollution incidents	"YES" (4 "YES", 1 4 "Not applicable " answers)	
Part: Incidents	"YES" (Accidents locations and types were listed as well as actions taken for some of them)	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to gather information on spills incidents. Under this heading, Contracting Parties are invited to provide information on accident type, vessel flag, product released, if any, and any actions taken in response to the incident.</i>
Part: Effectiveness	"YES" (45 Plans)	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to collect data on the number of operational national contingency plans and other plans</i>
Compliance status with the Emergency Protocol	Party in Compliance with Emergency Protocol .	<i>Following the Guidelines: If one should make estimation of Compliance status of Country to Barcelona Convention and all Protocols as a whole, the criteria and scores might be like following: All 8 Components of BC are in state of Compliance (Barcelona Convention + 7 Protocols) = highest level of Compliance 7 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = high level 6 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = good level 5 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = satisfactory level 4 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = low level 3 and less – unsatisfactory level</i>

National implementation report: Israel Reporting period: 2014-2015	Group A: Selma Cengic, Joseph Edward Zaki, Aysin Turpanci and Milena Batakovic	Test conducted by: Selma CENGIC
LBS Protocol		
Part subject to compliance evaluation	Compliance status according to the Compliance Criterion of the draft Guidelines: “YES” or “NO”	Comments/Remarks (from the Secretariat/from the person conducting the test)
Part: Ratification of the Land-Based Sources Protocol	“YES”	
Part: Legal Part	“YES” (All- 6 “YES” answers)	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to determine whether national legislative regimes address LBS and activities as per the provisions of the Protocol. In particular, questions in Table I of the reporting format are meant to test whether: (1) National Actions Plans (NAPs) and the Strategic Action Programme (SAP) are in place to eliminate LBS pollution and phase-out POPs (Article 5.2); (2) measures have been adopted to reduce accidental pollution (Article 5.5); (3) discharges and pollutant releases are subject to the required authorization/regulation issued by the competent national authority (Article 6.1); (4) a system of enforcement, including sanctions, is in place (Article 6.2 /3) and (5) measures adopted by the Conferences of the Parties are implemented (Article 7).</i>
Part: Allocation of resources for the establishment of institutions and monitoring programmes	“YES” (All -4 “YES” answers, listed Institutions responsible or elaborated relevant programs or reports)	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to gather information on the institutional arrangements for environmental permitting, compliance monitoring, environmental monitoring and the testing of the NAPs and the SAP effectiveness</i>
Administrative Part	“NO” Subpart 1 “NO” (only data on number of authorizations provided but for all sectors) Sub part 2 “YES” all required data provided)	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to collect quantitative information on authorizations for discharge granted and pollutant releases</i>
Enforcement Part	“YES” (all required data provided)	
Part: Implementation of the NAPs and their effectiveness	“NO” (No data provided)	<i>Note from the Secretariat: The analysis of the implementation of NAPs was undertaken by the Secretariat for the period 2000-2015 and it is reflected in the UNEP/MAP publication “Strategic Action Programme to Address Pollution from Land Based Activities (SAP-Med) and related National Action Plans (NAPs). Implementation status 2000-2015</i>
Part: Implementation of monitoring programmes	“YES” (All- 3 “YES” answers)	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to gather information on whether compliance monitoring and field monitoring (state and trend, biomonitoring and eutrophication) are carried out</i>
Compliance status with the LBS Protocol	Party in Compliance with LBS Protocol	<i>Following the Guidelines: If one should make estimation of Compliance status of Country to Barcelona Convention and all Protocols as a whole, the criteria and scores might be like following: All 8 Components of BC are in state of Compliance (Barcelona Convention + 7 Protocols) = highest level of Compliance 7 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = high level 6 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = good level 5 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = satisfactory level 4 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = low level 3 and less – unsatisfactory level</i>

National implementation report: Israel Reporting period: 2014-2015		Group A: Selma Cengic, Joseph Edward Zaki, Aysin Turpanci and Milena Batakovic	Test conducted by: Selma CENGIC
Specially Protected Areas (SPA) and Biodiversity Protocol			
Part subject to compliance evaluation	Compliance status according to the Compliance Criterion of the draft Guidelines: "YES" or "NO"	Comments/Remarks (from the Secretariat/from the person conducting the test)	
Part: Ratification of the SPA Protocol	"NO" (Ratification in early stages)		
Part: Legal Part	"YES" (All- 11 "YES" answers)	Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to determine whether Contracting Parties have established the legal framework for the protection and conservation of Specially Protected Areas (SPA), including Specially Protected Areas of Mediterranean Importance (SPAMI) and those endangered or threatened species of flora and fauna listed in Annexes II and III to the Protocol.	
Part: Specially Protected Areas (SPAs)	"YES" (Sub parts 1, 2, 3 "YES" /see below)	Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to collect information on the list of SPAs designated and the measures adopted for their management, including the development and adoption of a management plan for each SPA, which incorporates the elements listed in Article 7 of the Protocol.	
Subpart: Establishment of SPAs	"YES" (All- 2 "YES" answers)		
Subpart: List of SPAs	"YES" (Required data provided for 24 SPA – except management plan implementation date (implementation was elaborated in the next section)		
Subpart: Management of SPAs	"YES" (4 "YES" and 3 "In progress" answers with explanatory comments)		
Part: Specially Protected Areas of Mediterranean Importance (SPAMIs)	"NO" Sub part 1 2, 3 "NO" (see below)	Note from the Secretariat: This Part (including subparts) seeks to gather information on the list of SPAMIs designated and the measures adopted for their management, including the development and implementation of a management plan for each SPAMI which includes regulation of dumping and releases of wastes likely to impair the integrity of the SPAMI, monitoring programmes, introduction and reintroduction of species, and activities carried out in the buffer zone.	
Subpart: Establishment of SPAMIs	(Answer "In process"		
Subpart: List of SPAMIs	(No data provided		
Subpart: Management of SPAMIs	(No data provided		
Part: Measures for the protection and conservation of species	"YES" (3 YES, 1 partially, and 1 NO answer)	Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to gather information on the protection measures adopted by Contracting Parties to protect those endangered or threatened species listed in the Annexes to the Protocol	
Part: Conservation of the components of marine and coastal biodiversity	"YES" (1 "YES", 1 "In process" with explanatory comment)	Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to check whether Contracting Parties have inventory the components of marine and coastal biodiversity (Article 3.3.) and formulated a national strategy and action plan to protect the components of marine and coastal biodiversity (Article 3.4).	
Enforcement Part	"YES" (All required data provided with explanation related to Articles 1.3 and 11.5)		
Part Action Plans 1.Action Plan on Cartilaginous fish; 2.Action plan on the introduction of non-indigenous species into the Med. Sea; 3.Action plan for the conservation of bird species ;	"NO" (Sub Part - Action Plan 1 - "YES" Sub Part - Action Plan 2 - "NO" Sub Part - Action Plan 3 - "YES" Sub Part - Action Plan 4 - "YES"	Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to collect information on measures put in place for the implementation of Regional Action Plans (RAPs), i.e. RAPs on cartilaginous fish, non-indigenous species, bird species, cetaceans, marine vegetation, monk seal and marine turtles.	

<p>4.Action plan for the conservation of cetaceans in the Med. Sea; 5.Action plan for the conservation of marine vegetation in the Med. Sea; 6.Action plan for the conservation of the monk seal; 7.Action plan for the conservation of marine turtles</p>	<p>Sub Part - Action Plan 5 - “NO” Sub Part - Action Plan 6 - “NO” Sub Part - Action Plan 7 - “YES”)</p>	
<p>Compliance status with the SPA Protocol</p>	<p>Party not in Compliance with SPA Protocol .</p>	<p><i>Following the Guidelines: If one should make estimation of Compliance status of Country to Barcelona Convention and all Protocols as a whole, the criteria and scores might be like following:</i> <i>All 8 Components of BC are in state of Compliance (Barcelona Convention + 7 Protocols) = highest level of Compliance</i> <i>7 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = high level</i> <i>6 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = good level</i> <i>5 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = satisfactory level</i> <i>4 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = low level</i> <i>3 and less – unsatisfactory level</i></p>

National implementation report: Israel Reporting period: 2014-2015	Group A: Selma Cengic, Joseph Edward Zaki, Aysin Turpanci and Milena Batakovic	Test conducted by: Selma CENGIC
Offshore Protocol		
Part subject to compliance evaluation	Compliance status according to the Compliance Criterion of the draft Guidelines: “YES” or “NO”	Comments/Remarks (from the Secretariat/from the person conducting the test)
Part: Ratification of the Offshore Protocol	“YES” <i>(In process, currently being examined)</i>	
Part: Legal Part	“YES” <i>(All- 10 “YES” answers)</i>	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This part seeks to determine whether Contracting Parties have established the appropriate legal framework to regulate offshore oil and gas activities, so that offshore activities are subject to prior authorization issued by the national competent authority in accordance with the requirements of the Protocol for the use and discharge of harmful or noxious substances and materials, oil and oily mixtures and drilling fluids and cuttings, sewage and garbage (plastics, such as synthetic ropes, synthetic fishing nets, plastic garbage etc.).</i>
Part: Allocation of resources for the establishment of institutions and monitoring programmes	“NO” <i>(All answers “not applicable”)</i>	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to test institutional arrangements in place for: (1) the required permitting system for offshore chemicals, (2) the exceptions to the prohibition of sewage, and garbage discharges to be granted, (3) the required safety measures, including an on-board offshore contingency plan, (4) environmental and compliance monitoring and (5) the removal of disused offshore installations.</i>
Administrative Part	“NO” <i>(Sub parts 1, 2, 3, - no data provided -see below)</i>	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part (including subparts) seeks to collect data on permits and quantities and inventory disused offshore installations removed</i>
Subpart: Existence/Application of Authorization Procedures	“NO” <i>(No data provided)</i>	
Subpart: Occurrences of Disposal under the terms of Article 14 /Exceptions	“NO” <i>(No data provided)</i>	
Subpart: Occurrences of Removal of installations/Article 20	“NO” <i>(No data provided)</i>	
Enforcement Part	“NO” <i>(No data provided)</i>	
Compliance status with the Offshore Protocol	Party not in Compliance with Offshore Protocol .	<i>Following the Guidelines: If one should make estimation of Compliance status of Country to Barcelona Convention and all Protocols as a whole, the criteria and scores might be like following: All 8 Components of BC are in state of Compliance (Barcelona Convention + 7 Protocols) = highest level of Compliance 7 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = high level 6 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = good level 5 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = satisfactory level 4 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = low level 3 and less – unsatisfactory level</i>

National implementation report: Israel Reporting period: 2014-2015	Group A: Selma Cengic, Joseph Edward Zaki, Aysin Turpanci and Milena Batakovic	Test conducted by: Selma CENGIC
Hazardous Waste (HW) Protocol		
Part subject to compliance evaluation	Compliance status according to the Compliance Criterion of the draft Guidelines: "YES" or "NO"	Comments/Remarks (from the Secretariat/from the person conducting the test)
Part: Ratification of the HW Protocol	"NO"	
Part: Legal Part	"NO" (All answers "not applicable")	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to determine whether Contracting Parties have established the legal framework to: (1) reduce and/or eliminate the generation of hazardous wastes (Article 5.2); (2) reduce the amount of hazardous wastes subject to transboundary movement (Article 5.3); (3) restrict and/or prohibit the export import and transit of hazardous wastes (Article 5.4) ; (4) establish the notification procedure of the transboundary movement of hazardous wastes (Article 6) and (5) put in place enforcement measures (Article 5.5).</i>
Part: Allocation of resources for the establishment of institutions and monitoring programmes	"NO" (All answers "not applicable")	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to gather information on the institutional arrangements put in place to control the generation and transboundary movement of hazardous wastes and to identify and sanction activities in contravention of the Protocol.</i>
Technical Part	"NO" (Sub parts 1, 2, - no data provided -see below)	<i>Note from the Secretariat: These two Parts (including subparts) seek to gather data on wastes controlled for the purpose of transboundary movement, the generation of hazardous wastes, the transboundary movement of hazardous wastes (import/export) and incidents occurred during the transboundary movement of wastes</i>
Subpart: Existence of definitions of hazardous wastes under domestic legislation	"NO" (Answer "not applicable")	
Subpart: Total amount of hazardous wastes and other wastes generated and by category	"NO" (No data provided)	
Part: Transboundary movements of hazardous wastes or other waste	"NO" Sub parts - no data provided (see below)	
Subpart: Import/Export of hazardous wastes and other wastes	"NO" (No data provided)	
Subpart: Occurrences of not proceeding as intended with hazardous waste or other waste	"NO" Answer "not applicable"	
Subpart: Occurrences of accidents during the transboundary movement and disposal of hazardous waste or other waste	"NO" Answer "not applicable"	
Subpart: Existence of alternative options for the disposal of hazardous wastes carried out within the area of the national jurisdiction of the Party	"NO" (Answer "not applicable")	
Enforcement measures	"NO" (No data provided)	
Part: Implementation of the Regional Plan on the Reduction of Hazardous Waste Generation by 20% in 2011	"NO" (All answers "not applicable")	
Compliance status with the HW Protocol	Party not in Compliance with HW Protocol . <i>As the evaluation was done for 7 Components of BC (not including ICZM Protocol) - Party achieved satisfactory level regarding state of Compliance</i>	<i>Following the Guidelines: If one should make estimation of Compliance status of Country to Barcelona Convention and all Protocols as a whole, the criteria and scores might be like following: All 8 Components of BC are in state of Compliance (Barcelona Convention + 7 Protocols) = highest level of Compliance 7 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = high level 6 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = good level 5 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = satisfactory level</i>

		<i>4 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = low level 3 and less – unsatisfactory level</i>
--	--	--

Pilot Test of the draft Guidelines for preliminary assessment of reports to identify actual or potential cases of non-compliance

(Document UNEP(DEPI)/MED CC.12/7)

National implementation report: Montenegro Reporting period: 2014-2015	Group B: Orr Karassin, Jose Juste Ruiz and Nicos Georgiades	Test conducted by: Nicos GEORGIADES
Barcelona Convention		
Part subject to compliance evaluation	Compliance status according to the Compliance Criterion of the draft Guidelines: “YES”or “NO”	Comments/Remarks (from the Secretariat/from the person conducting the test)
Part: Submitting of Reports (Article 26 of the Barcelona Convention)	SUBMITTED	
Part: Ratification of the Barcelona Convention/Amendments	RATIFIED	
Part: Bilateral, sub-regional and regional agreements	WITH ITALY, SLOVENIA, ALBANIA, CROATIA RELEVANCY TO THE BARCELONA CONVENTION UNCERTAIN, EXCEPT THE ONE ON THE ADRIATIC	
Part: Multilateral instruments	NO INFORMATION	
Legal Part	FROM THE DESCRIPTION OF THE LEGAL TEXTS IT MAY DE CONCLUDED THAT COMPLIANCE IS IN PLACE, AT LEAST IN PAPER	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This part seeks to determine whether Contracting Parties have established the legal framework to: (1) implement the precautionary principle and the polluter paid principle (Article 4.3.a and b); (2) notification exchange in case of transboundary EIA (Article 4.3.c); (3) promote ICZM (Article 4.3.e); (5) monitor the pollution of the marine environment and its coastal areas (Article 12), and (6) ensure public information and participation (Article 15).</i>
Policy Part	NATIONAL STRATEGY FOR ICZM VARIOUS STRATEGIES TO PREVENT/ PROTECT FROM POLLUTION HEAVY RELIANCE ON THE NSSD SPATIAL PLAN AND COASTAL AREA PLAN TO BE ADOPTED NO ECONOMIC INSTRUMENTS BASED ON THE DIFFICULTIES IDENTIFIED (REGULATORY FRAMEWORK, POLICY FRAMEWORK, ADMINISTRATIVE MANAGEMENT, FINANCIAL RESOURCES, TECHNICAL CAPABILITIES) IT COULD BE ASSUMED THAT ONLY PARTIAL COMPLIANCE HAS BEEN ACHIEVED, TO AN UNKNOWN DEGREE	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to determine whether policy measures have been put in place addressing: (1) domestic strategies for sustainable development, (2) regional strategies adopted in the framework of MAP, (3) ICZM and physical planning and (4) economic instruments.</i>
Part: Allocation of resources for establishment of institutional structures	PLANNED CO- FUND BILL EPA IN PLACE PUBLIC ENTERPRISE FOR CZM	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to gather information on the institutional arrangements in place to: apply the polluter paid principle (Article 4), notification exchange in case of transboundary EIA (Article 4), apply ICZM (Article 4), monitor marine pollution (Article 12), and ensure public access to information and public participation in the decision making process (Article 15).</i>

	NATIONAL PROGRAMME FOR MONITORING OF THE STATE OF THE ENVIRONMENT BASED ON THE DIFFICULTIES IDENTIFIED (ADMINISTRATIVE MANAGEMENT, FINANCIAL RESOURCES) IT COULD BE ASSUMED THAT NO ADEQUATE COMPLIANCE HAS BEEN ACHIEVED	
Part: Measures and actions to implement provisions on monitoring and access to information of Barcelona Convention	POSITIVE ANSWERS AND REFERENCE TO LEGAL PROVISIONS AND PROGRAMMES BASED ON THE DIFFICULTIES IDENTIFIED (ADMINISTRATIVE MANAGEMENT, FINANCIAL RESOURCES, AND TECHNICAL CAPABILITIES) IT COULD BE ASSUMED THAT NO COMPLIANCE HAS BEEN ACHIEVED.	<i>Note from the Secretariat: Part seeks to collect information on the monitoring arrangements in place and the access to marine environmental data by the public</i>
Compliance status with the Barcelona Convention	UNCERTAIN TO POSITIVE (LEGAL/ POLICY) AND TO NEGATIVE (IMPLEMENTATION/ ENFORCEMENT)	<i>Following the Guidelines: If one should make estimation of Compliance status of Country to Barcelona Convention and all Protocols as a whole, the criteria and scores might be like following: All 8 Components of BC are in state of Compliance (Barcelona Convention + 7 Protocols) = highest level of Compliance 7 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = high level 6 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = good level 5 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = satisfactory level 4 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = low level 3 and less – unsatisfactory level</i>

National implementation report: Montenegro Reporting period: 2014-2015	Group B: Orr Karassin, Jose Juste Ruiz and Nicos Georgiades	Test conducted by: Nicos GEORGIADES
Dumping Protocol		
Part subject to compliance evaluation	Compliance status according to the Compliance Criterion of the draft Guidelines: “YES” or “NO”	Comments/Remarks (from the Secretariat/from the person conducting the test)
Part: Ratification of Dumping Protocol	THIS PROTOCOL HAS NOT BEEN RATIFIED.	
Part: Legal Part	NOT APPLICABLE	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to determine whether the required permitting system has been put in place through legal measures. Reporting on national implementing legislation would allow to identify Contracting Parties whose legislation provide them with the authority to: (1) prohibit dumping in violation of the Protocol (Article 4); (2) prohibit incineration at sea (Article 7); (3) apply the Protocol to ships and aircrafts (Article 11), and (4) issue instructions to maritime inspections ships and aircrafts to report on illegal dumping (Article 12).</i>
Part: Allocation of resources for establishment of institutional structures	NOT APPLICABLE	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to determine whether the required permit-system: (1) includes the designation or establishment of a competent authority or authorities responsible for the issuance of permits (Article 5); and (2) enables the designated competent authority or authorities responsible for the issuance of permits to inventory current sea disposal operations (Article 10). Part II further seeks to determine whether monitoring programmes have been established to monitor the conditions of the sea for the purpose of the Protocol.</i>
Administrative Part	NOT APPLICABLE	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part (including subparts) seeks to gather quantitative information about quantities and types of wastes permitted and dumped, including wastes dumped under force majeure and critical situations.</i>
Subpart: Existence/Application of Permitting Procedures	NOT APPLICABLE	
Subpart: Occurrences of dumping in cases of force majeure (Article 8), if any	NOT APPLICABLE	
Subpart: Occurrences of critical situations (Article 9), if any	NOT APPLICABLE	
Enforcement Part	NOT APPLICABLE	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Parts seeks to collect information on enforcement in order to verify that permit conditions are met.</i>
Part: Implementation of the Guidelines: “On dredged material”, “On fish waste or organic material”, “On platforms and other man-made structures at sea” and “On inert uncontaminated geological materials”.	NOT APPLICABLE	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to gather information on the implementation of the Guidelines adopted under the Dumping Protocol by the meeting of the Contracting Parties.</i>
Part: Establishment of Monitoring Programmes	NOT APPLICABLE	
Compliance status with the Dumping Protocol	NOT APPLICABLE	<i>Following the Guidelines: If one should make estimation of Compliance status of Country to Barcelona Convention and all Protocols as a whole, the criteria and scores might be like following: All 8 Components of BC are in state of Compliance (Barcelona Convention + 7 Protocols) = highest level of Compliance 7 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = high level 6 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = good level 5 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = satisfactory level 4 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = low level 3 and less – unsatisfactory level</i>

National implementation report: Montenegro Reporting period: 2014-2015	Group B: Orr Karassin, Jose Juste Ruiz and Nicos Georgiades	Test conducted by: Nicos GEORGIADES
Emergency Protocol		
Part subject to compliance evaluation	Compliance status according to the Compliance Criterion of the draft Guidelines: “YES”or “NO”	Comments/Remarks (from the Secretariat/from the person conducting the test)
Part: Ratification of Emergency Protocol	RATIFIED	
Part: Status of ratification of international conventions dealing with maritime safety and prevention of pollution from ships	THE BULK WERE RATIFIED (9:2)	<i>Note from the Secretariat: These three Parts seek to collect information on the Emergency Protocol related Conventions signed, ratified, accepted, approved or accede to by Contracting Parties. This encompasses Conventions dealing with maritime safety and prevention of pollution from ships, combating pollution and liability and compensation for pollution damage.</i>
Part: Status of ratification of international conventions dealing with combating pollution	THE MAJORITY WERE RATIFIED (2:1)	
Part: Status of ratification of international conventions/legal instrument dealing with liability and compensation for pollution damage	ALL 4 WERE RATIFIED	
Part: Legal and administrative measures taken to implement the provisions of the Emergency Protocol	ALL WITH THE EXCEPTION OF ARTICLE 16 (PORT RECEPTION FACILITIES, PLACES OF REFUGE OF SHIPS IN DISTRESS) REFERENCE TO OTHER AGENCIES OR DOCUMENTS NOT ENLIGHTENING REPEATED REFERENCES TO TECHNICAL, FINANCIAL, ADMINISTRATIVE AND OTHER PROBLEMS NOT PARTICULARLY ENCOURAGING	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to determine whether Contracting Parties have established the legal and administrative framework to facilitate international cooperation and mutual assistance in preparing for and responding to oil and hazardous noxious substances (HNS) pollution incidents. This includes a designated national authority, a national operational contact point and a national contingency plan. In turn, this needs to be backstopped by a minimum level of response equipment, communications plans, regular training and exercises.</i>
Part: Technical measures taken to prevent and combat marine pollution incidents	ONLY 7 OF THE 14 GENERAL REFERENCE TO “IN PROCESS” FOR THE 6 IS NOT ENLIGHTENING REPEATED REFERENCES TO TECHNICAL, FINANCIAL, ADMINISTRATIVE AND OTHER PROBLEMS NOT PARTICULARLY ENCOURAGING	<i>Note from the Secretariat: These two Parts seek to collect information on the response strategy in place, resources and expertise in order to evaluate whether there is adequate capacity and resources to address oil and/or HNS pollution emergencies.</i>
Part: Operational measures taken to prevent and combat marine pollution incidents	7 “YES” FROM THE 8 MEASURES, BUT NOT ENLIGHTENING AS NO INFORMATION GIVEN REPEATED REFERENCE TO ADMINISTRATIVE MANAGEMENT PROBLEMS	
Part: Incidents	3 OIL RELEASE INCIDENTS REFERENCE TO ACTION TAKEN, BUT CANNOT ASSESS EFFECTIVENESS, e.g. RESPONSE TIME, etc.	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to gather information on spills incidents. Under this heading, Contracting Parties are invited to provide information on accident type, vessel flag, product released, if any, and any actions taken in response to the incident.</i>

Part: Effectiveness	REFERENCE TO 1(2) CONTINGENCY PLANS NO OTHER INFORMATION	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to collect data on the number of operational national contingency plans and other plans</i>
Compliance status with the Emergency Protocol	UNCERTAIN TO POSITIVE	<p><i>Following the Guidelines: If one should make estimation of Compliance status of Country to Barcelona Convention and all Protocols as a whole, the criteria and scores might be like following:</i></p> <p><i>All 8 Components of BC are in state of Compliance (Barcelona Convention + 7 Protocols) = highest level of Compliance</i></p> <p><i>7 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = high level</i></p> <p><i>6 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = good level</i></p> <p><i>5 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = satisfactory level</i></p> <p><i>4 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = low level</i></p> <p><i>3 and less – unsatisfactory level</i></p>

National implementation report: Montenegro Reporting period: 2014-2015	Group B: Orr Karassin, Jose Juste Ruiz and Nicos Georgiades	Test conducted by: Nicos GEORGIADES
LBS Protocol		
Part subject to compliance evaluation	Compliance status according to the Compliance Criterion of the draft Guidelines: “YES”or “NO”	Comments/Remarks (from the Secretariat/from the person conducting the test)
Part: Ratification of the Land-Based Sources Protocol	RATIFIED	
Part: Legal Part	NO MEASURES, YET, ON 2 VERY IMPORTANT ISSUES- PREVENTIVE ACTION AND AUTHORIZATIONS CLEAR REFERENCES TO INSTITUTIONAL WEAKNESSES, OVERLAPS, CONFLICTS OF RESPONSIBILITIES	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to determine whether national legislative regimes address LBS and activities as per the provisions of the Protocol. In particular, questions in Table I of the reporting format are meant to test whether: (1) National Actions Plans (NAPs) and the Strategic Action Programme (SAP) are in place to eliminate LBS pollution and phase-out POPs (Article 5.2); (2) measures have been adopted to reduce accidental pollution (Article 5.5) ; (3) discharges and pollutant releases are subject to the required authorization/regulation issued by the competent national authority (Article 6.1); (4) a system of enforcement, including sanctions, is in place (Article 6.2 /3) and (5) measures adopted by the Conferences of the Parties are implemented (Article 7).</i>
Part: Allocation of resources for the establishment of institutions and monitoring programmes	ALTHOUGH THERE ARE ONLY “YES” ANSWERS, THE TEXT ACCOMPANYING THEM SHOWS A FAR FROM SATISFACTORY SITUATION INSTITUTIONAL AND STAFF WEAKNESSES, UNSTABLE FUNDING, GAPS IN RESPONSIBILITIES	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to gather information on the institutional arrangements for environmental permitting, compliance monitoring, environmental monitoring and the testing of the NAPs and the SAP effectiveness</i>
Administrative Part	NO INFORMATION ON PART IIIA (AUTHORIZATIONS, DISCHARGES)	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to collect quantitative information on authorizations for discharge granted and pollutant releases</i>
Enforcement Part	DATA PROVIDED BUT THERE IS NO WAY TO CONCLUDE WHETHER THE NUMBER OF INSPECTIONS WAS ADEQUATE CONCERNS RELATING TO THE NON-COMPLIANCE SITUATION (MORE THAN 1/3 OF CASES)	
Part: Implementation of the NAPs and their effectiveness	THE INFORMATION PROVIDED GIVES A BLEAK PICTURE NOT MUCH DONE SOME NAPs IN PROGRESS BUT NO DETAILS REPEATED REFERENCE TO PROBLEMS (POLICY FRAMEWORK, REGULATORY FRAMEWORK, FINANCIAL RESOURCES, ADMINISTRATIVE MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL CAPABILITIES)	<i>Note from the Secretariat: The analysis of the implementation of NAPs was undertaken by the Secretariat for the period 2000-2015 and it is reflected in the UNEP/MAP publication “Strategic Action Programme to Address Pollution from Land Based Activities (SAP-Med) and related National Action Plans (NAPs). Implementation status 2000-2015</i>

Part: Implementation of monitoring programmes	ALTHOUGH THERE ARE ONLY "YES" ANSWERS, THE TEXTS ACCOMPANYING THEM SHOW A FAR FROM SATISFACTORY SITUATION THEY POINT OUT TO INCOMPLETE TO NON- EXISTENT PROGRAMMES FINANCIAL AND TECHNICAL CAPACITY WEAKNESSES IDENTIFIED.	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to gather information on whether compliance monitoring and field monitoring (state and trend, biomonitoring and eutrophication) are carried out</i>
Compliance status with the LBS Protocol	UNCERTAIN TO NEGATIVE	<p><i>Following the Guidelines: If one should make estimation of Compliance status of Country to Barcelona Convention and all Protocols as a whole, the criteria and scores might be like following:</i></p> <p><i>All 8 Components of BC are in state of Compliance (Barcelona Convention + 7 Protocols) = highest level of Compliance</i></p> <p><i>7 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = high level</i></p> <p><i>6 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = good level</i></p> <p><i>5 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = satisfactory level</i></p> <p><i>4 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = low level</i></p> <p><i>3 and less – unsatisfactory level</i></p>

National implementation report: Montenegro Reporting period: 2014-2015	Group B: Orr Karassin, Jose Juste Ruiz and Nicos Georgiades	Test conducted by: Nicos GEORGIADES
Specially Protected Areas (SPA) and Biodiversity Protocol		
Part subject to compliance evaluation	Compliance status according to the Compliance Criterion of the draft Guidelines: “YES”or “NO”	Comments/Remarks (from the Secretariat/from the person conducting the test)
Part: Ratification of the SPA Protocol	RATIFIED	
Part: Legal Part	THE BULK OF THE ANSWERS ARE POSITIVE, AT LEAST ON PAPER MAJOR REFERENCES TO THE LAW ON NATURE PROTECTION FINANCIAL AND TECHNICAL WEAKNESSES	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to determine whether Contracting Parties have established the legal framework for the protection and conservation of Specially Protected Areas (SPA), including Specially Protected Areas of Mediterranean Importance (SPAMI) and those endangered or threatened species of flora and fauna listed in Annexes II and III to the Protocol.</i>
Part: Specially Protected Areas (SPAs)		<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to collect information on the list of SPAs designated and the measures adopted for their management, including the development and adoption of a management plan for each SPA, which incorporates the elements listed in Article 7 of the Protocol.</i>
Subpart: Establishment of SPAs	SPA ESTABLISHMENT IN PROCESS MENTION OF MAJOR CHALLENGES AND PROBLEMS	
Subpart: List of SPAs	1 AREA	
Subpart: Management of SPAs	BASICALLY, NO PLANS SOME THEORETICAL PROVISIONS ON LAWS AND PROGRAMMES	
Part: Specially Protected Areas of Mediterranean Importance (SPAMIs)		<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part (including subparts) seeks to gather information on the list of SPAMIs designated and the measures adopted for their management, including the development and implementation of a management plan for each SPAMI which includes regulation of dumping and releases of wastes likely to impair the integrity of the SPAMI, monitoring programmes, introduction and reintroduction of species, and activities carried out in the buffer zone.</i>
Subpart: Establishment of SPAMIs	NO SPAMIs	
Subpart: List of SPAMIs	NO SPAMIs	
Subpart: Management of SPAMIs	NO SPAMIs	
Part: Measures for the protection and conservation of species	INVENTORY IN PROGRESS	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to gather information on the protection measures adopted by Contracting Parties to protect those endangered or threatened species listed in the Annexes to the Protocol</i>
Part: Conservation of the components of marine and coastal biodiversity	GENERAL REFERENCE TO SOME STRATEGIES	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to check whether Contracting Parties have inventory the components of marine and coastal biodiversity (Article 3.3.) and formulated a national strategy and action plan to protect the components of marine and coastal biodiversity (Article 3.4).</i>
Enforcement Part	16 INSPECTIONS	
Part Action Plans	CARTILAGINOUS FISH: MOSTLY NO ACTION NON-INDIGENOUS SPECIES: MOSTLY ON PAPER CONSERVATION OF BIRD SPECIES: MOSTLY ON PAPER CONSERVATION OF CETACEANS: MOSTLY ON PAPER, BUT MORE DEVELOPED THAN OTHERS CONSERVATION OF THE MONK SEAL: SOME LEGAL PROHIBITIONS	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to collect information on measures put in place for the implementation of Regional Action Plans (RAPs), i.e. RAPs on cartilaginous fish, non-indigenous species, bird species, cetaceans, marine vegetation, monk seal and marine turtles.</i>

	<p>(NO MONK SEALS OBSERVED FOR MANY YEARS) CONSERVATION OF MARINE TURTLES: MAY NOT BE PARTICULARLY RELEVANT (NO BREEDING OR NESTING)</p> <p>REFERENCES TO PROBLEMS RELATING TO: POLICY FRAMEWORK, FINANCIAL RESOURCES, ADMINISTRATIVE MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL CAPABILITIES</p>	
<p>Compliance status with the SPA Protocol</p>	<p>UNCERTAIN TO POSITIVE</p>	<p><i>Following the Guidelines: If one should make estimation of Compliance status of Country to Barcelona Convention and all Protocols as a whole, the criteria and scores might be like following:</i></p> <p><i>All 8 Components of BC are in state of Compliance (Barcelona Convention + 7 Protocols) = highest level of Compliance</i></p> <p><i>7 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = high level</i></p> <p><i>6 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = good level</i></p> <p><i>5 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = satisfactory level</i></p> <p><i>4 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = low level</i></p> <p><i>3 and less – unsatisfactory level</i></p>

National implementation report: Montenegro Reporting period: 2014-2015	Group B: Orr Karassin, Jose Juste Ruiz and Nicos Georgiades	Test conducted by: Nicos GEORGIADES
Offshore Protocol		
Part subject to compliance evaluation	Compliance status according to the Compliance Criterion of the draft Guidelines: “YES”or “NO”	Comments/Remarks (from the Secretariat/from the person conducting the test)
Part: Ratification of the Offshore Protocol	THIS PROTOCOL HAS NOT BEEN RATIFIED.	
Part: Legal Part	NOT APPLICABLE	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This part seeks to determine whether Contracting Parties have established the appropriate legal framework to regulate offshore oil and gas activities, so that offshore activities are subject to prior authorization issued by the national competent authority in accordance with the requirements of the Protocol for the use and discharge of harmful or noxious substances and materials, oil and oily mixtures and drilling fluids and cuttings, sewage and garbage (plastics, such as synthetic ropes, synthetic fishing nets, plastic garbage etc.).</i>
Part: Allocation of resources for the establishment of institutions and monitoring programmes	NOT APPLICABLE	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to test institutional arrangements in place for: (1) the required permitting system for offshore chemicals, (2) the exceptions to the prohibition of sewage, and garbage discharges to be granted, (3) the required safety measures, including an on-board offshore contingency plan, (4) environmental and compliance monitoring and (5) the removal of disused offshore installations.</i>
Administrative Part	NOT APPLICABLE	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part (including subparts) seeks to collect data on permits and quantities and inventory disused offshore installations removed</i>
Subpart: Existence/Application of Authorization Procedures	NOT APPLICABLE	
Subpart: Occurrences of Disposal under the terms of Article 14 /Exceptions	NOT APPLICABLE	
Subpart: Occurrences of Removal of installations/Article 20	NOT APPLICABLE	
Enforcement Part	NOT APPLICABLE	
Compliance status with the Offshore Protocol	NOT APPLICABLE	<i>Following the Guidelines: If one should make estimation of Compliance status of Country to Barcelona Convention and all Protocols as a whole, the criteria and scores might be like following: All 8 Components of BC are in state of Compliance (Barcelona Convention + 7 Protocols) = highest level of Compliance 7 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = high level 6 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = good level 5 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = satisfactory level 4 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = low level 3 and less – unsatisfactory level</i>

National implementation report: Montenegro Reporting period: 2014-2015	Group B: Orr Karassin, Jose Juste Ruiz and Nicos Georgiades	Test conducted by: Nicos GEORGIADES
Hazardous Waste (HW) Protocol		
Part subject to compliance evaluation	Compliance status according to the Compliance Criterion of the draft Guidelines: "YES" or "NO"	Comments/Remarks (from the Secretariat/from the person conducting the test)
Part: Ratification of the HW Protocol	RATIFIED	
Part: Legal Part	IN PLACE PROBLEMS IN ADMINISTRATIVE MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL CAPABILITIES	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to determine whether Contracting Parties have established the legal framework to: (1) reduce and/or eliminate the generation of hazardous wastes (Article 5.2); (2) reduce the amount of hazardous wastes subject to transboundary movement (Article 5.3); (3) restrict and/or prohibit the export import and transit of hazardous wastes (Article 5.4) ; (4) establish the notification procedure of the transboundary movement of hazardous wastes (Article 6) and (5) put in place enforcement measures (Article 5.5).</i>
Part: Allocation of resources for the establishment of institutions and monitoring programmes	PROBLEMS RELATING TO ADMINISTRATIVE MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL CAPABILITIES, PUBLIC PARTICIPATION EPA STAFF WEAKNESSES	<i>Note from the Secretariat: This Part seeks to gather information on the institutional arrangements put in place to control the generation and transboundary movement of hazardous wastes and to identify and sanction activities in contravention of the Protocol.</i>
Technical Part	NO TECHNICAL FACILITIES	<i>Note from the Secretariat: These two Parts (including subparts) seek to gather data on wastes controlled for the purpose of transboundary movement, the generation of hazardous wastes, the transboundary movement of hazardous wastes (import/export) and incidents occurred during the transboundary movement of wastes</i>
Subpart: Existence of definitions of hazardous wastes under domestic legislation	COVER ONLY WASTE OF ANNEX I OF THE PROTOCOL	
Subpart: Total amount of hazardous wastes and other wastes generated and by category	SOME SPORADIC DATA	
Part: Transboundary movements of hazardous wastes or other waste		
Subpart: Import/Export of hazardous wastes and other wastes	ADEQUATE DATA (EXPORTS)	
Subpart: Occurrences of not proceeding as intended with hazardous waste or other waste	NONE	
Subpart: Occurrences of accidents during the transboundary movement and disposal of hazardous waste or other waste	NONE	
Subpart: Existence of alternative options for the disposal of hazardous wastes carried out within the area of the national jurisdiction of the Party	NONE	
Enforcement measures	APPR. 1000 INSPECTIONS	
Part: Implementation of the Regional Plan on the Reduction of Hazardous Waste Generation by 20% in 2011	ALMOST ALL ANSWERS POSITIVE	
Compliance status with the HW Protocol	UNCERTAIN TO POSITIVE	<i>Following the Guidelines: If one should make estimation of Compliance status of Country to Barcelona Convention and all Protocols as a whole, the criteria and scores might be like following: All 8 Components of BC are in state of Compliance (Barcelona Convention + 7 Protocols) = highest level of Compliance 7 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = high level 6 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = good level 5 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = satisfactory level</i>

		<i>4 Components of BC are in state of Compliance = low level 3 and less – unsatisfactory level</i>
--	--	--