

Environment, Climate Change and Security

Background

The key message for this brief is that conflict degrades the environment and environmental degradation can be a driver of conflicts. When climate change accelerates environmental degradation, the risk of conflict increases. This feedback loop is demonstrated in the case of South Sudan. The international community engaged in implementing the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) must understand these interconnections between environment and security in view of the onset of climate change.

Introduction - what the issue is:

Climate change is happening, and it is a threat to human security. The potential future effects of global climate change include more frequent wildfires, longer periods of drought in some regions, and an increase in the number, duration and intensity of tropical storms. Recent global news headlines in 2018 from Europe, Japan, and Australia decry our lack of preparation for the inevitable and point to new stressors that will change the way we live. On 9 August 2018, the New York Times proclaimed, "2018 is shaping up to be the fourth-hottest year, yet we're still not prepared for global warming".¹ The US National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) confirmed this trend on 06 February 2019.²

Climate change is an emerging global consensus that will stress the economic, social, and political systems that underpin each Nation and State. Although it is increasingly being understood as a global and local reality, its impacts on human security are often neither

fully understood nor directly attributable because of the complexity of causal pathways in conflict situations. Future investments in global and national security must recognize the threat and provide preventative and mitigative solutions.

This brief contributes to our understanding of climate change and security and highlights a recent environmental assessment conducted by the Government of South Sudan (GOSS), with support from UN Environment Programme, and its insights on how climate change impacts human security. Human security programmes have advanced in-depth analysis of the local context to understand the multidimensional consequences of climate change and its impact on the severity and distribution of risks and vulnerabilities within countries.

What do we know of climate change and human security?

In its "Climate Security Report", CNA, a non-profit research and analysis organization located in the USA, notes that academic researchers have been debating the links between climate change and conflict for decades. The current consensus is that climate change alone is unlikely to be the primary cause of conflict, but it is an important threat multiplier.³ As such, climate change has been identified as a threat multiplier, which can exacerbate existing threats.⁴

Climate change, with increased temperature and or more erratic precipitation regimes, has effects globally. What scientists had predicted in the past from global climate change are now occurring: loss of sea ice, accelerated

sea level rise and longer, more intense heat waves.²¹ Hsiang et al (2013) assessed 60 studies on subjects related to climate, conflict, temperature, violence, crime, and others, and re-analyzed those studies' data using a common statistical framework.⁵ They found a "strong causal evidence linking climatic events to human conflict across a range of spatial and temporal scales and across all major regions of the world. The magnitude of climate's influence is substantial: for each 1 standard deviation (1 σ) change in climate toward warmer temperatures or more extreme rainfall, median estimates indicate that the frequency of interpersonal violence rises 4% and the frequency of intergroup conflict rises 14%".



Juba, South Sudan, February 2017. Women and children sitting on the ground. Yellow jerrycan with number of a displaced family. Camp for internally displaced persons (IDPs).
Adriana Mahdalova/Shutterstock.com

Why is this important?

The study of the environment – conflict nexus in South Sudan and other countries in conflict can inform how social and environmental sciences could feed into peace negotiations and post-conflict programming. Conflict has touched all socio-economic and environment sectors of South Sudan through complex, interconnected pathways. With knowledge of these pathways, the international community will have a better understanding of how to avoid or mitigate conflict situations where the environment is among the causal factors. -Specifically, we need to build environmental sustainability into humanitarian assistance, while at the same time taking cognizance of environment linkages with security when planning sustainable development. If we do not take these precautions, there is risk of a growing human insecurity in South Sudan. The insecurity may lead to poverty, more conflict, and migration with ripple effects in neighbouring countries and eventually outside the African continent.

There is a risk that conflicts can mask hotspots of environmental change. Disruption of wildlife migration, land degradation, pollution, etc. might go unnoticed because of conflict – making sustainable peace even harder to reach since the population will not have adequate resources to sustain itself after peace is established.

Current situation and likely impacts in South Sudan

The South Sudan's first State of the Environment and Outlook Report (2018) documented the key environmental challenges of South Sudan. The report highlights how climate change is contributing to the vulnerability of communities and driving the risks described above 15.

WFP (2014)⁷ describes the negative consequences of long-term climate projections on food security and livelihoods in the 11 livelihood zones in South Sudan, as

mapped by the Famine Early Warning Systems Network. It recommends a number of measures such as continued investment in flood protection, improved drainage, construction of flood control measures such as barriers, improved retention areas and prevention, accurate flood forecasting, warning alerts and land use planning as the most preferred measure.

There are several likely and observed impacts on pastoralism, farming and other livelihoods, as well as the

effects of a changing climate on ecosystems and their services in South Sudan. Some of them include the delay and shortening of rainy seasons that farmers depend on for crops and water for livestock, and reduction of wetlands, impacting food and fodder availability for livestock and wildlife. Others include perennial rivers drying up due to higher evaporation, resulting in seasonal rivers, and as a result of increased river seasonality, the loss of fish species and reduced fish size and reduced water tables in boreholes.¹⁵

What are the findings

How climate change triggers conflict




Figure 1: South Sudan – shaded relief map South Sudan (Figure 1) received its independence in 2011, and like many new countries, has suffered birth pains. Its internal political struggles have been complicated by droughts and erratic rainfall which have hindered its development. Figure 2 shows a recent upswing in average temperatures and decrease in rainfall, concurrent with the lifespan of the new state.




Figure 2: Trend in temperature and rainfall, 1900-2009. Richardson (2011) (11).

Furthermore, USAID (2016) 12 projects that average temperatures in South Sudan will rise by 1°C by 2060, with lower increases in the southern regions.




Figure 3: Projected change in temperature for South Sudan, 1960-2039 (USAID 2016)

Figure 3 is the result of adding temperature increases already observed in South Sudan with predicted increases through 2039. Most of the area shown will experience an increase of between 0.5° C and 1.3°C from 1960 to 2039, with the Unity, Jonglei and Upper Nile States around Malakal projected to have the highest increases.

Poverty is a strong indicator of impeded development.¹³ Indeed, inhabitants of Jonglei, Upper Nile and Unity States have borne the brunt of conflict during the war for independence and more recently, a civil war.




Figure 4: South Sudan poverty by State.¹³

Most of South Sudan’s population lead an agro-pastoral existence as about 81 per cent of households cultivate land, and 74 per cent own livestock.¹⁵ Movement of livestock depends on availability of rainfall and pasture, and during the dry season pastoral communities migrate with their cattle to areas with abundant water and “toiche” resources (riverine - marshland vegetation) (Photo 1).



Photo 1: Herd of cattle on road to Bor, guarded by armed men. Photo credit: BBC World Service/Flickr.com/CC BY-NC 2.0.

Over centuries, the community of herders in South Sudan have built complex social relations with their neighbours, ranging from intermarriage to cattle raiding. Cattle raids are conducted to replace cattle lost to disease or to increase stock for dowry payments.¹⁵

The longstanding practice of cattle raiding has become both deadlier as a consequence of South Sudan’s conflict, and more frequent due to climate change. As a result of these twin drivers, cattle raiding now carries potential for conflict escalation. The government faces great challenge of overcoming violence in remote areas where raiders armed with guns and machetes.

Migration occurs more frequently with increased incidences of drought and is a contributing factor to the frequency of **cattle raids**. The causal loop diagram (Figure 5) describes the relationship between climate change and conflict in Jonglei State, South Sudan.¹¹ As conflict impeded education, literacy, and economic development, it laid the ground for multiple negative impacts from drought. If climate change leads to increased incidence of drought and flooding, it will be a trigger for migration, more frequent cattle raids, and armed conflict.





Figure: relationship between climate change and conflict (Source, Schilling et al 2012)(8)

With the abundance of small arms and lethal weapons (SALW) available following the war for independence, the lethality of acts of violence increased dramatically. In response to cattle raids by those with automatic weapons, some communities resorted to arming themselves as a means of self-defence, or to aid their own retaliatory attacks. This led to a growing cycle of violence and revenge, which has fuelled the current conflict that has gripped the country.¹¹ The key message here is that drought and climate change can trigger pre-conditions for conflict.

How conflict degrades the environment and exacerbates climate change

1. Oil

South Sudan is endowed with abundant mineral resources and the potential for secondary and tertiary industries, but the only modern sector is the oil industry. In fact, oil is currently the backbone of South Sudan's economy, as it alone accounts for 98 per cent of the Government revenue and in recent past, it contributed 60-80 per cent of gross domestic product.¹¹

The impact of oil exploration and production include deforestation, loss of wildlife habitat and biodiversity, loss of grazing land, and soil and water contamination especially of critical wetlands due to oil spills (Photos 2 and 3). The impact on the local communities has been a loss of traditional livelihood opportunities such as fishing, eviction of communities and resulting mistrust between local communities and oil companies, and emerging health problems related to exposure to oil contaminants, including gas flaring.



Photo 2: Dead goats that drank from the contaminated mud pit at Gummy Oil Field, #17 on the 14th of January 2017. The mud pit was neither treated nor backfilled (Source: Mr. Humoon, Ministry of Petroleum - HSE).



Photo 3 On December 3, 2015: A Pipeline Oil Spill was discovered and reported by a nomad herdsman trespassing through the oil field with his herds. An estimated 1,000 barrels of crude was spilled in three days before being reported and more than 10 Million US dollars was used to clean up the spill and to treat the contaminated soil. (Source Mr. Humoon – Ministry of Petroleum - HSE):


The sole dependency on oil revenue to run the government has led to cuts in environmental safeguards. Unfortunately, the conflict puts additional pressure on the Government to protect revenue sources by reducing maintenance costs thereby increasing the risk of accidents. By developing only the petroleum industry, the Government's inattention to developing other natural resources has and will affect the country's post-conflict progress.

The result is that drought, together with oil pollution and consequent soil and water contamination, increase the risk of conflict because affected populations lose access to their productive land and may have to migrate.

2. Forestry and agriculture



Photo 4: Charcoal production in Jebel-Lado County outside Juba. (Source: Peter Gilruth, UNEP/EPI)



More than 90 per cent of the country's population directly depends on forests for fuelwood and charcoal production, timber for construction and non-timber forest products for food and nutrition security. However, this resource is under pressure and is disappearing around urban centres. In addition, conflict has contributed to degradation of the environment via rapid deforestation and has prevented forests from being developed and sustainably managed to provide goods and services for future generations.¹⁵

The impact of conflict is that farmers in conflict-affected regions (Photo 4) are reluctant to plant for fear that their harvest will be taken by combatants. So, some are forced to move to charcoal production which is easier to transport. However, conflicts impede movement of charcoal producers, hence they concentrate production in a limited area which stunts growth of timber products such as poles for home building, which in turn negatively impacts the construction industry. This is just one example of how conflict degrades the environment across multiple sectors in South Sudan.



Photo 5: A farming family in Kapoeta. Kapoeta is not directly affected by current conflict, but drought has had serious impacts. (Source: Peter Gilruth, UNEP/EPI)



Photo 6: Drought / famine foods (Balanitius seeds) used by Kapoeta farmers. (Source: Peter Gilruth, UNEP/EPI)

Currently, conflict is the key threat to food production as it forces farmers and pastoralists to move away at times of the year that are crucial for planting and grazing, disrupts markets and reduces herd sizes. Furthermore, conflict is the key threat to marketing food products along with the poor state of roads and lack of transportation and refrigeration. Whether due to conflict or environmental conditions (natural drought and flood cycles), agriculture has been a challenge in recent years, adding to insecurity.

3. Biodiversity

South Sudan is the home of the Sudd wetland, one of the world's largest tropical wetlands, and to one of the greatest circular migrations of wildlife on the planet. The epic migration of the Kob antelope (*Kobus kob*) offers tremendous opportunity for the development of ecotourism, if the population is maintained. Although the recent conflict is not currently deemed as a threat to the migration, it is possible that combatants use the game as a food source, whereas increases in drought could affect migration patterns.

In contrast, the elephant population has suffered from poaching due to the availability of weapons on a large

scale in South Sudan. From a population of about 79,000 in the 1970s, the Wildlife Conservation Society and the South Sudan Wildlife Service estimated a population of some 2,300 in the country prior to the civil war in December 2013 (16,17,18).

The risk that conflict poses is that, as much attention is given to peace negotiations and humanitarian assistance, threats to the wildlife and biodiversity may go unnoticed.

4. Urbanization and Pollution

A driver of urbanization is the influx of refugees, internally displaced people, immigrants and returnees to urban settlements. By the end of April 2018, there were 1.76 million internally displaced South Sudanese who were forced to flee their homes but did not cross an international border.¹⁹ These displaced populations place stress on urban centres poorly equipped to handle their needs.

The rural-to-urban migration has been accompanied by noticeable environmental damage, particularly in areas with fragile ecosystems. Large areas in most municipalities are gazetted as predominantly residential areas with little or no space for public recreation¹⁵. As much as half of urban waste is either dumped openly or burned (Photo 7), resulting in the proliferation of pathogens and soil or air pollution that cause respiratory illnesses among the population.



Photo 7: Juba municipal dump site with burning toxic chemicals (Source: Peter Gilruth, UNEP/EPI)

Conflict has contributed to this environmental degradation as waste management infrastructure has been damaged or not repaired due to fighting. Populations marginalized by the conflict have resorted to living on such dump sites and in increasingly hazardous conditions (Photo 8).



Photo 8: Juba Municipal dump site with children running after and climbing on moving garbage truck (Source: Peter Gilruth, UNEP/EPI)

Impacts of conflict on environment such as these are usually only seen, and being managed, in a piecemeal manner. Consequently, a holistic understanding and approach to sustainable development is harder to attain in conflict situation.

What has/is being done?

The Government of South Sudan has taken a step in the right direction by preparing and launching its first State of the Environment and Outlook Report (SEOR). The report assesses the environmental status of South Sudan's resources including agriculture, biodiversity, energy, forest resources, petroleum, water, and urban areas. The 2018 SEOR assessment represents a starting point for future and more comprehensive assessments and their implications for policy. Future assessments should show trends and point to policy effectiveness and shortcomings. Interestingly, the SEOR offers investment platforms that could be "sold" to the donor community.

In conjunction with their programmes of humanitarian assistance, many agencies operating under the aegis of the United Nations Mission in South Sudan (UNMISS) have controls to safeguard their activities against environmental and social damage. These controls need to be broadened to the wider South Sudanese communities through education and on-the-ground actions. There are also several NGOs active in community development and environmental protection whose operations could serve as a base for expanding environmentally sustainable development, starting with those communities not in conflict status.

At the international level, the mandate of safeguarding peace and international security needs to align with the issues surrounding climate change. On 12 July 2018, the UN Security Council (UNSC) convened a session to discuss the nexus between climate change and global conflicts to strengthen understanding of climate-related security risks²¹. The UNSC identified the need to appoint a Special Representative on Climate and Security, and the establishment of an "institutional home" or hub for climate and security-related issues within the UN system. Back in May 2018, UNSC recognized that armed conflict and violence are closely linked to food insecurity and adopted Resolution 2417 to end the use of starvation as a weapon of war.⁶ Apparently, the UNSC resolution

on the Lake Chad was a significant step towards acknowledging the impact of climate change, the need to address climate-fragility risks, risk assessments and management strategies.²⁰

What are the implications for policy?

1. The interconnections between environment, climate change and conflict are vast and complexity. To improve implementation of sustainable development policy, we need to continue to build an understanding of how climate change is a threat to human security. For those countries whose populations' livelihoods depend on an agro-pastoral economy (such as in the Sahel), we need to document more case studies of primary and secondary causality and bring this evidence to policy-making platforms. This evidence should include the current and potential cost of climate change to society at local, national, and international levels.
2. We should document and publish case studies of how environmental solutions such as ecosystems-based adaptation and ecosystem-based disaster risk reduction can reduce the threat of climate change with a co-benefit of improving security.
3. We should channel environmental assessments into the peace-making process to provoke discussion of how national wealth is managed and shared at the negotiating table. For example, post-conflict planning in South Sudan should address land tenure, livestock management and biodiversity resources in the Sudd and related wildlife migration.
4. There is need for an investment platform to set a foundation for an improved and growing role for environment and natural resources in South Sudan's future and for improving the role that environmental information will play in the implementation of national policy.

Given its inherent wealth in natural resources, South Sudan could become one of Africa's success stories. Becoming so, the country must protect its environmental heritage for current and future generations. The recent peace agreement (Photo 9) of 05 August, 2018 has better chance of taking hold conflicts and the threat of climate change will be less in the daily lives of the people of South Sudan.




Photo 9: South Sudan's President Salva Kiir (R) talks to South Sudan's rebel leader Riek Machar as they sign a cease fire and power sharing agreement in Khartoum, Sudan August 5, 2018. / REUTERS.

Acknowledgements

Author: Peter Gilruth

Reviewers: Saidou Hamani (Regional Coordinator, Resilience to Disasters and Conflicts Sub-Programme, Africa Office, UN Environment); Elizabeth Sellwood, (Chief, Environment and Security Unit, UN Environment)

Disclaimers

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations concerning the legal status of any country, territory or city or area or its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. For general guidance on matters relating to the use of maps in publications please go to <http://www.un.org/Depts/Cartographic/english/htmain.htm>

Mention of a commercial company or product in this document does not imply endorsement by the United Nations Environment Programme or the authors. The use of information from this document for publicity or advertising is not permitted. Trademark names and symbols are used in an editorial fashion with no intention on infringement of trademark or copyright laws.

The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the United Nations Environment Programme. We regret any errors or omissions that may have been unwittingly made.

© Maps, photos and illustrations as specified.

Bibliography

1. <https://www.nytimes.com/2018/08/09/climate/summer-heat-global-warming.html> (accessed 12 August, 2018).
2. <https://climate.nasa.gov/news/2841/2018-fourth-warmest-year-in-continued-warming-trend-according-to-nasa-noaa/> (accessed 06 February, 2019).
3. CAN (2007) Climate Security Report. https://www.cna.org/cna_files/pdf/national%20security%20and%20the%20threat%20of%20climate%20change.pdf (accessed 29 August 2018).
4. American Security Project, 2012 <https://www.americansecurityproject.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/11/CSR-Part-Two-Climate-Change-and-Global-Security-email.pdf> (accessed 29 August 2018).
5. Hsiang, Solomon M., Burke, Marshall, and Miguel, Edward (2013). Quantifying the Influence of Climate on Human Conflict. *Science*, 01 Aug 2013.
6. UN (2018). Resolution 2417 (2018). Adopted by the Security Council at its 8267th meeting, on 5th December 2018. <https://www.planetarysecurityinitiative.org/news/un-security-council-another-step-integrating-climate-and-security>
7. United Nations Environment Programme. 2011. Climate Change, Conflict and Migration in the Sahel. https://postconflict.unep.ch/publications/UNEP_Sahel_EN.pdf (accessed 25 August, 2018).
8. Biello, D. 2009. Can Climate Change Cause Conflict? Recent History Suggests So. *Scientific American*. 23/11/2009. <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/can-climate-change-cause-conflict/> accessed 28 August, 2018.
9. Gleick, Peter H. (2014). Water, Drought, Climate Change, and Conflict in Syria. *American Meteorological Society* (on-line) <https://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/WCAS-D-13-00059.1> accessed 27 August, 2018.
10. Sayne, Aaron. 2011. Climate Change Adaptation and Conflict in Nigeria. US Institute for Peace. Special Report 274. https://www.usip.org/sites/default/files/Climate_Change_Nigeria.pdf accessed 27 August, 2018.

11. Maystadt, Jean-François, and Olivier Ecker (2014). Extreme Weather and Civil War: Does Drought Fuel Conflict in Somalia through Livestock Price Shocks? *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, Volume 96, Issue 4, 1 July 2014, Pages 1157–1182, <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajae/aau010> Volume 96 Issue 4. Accessed 27 August, 2018.
12. Richardson, T. (2011). Pastoral Violence in Jonglei. Washington, DC: Inventory of Conflict and Environment (ICE), ICE Case Study Number 274, Trade Environment Database (TED), American University. <https://mandalaprojects.com/ice/ice-cases/jonglei.htm> Accessed 31 August 2018)
13. USAID. (2016). Climate Risk Profile: South Sudan. Washington, DC: United States Agency for International Development (USAID). Accessed November 17, 2018, from <https://www.climatechange.org/resources/climate-change-risk-profile-south-sudan>
14. World Bank 1999. Can the World Cut Poverty in Half? How Policy Reform and Effective Aid Can Meet International Development Goals. Policy Research Working Papers. Authors: David Dollar, Paul Collier. <https://doi.org/10.1596/1813-9450-2403> Accessed 30 August 2018.
15. RSS. (2016). South Sudan Vision 2040: Towards Freedom, Equality, Justice, Peace and Prosperity for All. Torit, South Sudan: Government Republic of South Sudan (RSS).
16. UNEP 2018. South Sudan: First State of the Environment and Outlook Report. United Nations Environment Programme. <https://wedocs.unep.org/handle/20.500.11822/25528> accessed 01 August 2018.
17. Fay, M., Elkan, P., Marjan, M., & Grossmann, F. (2007). Aerial surveys of wildlife, livestock, and human activity in and around existing and proposed protected areas of Southern Sudan, Dry season 2007. Phase I. Wildlife Conservation Society in partnership with the Ministry of Wildlife Conservation and Tourism of the Government of Southern Sudan.
18. Grossmann, F., Elkan, P., Awol, P. P., & Penche, M. C. (2008). Aerial surveys of wildlife, livestock, and human activity in and around existing and proposed protected areas of Southern Sudan. Technical Report No. 2. Wildlife Conservation Society in partnership with the Ministry of Wildlife Conservation and Tourism of the Government of Southern Sudan.
19. Grossmann, F., Elkan, P., Tiba, C., & Awol, P. V. (2011). Aerial surveys of wildlife, livestock, and human activity in and around existing and proposed protected areas of Southern Sudan, 2009-2010. Juba: Wildlife Conservation Society.
20. United Nations. 2017. 'Security Council Presidential Statement Urges Greater Humanitarian Access to Famine-Threatened Yemen, South Sudan, Somalia, and Nigeria'. Link (accessed November 2018)
21. Mead L (2018). UN Security Council Addresses Climate Change as a Security Risk. <http://sdg.iisd.org/news/un-security-council-addresses-climate-change-as-a-security-risk/> accessed 15 November 2018
22. Schilling J, J Scheffran and T Weinzierl (2012). Climate Change and Violent Conflict in Kenya: A Two-way Relationship. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/262984122_Climate_Change_and_Violent_Conflict_in_Kenya_A_Two-way_Relationship accessed 10 November 2018
23. WFP (2014) Annual Needs and Livelihood Analysis Report. <https://documents.wfp.org/stellent/groups/public/documents/ena/wfp256400.pdf> accessed 15 November 2018

Contact: charles.sebukeera@un.org