



GLOBAL CHEMICALS OUTLOOK II

FROM LEGACIES TO
INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS

IMPLEMENTING THE 2030 AGENDA
FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT



Global Chemicals Outlook II

From Legacies to Innovative Solutions: Implementing the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development

Copyright © United Nations Environment Programme, 2019

Reproduction

This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part and in any form for educational or non-profit purposes without special permission from the copyright holder, provided acknowledgement of the source is made. The United Nations Environment Programme would appreciate receiving a copy of any publication that uses this publication as a source.

No use of this publication may be made for resale or for any other commercial purpose whatsoever without prior permission in writing from the United Nations Environment Programme.

Disclaimer

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the United Nations Environment Programme concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Moreover, the views expressed do not necessarily represent the decision or the stated policy of the United Nations Environment Programme, nor does citing of trade names or commercial processes constitute endorsement.


ISBN No: 978-92-807-3745-5

Job No: DTI/2230/GE

Feedback and contact

The United Nations Environment Programme encourages interested readers of this report to engage and become part of the Global Chemicals Outlook II community. We are keen to hear your views about the report and how it makes a difference on the ground.

Please contact: science.chemicals@un.org



UNEP promotes environmentally sound practices globally and in its own activities. This publication will be available as an electronic document. Our distribution policy aims to reduce UNEP's carbon footprint.

About the Global Chemicals Outlook II

The first edition of the *Global Chemicals Outlook*, published in February 2013, assembled scientific, technical and socio-economic information on the sound management of chemicals. It covered trends and indicators for chemical production, transport, use and disposal, and associated health and environmental impacts; economic implications of these trends, including costs of inaction and benefits of action; and instruments and approaches for sound management of chemicals.

Decision 27/12, adopted by the Governing Council of the United Nations Environment Programme in 2013, recognized the significance of the findings of the first *Global Chemicals Outlook*, which highlighted the significant increase in the manufacture and use of chemicals globally, their importance to national and global economies and the costs and negative effects on human health and the environment of unsound chemicals management, and made recommendations for future action. Decision 27/12 also requested the Executive Director to continue work on the *Global Chemicals Outlook*, particularly in areas where data were found to be lacking or inadequate, and to enhance transparency through regionally balanced stakeholder involvement, inter alia, with a view to developing in the future a tool for assessing progress towards the achievement of the sound management of chemicals and hazardous wastes, including the existing 2020 goal, taking into account and building upon other existing sources of information.

Resolution 2/7, adopted by the United Nations Environment Assembly in 2016, requested the Executive Director to submit an update of the first *Global Chemicals Outlook*, addressing, inter alia, the work carried out particularly in relation to lacking or inadequate data to assess progress towards the 2020 goal, the development of non-chemical alternatives, and the linkages between chemicals and waste, in coordination with the *Global Waste Management Outlook*, and providing scientific

input and options for implementation of actions to reach relevant Sustainable Development Goals and targets up to and beyond 2020. Resolution 2/7 also requested the Executive Director to ensure that the updated *Global Chemicals Outlook* addresses the issues which have been identified as emerging policy issues by the International Conference on Chemicals Management (the governing body of the Strategic Approach to International Chemicals Management) as well as other issues where emerging evidence indicates a risk to human health and the environment.

The second edition of the *Global Chemicals Outlook* has been prepared with substantive contributions from more than 400 experts and under the guidance of a Steering Committee, which provided oversight, strategic directions and guidance on all aspects of the report's development, as well as technical inputs, where applicable. The Steering Committee was composed of representatives from Governments, non-governmental organizations (including civil society, industry/the private sector, and academia) and inter-governmental organizations, with participation from all regions and a wide range of stakeholders.

The *Global Chemicals Outlook II* is complemented by the *Global Chemicals Outlook II Summary for Policymakers* and the *Global Chemicals Outlook II Synthesis Report*. The *Synthesis Report* summarizes key findings

and insights of the full report and follows the same five-part structure. It was launched at the fourth session of the United Nations Environment Assembly in March 2019. The shorter *Summary for Policymakers* was tabled as a working document of the fourth session of the United Nations Environment Assembly and is available in all six UN languages.



Acknowledgements

The *Global Chemicals Outlook II* has been developed through substantive input from a wide range of experts, and through collaboration with numerous partner organizations. The United Nations Environment Programme wishes to thank all individuals and organizations that have generously contributed their expertise, time, and energy.

The Steering Committee provided oversight, strategic direction, guidance and technical inputs throughout the process. The members of the Committee were: Keith Alverson, Ingela Andersson, Heidar Ali Balouji, Ricardo Barra, Andrea Brown, Leticia Carvalho, Emma Chynoweth, Bob Diderich, Joe DiGangi, Szymon Domagalski, Jutta Emig, Richard Fuller, Veronique Garny, Fernando Gomez, Florencia Grimalt, Björn Hansen, Juergen Helbig, Sverre Thomas Jahre, David Kapindula, Brenda Koekkoek, Brian Kohler, Kouame Georges Kouadio, Klaus Kümmerer, Mungath Kutty, Vladimir Lenev, Suzanne Leppinen, Jianguo Liu, Christoph Neumann, Jorge Ocaña, Hanna-Andrea Rother, Tatiana Santos,

Claudia ten Have, Baskut Tuncak, Carolyn Vickers, Melissa Mengjiao Wang, Katherine Weber, Felix Wertli, Susan Wilburn, and Kei Ohno Woodall. Back-up support to members of the Committee was provided by, among others, Angelina Buchar, Tracey Easthope, Manoj Kumar Gangeya, Vassilios Karavezyris, Sunday Leonard, Eugeni Lobanov, Andrew McCartor, Geraint Roberts, Dolores Romano, Leigh Stringer, Michel Tschirren, Victoria Tunstall, and Carla Valle-Klann.

Lead authors responsible for the drafting of foundational papers and specific chapters were: Francisco Alpizar, Thomas Backhaus, Nils Decker, Ingo Eilks, Natalia Escobar-Pemberthy, Peter Fantke, Ken Geiser, Maria Ivanova, Olivier Jolliet, Ho-seok Kim, Kelvin Khisa, Haripriya Gundimeda, Daniel Slunge, Stephen Stec, Joel Tickner, David Tyrer, Niko Urho, Rob Visser, Mario Yarto, and Vania Gomes Zuin. Ken Geiser served as coordinating author for Part I. Rob Visser served as coordinating author for Parts II and III. Lead authors for capturing regional perspectives were Babajide Alo, Vera Barrantes,



Li Jinhui, Anna Makarova, and Chen Yuan, with further input provided by Mohamed Abdelraouf, Svein Rasmussen, Jeff Seadon, Noriyuki Suzuki, Le Viet Thang, and Htwe Win.

Further substantive contributions were provided by: Katinka De Balogh, Marie-Ange Baucher, Richard Blume, Rafael Cayuela, Maria Delfina Cuglievan, Heidelore Fiedler, John Haines, Lei Huang, Nicole Illner, Molly Jacobs LeFevre, Edwin Janssen, Elisabeth Krausmann, Nyree Bekarian Mack, Rachel Massey, Frank Moser, Amos Necci, Ieva Rucevska, David Sutherland, Urvi Talaty, Dirk Uhlemann, Elze van Hamelen, Willem van Lanschot, Melissa Mengjiao Wang, Zhanyun Wang, Maureen Wood, Oliver Wootton, and Evetta Zenina.

A Consultative Meeting for the Preparation of the *Global Chemicals Outlook II* took place in April 2016 in Geneva, Switzerland. It was attended by 70 experts. Subsequently a wide range of stakeholders provided input at five workshops. These consisted of a series of regional expert workshops in March-April 2018 in Nairobi, Kenya (Africa); Frankfurt, Germany (Europe, including Central and Eastern Europe); Panama City, Panama (Latin America and the Caribbean and North America); and Bangkok, Thailand (Asia-Pacific and West Asia), attended by a total of 115 participants; and a global workshop (June 2018, Bonn, Germany) with some 100 participants. Paul Hohnen provided valuable support, including by moderating sessions at several workshops.

Independent experts, identified based on nominations received from the Scientific and Technical Advisory Panel of the Global Environment Facility, the secretariat supporting the preparation of UNEP Environment's sixth *Global Environment Outlook*, and the International Solid Waste Association, were invited to review the draft GCO-II. In addition, external experts were invited to review selected sections based on their expertise. The following individuals provided valuable feedback: Marlene Agerstrand, Tom Bond, Weihsueh Chiu, Victoria de Higa, Paul Dumble, Henning Friege, Martin Führ, Sarah Green, Jamidu Katima, Sayed Khattari, Joy Aeree Kim, Olwenn Martin, Ackmez Mudhoo, Carlos

Ocampo Lopez, Stephen Macey, Prasad Modak, Naglaa Mohamed Loutfy, Jennifer McKellar, Percy Onianwa, Kamlesh Pathak, Andreas Prevodnik, Alexander Romanov, Mark Rossi, Ted Smith, Gustavo Solorzano, Gerard Swaen, Mohamed Tawfic, Zijian Wang, and Meriel Watts.

Various organizations provided contributions to the development of the *Global Chemicals Outlook II*. The International Sustainable Chemistry Collaborative Centre (ISC3) (overall lead: Friedrich Barth; supported by Alexis Bazzanella, Nils Decker, Agnes Dittmar, Silke Megelski and Brigitta Meier) provided support in co-organizing the four regional workshops and the global workshop, as well as substantive contributions on megatrends and industry sectors. The International Panel on Chemical Pollution (overall leads: Martin Scheringer, Justin Boucher and Zhanyun Wang; supported by Thuy Bui, Dämien Bolinius, Elsemieke de Boer, Miriam Diamond, Patrick FitzGerald, Adelene Lai, Grégoire Meylan, Amélie Ritscher, Thomas Roiss, Christina Rudén, and Iona Summerson) undertook background research and prepared a foundational paper addressing the emerging policy issues and other issues of concern. The United Nations Institute for Training and Research (overall lead: Jorge Ocana; supported by Oliver Wootton and Ester Hermosilla) assisted by co-organizing meetings and workshops, as well as by facilitating delivery of substantive contributions.

The following entities provided comments and in-kind contributions throughout the process: the Inter-Organization Programme for the Sound Management of Chemicals participating organizations (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, International Labour Organization, United Nations Development Programme, United Nations Environment Programme, United Nations Industrial Development Organization, United Nations Institute for Training and Research, World Health Organization, World Bank, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development), the Secretariat of the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions, the Secretariat of the Minamata Convention, the Secretariat for the Vienna Convention and its Montreal Protocol

(Ozone Secretariat) and the Secretariat for the Multilateral Fund for the Implementation of the Montreal Protocol, the Secretariat of the Strategic Approach to International Chemicals

Management (SAICM), Chemical Watch, The Natural Step, Statista, and the World Economic Forum.

The *Global Chemicals Outlook II* was prepared by the United Nations Environment Programme, Economy Division, Chemicals and Health Branch, and coordinated and edited by Achim Halpaap with Jost Dittkrist. Further valuable guidance, input and contributions have been provided by Jacqueline Alvarez, Abdouraman Bary, Llorenç Mila Canals, Kenneth Davis, Jacob Duer, Tessa Goverse, Mijke Hertoghs, Adam Hodge, Tim Kasten, Stephanie Laruelle, Isabelle Louis, Kaj Madsen, Imae Mojado, Kakuko Nagatani-Yoshida, Desiree Raquel Narvaez, Ligia Noronha, Jordi Pon, Pierre Quiblier, Liazzat Rabbiosi, Victor Hugo Estellano Schulze, Nalini Sharma, Ying Su, Eisaku Toda, Elisa Tonda, Eloise Touni, and numerous other colleagues. Administrative and other support has been provided by Erika Mattsson, Panos Kalogirou, Scholastica Theuri, Pascale Unger and Leila Younossi. Editing support has been provided by John Smith, graphic design and layout by Lowil Espada, with the support of Fabrice Clavien and Elsemieke de Boer, and referencing and data management support by Tapiwa Nxele.

Generous financial and in-kind contributions to develop the *Global Chemicals Outlook II* have been provided by the European Union and the Governments of Denmark, Germany, Norway, Sweden, and Switzerland.



Foreword



Chemicals are part of our everyday lives. From pharmaceuticals to plant protection, innovations in chemistry can improve our health, food security and much more. However, if poorly used and managed, hazardous chemicals and waste threaten human health and the environment.

As the second *Global Chemicals Outlook* lays out, global trends such as population dynamics, urbanization and economic growth are rapidly increasing chemical use, particularly in emerging economies. In 2017, the industry was worth more than US dollars 5 trillion. By 2030, this will double. Whether this growth becomes a net positive or a net negative for humanity depends on how we manage the chemicals challenge. What is clear is that we must do much more.

Large quantities of hazardous chemicals and pollutants continue to leak into the environment, contaminating food chains and accumulating in our bodies, where they do serious damage. Estimates by the European Environment Agency suggest that 62 per cent of the volume of chemicals consumed in Europe in 2016 were hazardous to health. The World Health Organization estimates the burden of disease from selected chemicals at 1.6 million lives in 2016. The lives of many more are negatively impacted.

We have made some progress in managing chemicals through national and stakeholder action, international treaties and voluntary

instruments. At the World Summit on Sustainable Development in 2002, countries committed to minimizing the adverse effects of chemicals by 2020. At our current pace, we will not achieve this goal. Considering the expansion of the market, and the associated increase in contamination, we cannot continue to gamble with our health.

Solutions do exist, as the report shows. Sustainable supply chain management, innovations in green and sustainable chemistry, and adopting common approaches to chemicals management can reduce the risks to human health, ecosystems and economies. But a solution is only as good as the will to implement it. Now, more than ever, key influencers such as investors, producers, retailers, citizens, academics and ministers must act. We have the chance to do what needs to be done. We are implementing the 2030 Agenda and developing a future framework for framework for the sound management of chemicals and waste beyond 2020.

We cannot live without chemicals. Nor can we live with the consequences of their bad management. My hope is that this Outlook inspires us all to increase our efforts to safely capture the benefits of chemistry for all humanity.



Joyce Msuya
Acting Executive Director
UN Environment

Key findings

The global goal to minimize adverse impacts of chemicals and waste will not be achieved by 2020. Solutions exist, but more ambitious worldwide action by all stakeholders is urgently required.



1. The size of the global chemical industry exceeded United States dollars 5 trillion in 2017. It is projected to double by 2030. Consumption and production are rapidly increasing in emerging economies. Global supply chains, and the trade of chemicals and products, are becoming increasingly complex.



2. Driven by global megatrends, growth in chemical-intensive industry sectors (e.g. construction, agriculture, electronics) creates risks, but also opportunities to advance sustainable consumption, production and product innovation.



3. Hazardous chemicals and other pollutants (e.g. plastic waste and pharmaceutical pollutants) continue to be released in large quantities. They are ubiquitous in humans and the environment and are accumulating in material stocks and products, highlighting the need to avoid future legacies through sustainable materials management and circular business models.



4. The benefits of action to minimize adverse impacts have been estimated in the high tens of billions of United States dollars annually. The World Health Organization estimated the burden of disease from selected chemicals at 1.6 million lives in 2016 (this is likely to be an underestimate). Chemical pollution also threatens a range of ecosystem services.



5. International treaties and voluntary instruments have reduced the risks of some chemicals and wastes, but progress has been uneven and implementation gaps remain. As of 2018, more than 120 countries had not implemented the Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals.



6. Addressing legislation and capacity gaps in developing countries and emerging economies remains a priority. Also, resources have not matched needs. There are opportunities for new and innovative financing (e.g. through cost recovery and engagement of the financial sector).



7. Significant resources can be saved by sharing knowledge on chemical management instruments more widely, and by enhancing mutual acceptance of approaches in areas ranging from chemical hazard assessment to alternatives assessment.



8. Frontrunner companies – from chemical producers to retailers – are introducing sustainable supply chain management, full material disclosure, risk reduction beyond compliance, and human rights-based policies. However, widespread implementation of these initiatives has not yet been achieved.



9. Consumer demand, as well as green and sustainable chemistry education and innovation (e.g. through start-ups), are among the important drivers of change. They can be scaled up through enabling policies, reaping the potential benefits of chemistry innovations for sustainable development.



10. Global knowledge gaps can be filled. This can be achieved, for example, by taking steps to harmonize research protocols, considering health or environmental impact information and harm caused to set and address priorities (e.g. emerging issues), and strengthening the science-policy interface through enhanced collaboration of scientists and decision-makers.

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms

ACC	American Chemistry Council	CMS	Chemical management services
ACS	American Chemical Society	CO₂	Carbon dioxide
AMAP	Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme	CO₂-eq	Carbon dioxide equivalent
AMR	Antimicrobial resistance	COP	Conference of the Parties
AOP	Adverse Outcome Pathway	DALYs	Disability-adjusted life years
ASBC	American Sustainable Business Council	DDT	Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane
ASGM	Artisanal and small-scale gold mining	DEHP	Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate
BCG	Boston Consulting Group	EC	European Commission
BHRRC	Business and Human Rights Resource Centre	ECHA	European Chemicals Agency
BPA	Bisphenol A	EDCs	Endocrine-disrupting chemicals
BPS	Bisphenol S	EEA	European Environment Agency
BRS	Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm	EFPIA	European Federation of Pharmaceutical Industries and Associations
CAGR	Compound annual growth rate	EFSA	European Food Safety Authority
CAPP	Chemical Accident Prevention and Preparedness	EHS	Environment, health and safety
CEE	Central and Eastern Europe	EIPs	Eco-industrial parks
Cefic	European Chemical Industry Council	eMARS	EU Major Accident Reporting System
CFC-11	Trichlorofluoromethane	EPIs	Emerging policy issues
CFCs	Chlorofluorocarbons	EPPP	Environmentally persistent pharmaceutical pollutants
CiP	Chemicals in Products	ESDs	Emission Scenario Documents
CIRS	Chemical Inspection and Regulation Service	EU	European Union
CLP	Classification, Labelling and Packaging	EWG	Environmental Working Group
CMR	Carcinogenic, mutagenic and reprotoxic	EY	Ernst & Young
		FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
		GAHP	Global Alliance on Health and Pollution

GC3	Green Chemistry & Commerce Council	IGO	Intergovernmental organization
GCO-I	First Global Chemicals Outlook	IHR	WHO International Health Regulations
GCO-II	Second Global Chemicals Outlook	ILO	International Labour Organization
GDP	Gross domestic product	ILZSG	International Lead and Zinc Study Group
GEF	Global Environment Facility	IOMC	Inter-Organization Programme for the Sound Management of Chemicals
GHG	Greenhouse gas	IP	Intellectual property
GHS	Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals	IPEN	International POPs Elimination Network
GPA	SAICM Global Plan of Action	IPM	Integrated Pest Management
GRI	Global Reporting Initiative	ISO	International Organization for Standardization
GRULAC	Group of Latin American and Caribbean Countries	ISWA	International Solid Waste Association
GSCE	Green and sustainable chemistry education	IT	Information technology
HCFCs	Hydrochlorofluorocarbons	IUPAC	International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry
HDI	Human Development Index	JPOI	Johannesburg Plan of Implementation
HFCs	Hydrofluorocarbons	KEMI	Swedish Chemicals Agency
HHPs	Highly hazardous pesticides	LAC	Latin America and the Caribbean
HRC	Human Rights Council	LCA	Life cycle assessment
HSLEEP	Hazardous substances within the life cycle of electrical and electronic products	LMICs	Low- and middle-income countries
IARC	International Agency for Research on Cancer	MEA	Multilateral environmental agreement
ICCA	International Council of Chemical Associations	Mt	Megatonne
ICCM	International Conference on Chemicals Management	NAFTA	North American Free Trade Agreement
IEA	International Energy Agency	Natech	Natural hazard triggered technological (accident)
IFIC	International Food Information Council	ng	Nanogram
IFPMA	International Federation of Pharmaceutical Manufacturers and Associations	NGO	Non-governmental organization

ODS	Ozone-depleting substance	PRTRs	Pollutant Release and Transfer Registers
OECD	Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development	PTFE	Polytetrafluoroethylene
OHS	Occupational Health and Safety	PV	Photovoltaic
OOG	SAICM Overall Orientation and Guidance	PVC	Polyvinyl chloride
OPS	SAICM Overarching Policy Strategy	PwC	PricewaterhouseCoopers
PAHs	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons	QSP	SAICM Quick Start Programme
PAN	Pesticide Action Network	R&D	Research and development
PBDEs	Polybrominated diphenyl ethers	REACH	Registration, Evaluation, Authorization and Restriction of Chemicals
PBT	Persistent, bioaccumulative and toxic	Rio+20	United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development
PCBs	Polychlorinated biphenyls	RSC	Royal Society of Chemistry
PCDDs	Polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins	SAICM	Strategic Approach to International Chemicals Management
PCDFs	Polychlorinated dibenzofurans	SDGs	Sustainable Development Goals
PE	Polyethylene	SDS	Safety data sheet(s)
PET	Polyethylene terephthalate	SEA	Socio-economic assessment
PFASs	Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances	SEI	Stockholm Environment Institute
PFCS	Perfluorinated chemicals	SMEs	Small and medium-sized enterprises
PFDA	Nonadecafluorodecanoic acid	SVHC	Substances of very high concern
PFHxS	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid	TCE	Trichloroethylene
PFNA	Perfluorononanoic acid	TRI	United States Toxics Release Inventory
PFOA	Perfluorooctanoic acid	TSCA	United States Toxic Substances Control Act
PFOS	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid	UBA	German Environment Agency
PFRs	Organophosphate-based flame retardants	UK	United Kingdom
pg	Picogram	UN	United Nations
PHAs	Polyhydroxyalkanoates	UNCED	United Nations Conference on Environment and Development
PLA	Polylactic acid		
POPs	Persistent organic pollutants		
PPE	Personal protective equipment		

UN DESA	United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs	US NOAA	United States National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme	US NRC	United States National Research Council
UNEA	United Nations Environment Assembly of the United Nations Environment Programme	US NTP	United States National Toxicology Program
UNECE	United Nations Economic Commission for Europe	US OSHA	United States Occupational Safety and Health Administration
UNEP	United Nations Environment Programme	VCI	German Chemical Industry Association
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization	VOCs	Volatile organic compounds
UNIDO	United Nations Industrial Development Organization	WBCSD	World Business Council for Sustainable Development
UNISDR	United Nations Office for Disaster Relief Reduction	WECF	Women in Europe for a Common Future/Women Engage for a Common Future
UNITAR	United Nations Institute for Training and Research	WEEE	Waste electrical and electronic equipment
UNRISD	United Nations Research Institute for Social Development	WEF	World Economic Forum
US/USA	United States	WEOG	Western European and Others Group
US ATSDR	United States Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry	WIPO	World Intellectual Property Organization
US CDC	United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention	WHO	World Health Organization
US EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency	WMO	World Meteorological Organization
US FDA	United States Food and Drug Administration	WSSD	World Summit on Sustainable Development
US GAO	United States Government Accountability Office	ZDHC	Zero Discharge of Hazardous Chemicals
USGS	United States Geological Survey		
US NASEM	United States Academies of Science, Engineering and Medicine		
US NHANES	United States National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey		

Contents

Introduction: chemicals and waste in the broader sustainable development context xxxii

- 1/ The global context for the sound management of chemicals and waste 2
- 2/ Milestones in international chemicals and waste management 5
- 3/ Opportunities to link international policy agendas 10
- 4/ Overview of the Global Chemicals Outlook II 14
- References 15

Key messages for policymakers: a call for more ambitious action at all levels 16

I. The evolving chemicals economy: status and trends relevant for sustainability 22

- 1/ The chemical industry 24
- 2/ Trends in production and sales of specific chemicals 41
- 3/ Megatrends and chemical-intensive industry sectors: risks and opportunities 61
- 4/ Global supply chains, chemicals in products, and circularity 78
- 5/ Chemical pollution: emissions, releases and wastes 92
- 6/ Concentrations of chemicals in the environment and humans 120
- 7/ Environmental, health and social effects of chemicals 145
- 8/ The economic benefits of action and the costs of inaction 164
- References 176

II. Where do we stand in achieving the 2020 goal – assessing overall progress and gaps	218
1/ International agreements and frameworks on chemicals and waste	220
2/ Reporting schemes and indicators under international agreements and frameworks	228
3/ Achieving the 2020 goal: what do we know?	241
4/ Emerging policy issues and other issues of concern	291
5/ Other issues where emerging evidence indicates a risk	320
6/ Overall progress towards the 2020 goal: what have we learned?	330
Annex: Other issues where emerging evidence indicates a risk	335
References	347

III. Advancing and sharing chemicals management tools and approaches: taking stock, looking into the future	382
1/ Hazard assessment: progress in information generation and hazard characterization	384
2/ Exposure assessment: benefiting from internationally available resources	396
3/ Risk assessment: opportunities to improve and accelerate progress	406
4/ Risk management decision-making: making it work in all countries	419
5/ Assessment of chemical and non-chemical alternatives: focusing on solutions	435
6/ Chemical risk management in facilities and during production	453
7/ Approaches to sustainability assessment	468
References	474

IV. Enabling policies and action to support innovative solutions	502
1/ Envisioning and shaping the future of chemistry	504
2/ Green and sustainable chemistry education: nurturing a new generation of chemists	515
3/ Strengthening sustainable chemistry technology innovation and financing	524
4/ Evolving and new business models	542
5/ Fiscal incentives to advance sound chemicals management and sustainable chemistry	555
6/ Sustainable supply chain management for chemicals and waste in the life cycle	564
7/ Sustainability metrics and reporting: measuring progress, strengthening accountability	575
8/ Empowering and protecting citizens, workers and consumers	586
References	604

V. Scaling up collaborative action under the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development	628
1/ The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development: an integrated framework for action	630
2/ Strengthening collaborative action on chemicals and waste in line with the 2030 Agenda	641
3/ Engaging all sectors and actors in chemicals and waste management beyond 2020	651
References	655

Index	658
--------------	------------

List of Figures

Introduction: chemicals and waste in the broader sustainable development context

1/ The global context for the sound management of chemicals and waste

Figure 1.1	Share of the volume of chemicals consumed in the European Union in 2016 by hazard categories	3
------------	--	---

2/ Milestones in international chemicals and waste management

Figure 2.1	Key milestones in global sustainable development governance (which also included the sound management of chemicals and waste)	6
Figure 2.2	The Sustainable Development Goals	8

3/ Opportunities to link international policy agendas

Figure 3.1	The waste hierarchy, sustainable materials management and the circular economy	12
------------	--	----

I. The evolving chemicals economy: status and trends relevant for sustainability

1/ The chemical industry

Figure 1.1	Total chemical industry revenues, 2002-2016 (US dollars billion)	25
Figure 1.2	Chemical sales by geographic region, 2017 (EUR billion)	25
Figure 1.3	Growth in production volume, 2000-2017	26
Figure 1.4	Global chemical industry capacity growth in million tonnes, 2000-2017	27
Figure 1.5	Projected growth in world chemical sales, 2017-2030	28
Figure 1.6	Projection of annual production growth in the chemical industry by region, 2015-2022 (per cent change per year)	28
Figure 1.7	Value chain of the chemical industry: from extraction to finished products	29
Figure 1.8	Chemical segments in the global value chain	30
Figure 1.9	Trends in materials extraction, financial value creation and greenhouse gas emissions (1900-2050)	31
Figure 1.10	The global material footprint: extracted resources by key societal needs and consumables, 2015 (billion tonnes)	32
Figure 1.11	Resource extraction by the chemical sector and related chemicals production, 2013 in millions of tonnes (Mt)	33
Figure 1.12	Feedstocks for chemical production, 2000-2040 (quadrillion British thermal units [BTUs])	34
Figure 1.13	Share of Asian bio-based polymer production capacity in global production, 2016 (per cent)	35

Figure 1.14	International trade associations along the chemical industry value chain	36
Figure 1.15	World chemical industry structure evolution, share of revenue, 2000-2017	37
Figure 1.16	Number and value of corporate acquisitions in the chemical industry, 2008-2017 (US dollars billion)	37
Figure 1.17	Number of completed mergers and acquisitions in the pharmaceutical industry, 1995-2016	38
Figure 1.18	Global chemical mergers and acquisitions activity by target market, 2010-2017	38
Figure 1.19	Corporate research and development spending globally, 2007 and 2017 (EUR billion)	39
Figure 1.20	Number of chemistry-related patents granted by region, 1987-2016	40

2/ Trends in production and sales of specific chemicals

Figure 2.1	Global chemical shipments by segment in 2006, 2011 and 2016 (US dollars billion)	42
Figure 2.2	Production of DDT by decade since 1940	44
Figure 2.3	Value of global pesticide trade, 1970-2016 (US dollars billion)	47
Figure 2.4	Global and regional sales of crop protection products in 2015 (US dollars million)	48
Figure 2.5	Worldwide total prescription drug sales (US dollars billion) and growth rate (per cent), 2010-2024	49
Figure 2.6	Geographical breakdown (by main markets) of sales of new medicines launched in the period 2012-2017	49
Figure 2.7	Global flame retardants market by chemistry, 2017	51
Figure 2.8	Global lead consumption by product, 2018	52
Figure 2.9	Global mercury demand by sector, including uncertainties, 2005-2015 (tonnes)	53
Figure 2.10	Asbestos mine production in the largest producer countries, 2010-2017 (tonnes)	57
Figure 2.11	Global and regional plastics production, 1950-2050 (million tonnes)	57
Figure 2.12	Distribution of global plastics production, 2017 (per cent)	58
Figure 2.13	Uses of plastic: main downstream sectors, 2017 (per cent)	59
Figure 2.14	Global bioplastics production capacity, 2017-2023 (thousand tonnes)	60

3/ Megatrends and chemical-intensive industry sectors: risks and opportunities

Figure 3.1	Growth of basic chemical production capacity vs. population growth, 1990-2030	63
Figure 3.2	Middle class dominance in 2030 (in billions)	64
Figure 3.3	The growth of e-commerce, 2016-2021	65
Figure 3.4	Growth of the urban population by city size, 1990-2030	67
Figure 3.5	Trends in the number of loss-relevant natural events, 1980-2016	68
Figure 3.6	Global e-waste generated by volume and per inhabitant, 2014-2021	71
Figure 3.7	Use of pesticides per area of cropland, kg/ha, sum 2006-2016	73
Figure 3.8	Global average annual net capacity additions by type of energy (gigawatts), 2010-2016 and 2017-2040	75
Figure 3.9	Growth of clothing sales and comparison with declining clothing utilization	77

4/ Global supply chains, chemicals in products, and circularity

Figure 4.1	Illustration of the complexity of global supply chains: the case of an electronic product	79
Figure 4.2	Relative scale of exports of toys from China by importing market	79
Figure 4.3	Global supply chain in the textile sector	80
Figure 4.4	Relationship between global value chains, product life cycles, product supply chains and chemical supply chains in a linear economy	82
Figure 4.5	Chemicals in an office chair	83
Figure 4.6	Variations in chemical content in a body lotion and in vinyl flooring (per cent)	84

Figure 4.7	Simplified material flow of a circular economy in a global scale with health and environmental risks	87
Figure 4.8	Unintended residues found in recyclable waste paper (mg/kg)	87
Figure 4.9	Concept-to-production (C2P) global regulations by subject, cumulative total	89

5/ Chemical pollution: emissions, releases and wastes

Figure 5.1	The value chain of the chemical industry, with emissions/releases to the environment	93
Figure 5.2	On-site air releases in the United States reported to the Toxics Release Inventory (TRI), 2006-2016 (million pounds)	95
Figure 5.3	National/regional PCDD/PCDF releases per unit area	97
Figure 5.4	Potential sources of chemical water pollution	98
Figure 5.5	On-site hazardous surface water discharges in the United States reported to the Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) (millions of pounds), 2006-2016	99
Figure 5.6	Global releases of plastic and microplastic waste to oceans (million tonnes per year)	102
Figure 5.7	Average active ingredient application rates over time as a function of the decade of introduction, 1950s-2000s	103
Figure 5.8	Global glyphosate use, 1994-2014 (tonnes)	104
Figure 5.9	Contributors to VOC emissions to ambient air in Los Angeles, California, 2010	106
Figure 5.10	Spatial distribution of releases of linear alkylbenzene sulphonate (LAS) due to household emissions in Asia, in mg/m ² /day	107
Figure 5.11	Waste generation by level of national income (US dollars)	109
Figure 5.12	Composition of municipal solid waste in Sub-Saharan Africa (per cent)	110
Figure 5.13	Recycled and composted waste as a share of total municipal waste in OECD countries (per cent), 2013	111
Figure 5.14	Global hazardous waste generation in 2009 (thousand tonnes)	113
Figure 5.15	Sources of hazardous waste in the United States by sector, 2011 (per cent of volume)	113
Figure 5.16	Sources of hazardous waste in EU countries by sector, 2015 (per cent)	114
Figure 5.17	Chemical accidents reported in news media in OECD, non-OECD and EU countries, October 2016-September 2017	117
Figure 5.18	Number of chemical accidents in OECD countries with significant releases to the environment	118

6/ Concentrations of chemicals in the environment and humans

Figure 6.1	Value chain of the chemical industry, showing emissions and concentrations	121
Figure 6.2	Exposure pathways	122
Figure 6.3	Links between the near-field environment and compartment of entry, the far-field environment, and the human body	123
Figure 6.4	Trends in DDT concentrations in air, and ratios between DDT and total DDTs (pg/m ³), in Hedo, Japan, 2009-2013	127
Figure 6.5	Trends in concentrations of PCBs in Košetice, Czech Republic (pg/m ³), 1996-2013	127
Figure 6.6	Global atmospheric concentrations of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and of organophosphate esters (OPEs) and other novel flame retardants (FRs) at four location types: polar, background, rural and urban, 2014	129
Figure 6.7	Number of pharmaceuticals detected in surface water, groundwater, tap water and/or drinking water	132
Figure 6.8	Concentrations of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in surface soil by land use category (ng/g)	134
Figure 6.9	Mercury concentrations in large lake trout collected from the East Arm of Great Slave Lake, Canada (µg/g), 1992-2012	137

Figure 6.10	Mercury concentrations in polar bears, Swalbard, Norway (ng/g dw), 1960s-2000s	137
Figure 6.11	Blood concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ plasma lipid) of p,p-DDE in pregnant Inuit women from Nunavik, Canada, 1992-2017	138
Figure 6.12	Concentrations of PFHxS and PFDA ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$) in the blood of Swedish first-time mothers, 1996-2010	139
Figure 6.13	Levels of PCDD/PCDF (Sum 17 PCDD/PCDF) and indicator PCB (Sum 6 PCB) in human milk: survey results in 2005-2010 and comparison with 1980s levels	140
Figure 6.14	Concentrations of perfluorinated compounds in the blood serum of women in the United States, 1999-2014 (median ng/ml)	141
Figure 6.15	Concentrations of mercury and selenium in women's blood, Nunavik (Canada) ($\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$), 1992-2012	142
Figure 6.16	Mercury concentrations in cord blood from birth cohort studies by country ($\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$), 2003-2016	143

7/ Environmental, health and social effects of chemicals

Figure 7.1	Deaths (total: 1.6 million) attributed to selected chemicals (per cent), 2016	150
Figure 7.2	Deaths and DALYs from occupational exposure to cadmium, 1990-2017	157
Figure 7.3	Percentage of deaths attributed to unintentional poisonings by selected chemicals by age, 2016	159
Figure 7.4	Deaths attributed to selected chemicals, by gender, 2016	161

8/ The economic benefits of action and the costs of inaction

Figure 8.1	Identifying the economic costs of inaction and the benefits of action	167
Figure 8.2	Lost lifetime earning potential for each cohort of children under five from childhood lead exposure in 2011 (US dollars billion)	171

II. Where do we stand in achieving the 2020 goal – assessing overall progress and gaps

2/ Reporting schemes and indicators under international agreements and frameworks

Figure 2.1	Compliance with national reporting obligations, 2016: Basel and Stockholm Conventions	231
Figure 2.2	Historical evolution of general compliance with national reporting obligations: Basel and Stockholm Conventions, 2001-2015	232
Figure 2.3	Average national reporting rate 2001-2016, by category of countries (developed/developing) and by regions: Basel and Stockholm Conventions	232

3/ Achieving the 2020 goal: what do we know?

Figure 3.1	Parties to the Basel Convention, as at January 2019	244
Figure 3.2	Basel Convention implementation: Parties which have used the option to adopt a national definition of hazardous waste, as at January 2019	244
Figure 3.3:	Parties to the Rotterdam Convention, as at January 2019	245
Figure 3.4	Parties to the Stockholm Convention, as at January 2019	246

Figure 3.5	Countries with National Implementation Plans (NIPs) under the Stockholm Convention , as at January 2019	247
Figure 3.6	Parties to the Minamata Convention, as at January 2019	248
Figure 3.7	Countries which have undertaken Minamata Initial Assessments (MIAs), as at January 2019	249
Figure 3.8	Parties with National Action Plans (NAPs) for artisanal and small-scale gold mining, as at January 2019	249
Figure 3.9	Countries with core capacities for chemicals under the International Health Regulations (2005), 2018	251
Figure 3.10	National profiles to assess the chemicals and management infrastructure, 2018	260
Figure 3.11	Engagement of sectors in coordination mechanisms, comparing results for 2009-2010 and 2011-2013	261
Figure 3.12	Global GHS implementation status, 2018	264
Figure 3.13	Pollutant Release and Transfer Registers, 2018	265
Figure 3.14	Progress in environmental and health monitoring, comparing results for 2009-2010 and 2011-2013	266
Figure 3.15	Countries with pesticide legislation, according to FAO data collected in the context of the Code of Conduct, February 2018	271
Figure 3.16	Countries that have banned the use of asbestos, August 2018	271
Figure 3.17	Global status of phasing out lead in gasoline, March 2017	272
Figure 3.18	Trends the in use of IOMC tools for risk reduction for the reporting period 2011–2013	272
Figure 3.19	Existence and distribution of poisons centres, September 2017	273
Figure 3.20	Trends in private sector financial support comparing results for 2009-2010 and 2011-2013	276
Figure 3.21	Trends in industry participation in multi-stakeholder committees comparing results for 2009-2010 and 2011-2013	276
Figure 3.22	Countries with a chemical industry which have implemented the Responsible Care® programme as of March 2017	277
Figure 3.23	Resource allocations for chemicals and waste by GEF round, 1994-2018	278
Figure 3.24	GEF-6 projects by chemical group	279
Figure 3.25	Overview of the Quick Start Programme since 2006	280
Figure 3.26	Increase in percentage of developing country governments with development assistance programmes that address chemicals comparing results for 2009-2010 and 2011-2013	281
Figure 3.27	Comparison of results of the 2015 ICCA progress report with the 2009 baseline for SAICM indicators under capacity building and technical cooperation	282
Figure 3.28	Selected SAICM indicators, comparing results for 2009-2010 and 2011-2013	287
Figure 3.29	Progress against objectives since the first reporting period, by region for the reporting period 2011–2013 (per cent)	288

4/ Emerging policy issues and other issues of concern

Figure 4.1	Economic costs of childhood lead exposure in low- and middle-income countries (percentage of gross domestic product)	294
Figure 4.2	Status of lead paint regulation worldwide, as reported in 2017	295
Figure 4.3	The life cycle of electronic and electrical products	296
Figure 4.4	Percentage of the world population and number of countries covered by e-waste legislation in 2014 and 2017	298
Figure 4.5	Discomfort or illness experienced during or after pesticide application in Mozambique	300
Figure 4.6	Conversion process from chemical products to articles in the supply chain	303
Figure 4.7	Schematic overview of the structure categories of identified PFASs	308

Figure 4.8	Estimated annual releases of PFCAs from PFOA production sites (left) and fluoropolymer production sites (right) in the United States, Western Europe and Japan (purple), as well as in China, Russia, Poland and India (orange) (t/yr), 1951-2015	309
Figure 4.9	Pathways of antibiotics for human and veterinary use in the environment	312
Figure 4.10	Milestones in the development of the EDC field, 1958-2013	316

III. Advancing and sharing chemicals management tools and approaches: taking stock, looking into the future

1/ Hazard assessment: progress in information generation and hazard characterization

Figure 1.1	From risk assessment to risk management	385
Figure 1.2	Graphical representation of a chemical category and some approaches for filling data gaps	389
Figure 1.3	Testing and assessment based on the Adverse Outcome Pathway (AOP) concept	390

2/ Exposure assessment: benefiting from internationally available resources

Figure 2.1	Aggregate (left) and cumulative (right) exposure	400
Figure 2.2	Transfer fractions to near-field and far-field compartments and the corresponding product intake fraction for phenoxyethanol used as a preservative at a concentration of 0.86 per cent in a hand lotion	404

4/ Risk management decision-making: making it work in all countries

Figure 4.1	Hazard pictograms according to the GHS	422
Figure 4.2	Risk assessment and socio-economic assessment (SEA)	432

6/ Chemical risk management in facilities and during production

Figure 6.1	Stakeholders in the change of ownership of hazardous facilities	457
------------	---	-----

7/ Approaches to sustainability assessment

Figure 7.1	Conceptual relationships of the main chemical management tools	469
Figure 7.2	General structure of the life cycle assessment (LCA) framework	470
Figure 7.3	Elements of a comprehensive framework to evaluate global chemical supply chain impacts on humans and the environment	473

IV. Enabling policies and action to support innovative solutions

1/ Envisioning and shaping the future of chemistry

Figure 1.1	Examples of how chemistry contributes to industries expected to play important roles in the future	506
Figure 1.2	Dimensions of a chemical enterprise: towards sustainability	509
Figure 1.3	Market size of the global green chemistry industry, 2015-2020 (US dollars billion)	510
Figure 1.4	Global green chemicals market by region (US dollars billion), 2011-2020	511
Figure 1.5	The four industrial revolutions	512
Figure 1.6	Overview of the implications of digitalization in the chemical industry	513

2/ Green and sustainable chemistry education: nurturing a new generation of chemists

Figure 2.1	Number of papers published on GSCE, 1998-July 2018, concerning green chemistry education or sustainable chemistry education	518
Figure 2.2	Number of papers published on GSCE, 1998-July 2018	518
Figure 2.3	Steps to promote GSCE	520

3/ Strengthening sustainable chemistry technology innovation and financing

Figure 3.1	Innovation ecosystem model	525
Figure 3.2	Technology innovation chain and key enabling factors	526
Figure 3.3	Stage of technology readiness and the Valley of Death	527
Figure 3.4	Venn diagram of incubator and accelerator characteristics	534
Figure 3.5	Venturing tools supporting start-ups at different innovation phases	534
Figure 3.6	Start-up development stages and typical investors along the innovation chain (Swedish krona thousand)	536
Figure 3.7	Chemical industry leaders' view of the evolution of the intensity of collaboration with other stakeholders	537
Figure 3.8	New collaboration approaches in the chemical industry	539
Figure 3.9	Policy interventions that foster technology innovation	540

4/ Evolving and new business models

Figure 4.1	Traditional business models vs. Chemical Leasing	544
Figure 4.2	Visible and hidden chemicals management costs	545
Figure 4.3	Global growth of eco-industrial parks (EIPs)	546
Figure 4.4	Eco-industrial parks' sources of revenue	547
Figure 4.5	Evolution of a social enterprise	552

5/ Fiscal incentives to advance sound chemicals management and sustainable chemistry

Figure 5.1	Marginal cost of reducing the use of trichloroethylene (TCE) in metal degreasing	557
Figure 5.2	Effects of differentiated taxation on quantities of pesticides sold in Norway, 1997-2008	559

6/ Sustainable supply chain management for chemicals and waste in the life cycle

Figure 6.1	Interface of demand and supply in driving the sustainability of chemicals in the supply chain	565
Figure 6.2	Sustainable Supplier Relationship Management (SSRM) practices	570

7/ Sustainability metrics and reporting: measuring progress, strengthening accountability

Figure 7.1	Share of the top 100 companies in 34 countries (N100) and of the world's 250 largest companies providing corporate responsibility reports (per cent), 1993-2017	576
Figure 7.2	Snapshot of Sumitomo's Corporate Social Responsibility Report: work-related incident rate (per cent), 2011-2015	577
Figure 7.3	ZDHC and PUMA's rates of compliance with MRSL parameters in wastewater (per cent), 2017	578
Figure 7.4	Average percentage of points across four Chemical Footprint Project (CFP) pillars scored by small, medium and large companies selling only articles	580

8/ Empowering and protecting citizens, workers and consumers

Figure 8.1	DOZN scoring example	595
Figure 8.2	Citizen science project to monitor the concentration of neonicotinoids in honey, November 2012 and February 2016	597
Figure 8.3	Human rights impacts by life cycle stage, information received between 2012-2017	601

V. Scaling up collaborative action under the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development

1/ The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development: an integrated framework for action

Figure 1.1	The three dimensions of sustainability	630
Figure 1.2	Linkages between chemicals and waste and the SDGs	631
Figure 1.3	Alignment of the Dow 2025 Sustainability Goals with the SDGs	634
Figure 1.4	Building blocks for a collaborative society	638
Figure 1.5	A multisectoral collaboration model to achieve transformative change	639

List of Tables

Introduction: chemicals and waste in the broader sustainable development context

1/ The global context for the sound management of chemicals and waste

Table 1.1	Chemicals and waste in the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development: SDG Targets 3.9 and 12.4	2
-----------	--	---

I. The evolving chemicals economy: status and trends relevant for sustainability

2/ Trends in production and sales of specific chemicals

Table 2.1	Total global chemical shipments, 2016 and 2017 (US dollars billion)	42
Table 2.2	Overview of estimated total production of PCBs	43
Table 2.3	Global production capacity for petrochemicals, 2016	44
Table 2.4	Evolution of global production capacity for primary petrochemical building blocks (kg per capita)	45
Table 2.5	Global manufacture of pesticide active ingredients by region, 2008-2016 (thousand kg)	46
Table 2.6	Top 10 products used on major crops in the United States by volume, 1968 and 2016	47
Table 2.7	Geographic distribution of fluoropolymer consumption in 2015 in tonnes (per cent share)	50
Table 2.8	Global refined lead production and usage (thousand tonnes), 2013-2018	52
Table 2.9	Global mercury supply, 2015 (tonnes)	54
Table 2.10	Cadmium: refinery production by country, 2012-2016 (tonnes)	55
Table 2.11	World production of rare earth mineral concentrates (thousand tonnes) and total estimated increase (per cent), 1990-2015	56

3/ Megatrends and chemical-intensive industry sectors: risks and opportunities

Table 3.1	Matrix analysis of megatrend studies	62
Table 3.2	World population prospects (millions)	63
Table 3.3	Major end markets for four primary commodity chemical groups	69
Table 3.4	End markets for chemicals	69

4/ Global supply chains, chemicals in products, and circularity

Table 4.1	Actors, main impact drivers and exposure over the product life cycle of toys	81
Table 4.2	Examples of studies identifying unintended chemical contaminants in products	85

5/ Chemical pollution: emissions, releases and wastes

Table 5.1	Hazardous and non-hazardous wastes from six African countries (tonnes/year), 2012	110
Table 5.2	Hazardous waste generation in selected countries, 2014 (tonnes)	114
Table 5.3	Resource efficiency in the chemical industry: ratio of products and waste generation	115

7/ Environmental, health and social effects of chemicals

Table 7.1	Total number of agents and POPs classified by the IARC Monographs per group (Volumes 1-123)	152
Table 7.2	Chemicals identified by Grandjean and Ladrigan (2014) as being toxic to the human nervous system, 2006 and 2013	155

II. Where do we stand in achieving the 2020 goal – assessing overall progress and gaps

1/ International agreements and frameworks on chemicals and waste

Table 1.1	Multilateral agreements related to the sound management of chemicals and waste	221
-----------	--	-----

2/ Reporting schemes and indicators under international agreements and frameworks

Table 2.1	IOMC Indicators and linkages to other policy instruments	238
Table 2.2	SDGs 3, 6, 11 and 12 with targets, indicators, custodian and partner agencies, and linkages to OOG elements	240

3/ Achieving the 2020 goal: what do we know?

Table 3.1	Estimates of progress made towards elimination of PCBs use per UN region, 1990-2015	248
Table 3.2	Examples of regional institutions and initiatives addressing chemicals and waste in the African region	252
Table 3.3	Examples of regional institutions and initiatives addressing chemicals and waste in the Asia and the Pacific region	254
Table 3.4	Examples of regional institutions and initiatives addressing chemicals and waste in Europe	255
Table 3.5	Examples of regional institutions and initiatives addressing chemicals and waste in Latin America and the Caribbean	256
Table 3.6	Examples of regional institutions and initiatives addressing chemicals and waste in North America	258
Table 3.7	Examples of regional institutions and initiatives addressing chemicals and waste in the West Asia region	259
Table 3.8	Examples of science policy bodies and mechanisms	267
Table 3.9	Stakeholder perceptions of the degree of success regarding prevention of illegal international traffic in chemicals and waste from 2006-2015, asked between 14 November 2016 to 4 January 2017	285
Table 3.10	Stakeholder perceptions of the degree of success in achieving OPS objectives from 2006-2015, asked between 14 November 2016 to 4 January 2017	289

Table 3.11	Stakeholder perceptions of the degree of success in incorporating the SAICM emerging policy issues (EPs) and other issues of concern in activities from 2006-2015, asked between 14 November 2016 to 4 January 2017	290
------------	---	-----

III. Advancing and sharing chemicals management tools and approaches: taking stock, looking into the future

1/	Hazard assessment: progress in information generation and hazard characterization	
Table 1.1	Health hazards and environmental hazards – classes for global hazard classification	391
4/	Risk management decision-making: making it work in all countries	
Table 4.1	Forms of standards complemented with international examples relevant to chemicals and waste management	426
5/	Assessment of chemical and non-chemical alternatives: focusing on solutions	
Table 5.1	A functional substitution approach for chemicals in products and processes	437
Table 5.2	Components of an alternatives assessment	438
Table 5.3	Examples in the literature referring to potential regrettable substitution	443
Table 5.4	Examples of treaties, regulatory actions and non-regulatory initiatives with provisions for alternatives assessment or substitution	446
6/	Chemical risk management in facilities and during production	
Table 6.1	Selected activities of organizations engaged in addressing chemical accidents	454

IV. Enabling policies and action to support innovative solutions

2/	Green and sustainable chemistry education: nurturing a new generation of chemists	
Table 2.1	Sustainable chemistry teaching: laboratory content	516
3/	Strengthening sustainable chemistry technology innovation and financing	
Table 3.1	Institutional venturing tools	533
Table 3.2	Potential private investors for sustainable chemistry start-ups	535
Table 3.3	Examples of investments in sustainable chemistry start-ups by different investors	535
Table 3.4	The corporate approach to start-up development	538
Table 3.5	Examples of push and pull policies to advance sustainable chemistry innovation	540

5/ Fiscal incentives to advance sound chemicals management and sustainable chemistry

Table 5.1	Types of market-based instruments and examples of their application to chemicals management	556
-----------	---	-----

6/ Sustainable supply chain management for chemicals and waste in the life cycle

Table 6.1	From traditional to green and biomimetic chemistry technologies	574
-----------	---	-----

V. Scaling up collaborative action under the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development

1/ The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development: an integrated framework for action

Table 1.1	Indicative mapping of IOMC participating organizations' activities on the SDGs for sound chemicals and waste management	636
-----------	---	-----

2/ Strengthening collaborative action on chemicals and waste in line with the 2030 Agenda

Table 2.1	Integrating chemicals and waste management, and green and sustainable chemistry innovation, in relevant economic sectors: some opportunities	644
Table 2.2	Examples of opportunities for the contribution of international chemicals and waste agreements across economic sectors	645
Table 2.3	Example of a results chain to minimize adverse impacts	650

List of Boxes

I. The evolving chemicals economy: status and trends relevant for sustainability

1/ The chemical industry

Box 1.1	Women in leadership positions in the chemical industry	36
Box 1.2	The benefits of thorough due diligence during mergers and acquisitions	39

2/ Trends in production and sales of specific chemicals

Box 2.1	Microplastics	60
---------	---------------	----

3/ Megatrends and chemical-intensive industry sectors: risks and opportunities

Box 3.1	Lead-acid batteries: avoiding future legacies	75
---------	---	----

4/ Global supply chains, chemicals in products, and circularity

Box 4.1	An example of challenges related to the interface of chemicals, waste and circularity: the phthalate plasticizer DEHP in PVC	88
---------	--	----

5/ Chemical pollution: emissions, releases and wastes

Box 5.1	Outcomes of the effectiveness evaluation of the Stockholm Convention	96
Box 5.2	Releases of chemicals used in fracking	101

6/ Concentrations of chemicals in the environment and humans

Box 6.1	Bioaccumulation and biomagnification	122
Box 6.2	Concentrations of legacy chemicals in water bodies: the Mariana and Kermadec trenches and Lake Geneva	131

7/ Environmental, health and social effects of chemicals

Box 7.1	Coral reefs are under threat from chemical pollution	148
Box 7.2	Endocrine-disrupting chemicals	153

8/ The economic benefits of action and the costs of inaction

Box 8.1	Externalities: the differences between market prices and social costs	165
Box 8.2	Current methodological developments: SACAME	168
Box 8.3	Utility, economic value and economic cost	169

II. Where do we stand in achieving the 2020 goal – assessing overall progress and gaps

1/	International agreements and frameworks on chemicals and waste	
Box 1.1	The elements of the Strategic Approach to International Chemicals Management	225
2/	Reporting schemes and indicators under international agreements and frameworks	
Box 2.1	The reporting mechanism for the WHO IHR	233
Box 2.2	SAICM indicators of progress	236
Box 2.3	The SAICM Overall Orientation and Guidance (OOG)	237
3/	Achieving the 2020 goal: what do we know?	
Box 3.1	Synergies across multilateral treaties on chemicals and waste	250
Box 3.2	SAICM Implementation Plan for Guyana	261
Box 3.3	Potential considerations for the selection of future issues of global concern	268
Box 3.4	Identified challenges in creating a coherent global knowledge base: lessons for strengthening the science-policy interface	268
Box 3.5	SAICM independent evaluation: on-line survey of stakeholders	289
4/	Emerging policy issues and other issues of concern	
Box 4.1	Preventing suicides attributable to pesticides through regulatory measures in Sri Lanka	301
Box 4.2	The Higg Index: advancing sustainability in the apparel industry	304
Box 4.3	Helping doctors to make informed prescription choices	313
Box 4.4	First standardized test method specifically for nanomaterials adopted by the OECD	315

III. Advancing and sharing chemicals management tools and approaches: taking stock, looking into the future

1/	Hazard assessment: progress in information generation and hazard characterization	
Box 1.1	The eChemPortal	393
Box 1.2	The European Chemicals Agency's longer-term vision for improving access to information	394
2/	Exposure assessment: benefiting from internationally available resources	
Box 2.1	Human exposure to chemicals – environmental pathways	397
Box 2.2	Programmes to monitor chemicals in humans and the environment	398
Box 2.3	OECD Emission Scenario Documents (ESDs)	402

3/ Risk assessment: opportunities to improve and accelerate progress

Box 3.1	Canada's Chemicals Management Plan	408
Box 3.2	The WHO Human Health Risk Assessment Toolkit	410
Box 3.3	The OECD Environmental Risk Assessment Toolkit	410
Box 3.4	Assessing exposure to chemical mixtures: WHO and EFSA activities	412
Box 3.5	The WHO One Health initiative	413
Box 3.6	Solution-focused risk assessment	415

4/ Risk management decision-making: making it work in all countries

Box 4.1	Tools used by retailers to identify hazardous chemicals in their products and to select safer and greener alternatives	431
Box 4.2	Decision-making for industrial chemicals: the IOMC Toolbox	434

5/ Assessment of chemical and non-chemical alternatives: focusing on solutions

Box 5.1	Dental amalgam – informed substitution in developing countries	440
Box 5.2	Proactive substitution by frontrunners: safer alternatives for brominated flame retardants in the electronics sector	442
Box 5.3	Replacing highly hazardous pesticides through Integrated Pest Management and non-chemical alternatives	444
Box 5.4	The mix of regulatory and non-regulatory policies to support informed substitution	447
Box 5.5	The importance of policies that include technical support structures: chlorinated solvent substitution	448
Box 5.6	Substitution of methyl bromide: the importance of having a range of alternatives and stakeholder engagement	450
Box 5.7	Mercury-free hospitals: the importance of participatory substitution programmes and alternative technology replacements	451

6/ Chemical risk management in facilities and during production

Box 6.1	Lessons learned from Natech accidents triggered by Hurricane Harvey	459
Box 6.2	Formalizing artisanal and small-scale gold mining	466

IV. Enabling policies and action to support innovative solutions

1/ Envisioning and shaping the future of chemistry

Box 1.1	The 12 Principles of Green Chemistry	508
---------	--------------------------------------	-----

2/ Green and sustainable chemistry education: nurturing a new generation of chemists

Box 2.1	Examples of universities offering courses in green and sustainable chemistry	517
Box 2.2	Green chemistry and sustainability in professional education and training courses: a case study from Brazil	519

Box 2.3	The CHEM21 online learning platform	522
3/ Strengthening sustainable chemistry technology innovation and financing		
Box 3.1	Recommended actions for universities in low- and middle-income countries facing the challenge of transforming themselves into third generation universities	528
Box 3.2	Insights from entrepreneurs on challenges for sustainable chemistry start-ups	531
Box 3.3	Selected sustainable chemistry awards and pitching events targeting start-up	532
Box 3.4	Open collaborations in sustainable chemistry innovation	539
4/ Evolving and new business models		
Box 4.1	Chemical Leasing in a middle-income country: wastewater treatment in Colombia	544
Box 4.2	The Shanghai Chemical Industry Park	548
Box 4.3	Ocean Sole: a social enterprise in Kenya	552
5/ Fiscal incentives to advance sound chemicals management and sustainable chemistry		
Box 5.1	Shifting taxes from labour to resource use and pollution	556
Box 5.2	Risk-based pesticide taxation in Norway and Denmark	558
Box 5.3	The fertilizer subsidy programme in India	559
Box 5.4	Chemical taxes on consumer products in Denmark and Sweden	560
Box 5.5	Different effects of charges on plastic bags in Ireland and South Africa	560
Box 5.6	The waste electric and electronic equipment (WEEE) recycling fund in China	562
6/ Sustainable supply chain management for chemicals and waste in the life cycle		
Box 6.1	Examples of chemical sustainability initiatives in the retail sector	566
Box 6.2	Downstream sector sustainable supply chain initiatives addressing chemicals of concern	567
Box 6.3	Together for Sustainability: chemical industry collaboration with suppliers to advance sustainability	568
Box 6.4	Strengthening information flows between the chemical industry to downstream customers	569
Box 6.5	The Circular Economy Package	572
Box 6.6	The Design Thinking approach to advance sustainability	573
7/ Sustainability metrics and reporting: measuring progress, strengthening accountability		
Box 7.1	Johnson's Greenlist™ Programme	579
Box 7.2	Sustainability information of relevance to the financial sector	581
8/ Empowering and protecting citizens, workers and consumers		
Box 8.1	Excerpts from paragraph 15 of the SAICM Overarching Policy Strategy (OPS)	591
Box 8.2	The US EPA's Chemical Access Data Tool	592
Box 8.3	Examples of mobile applications for disseminating chemical information	594
Box 8.4	Cases of human rights protection in matters of chemicals and waste	600

V. **Scaling up collaborative action under the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development**

1/ The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development: an integrated framework for action

Box 1.1 Planetary boundaries, chemicals and waste, and the 2030 Agenda: a research perspective 635

2/ Strengthening collaborative action on chemicals and waste in line with the 2030 Agenda

Box 2.1 The WHO Chemicals Road Map 643

Box 2.2 The integrated results and indicator framework under the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 648



**Introduction: chemicals
and waste in the
broader sustainable
development context**

Contents

1/ The global context for the sound management of chemicals and waste	2
2/ Milestones in international chemicals and waste management	5
3/ Opportunities to link international policy agendas	10
4/ Overview of the Global Chemicals Outlook II	14
References	15

1/ The global context for the sound management of chemicals and waste

The *Global Chemicals Outlook II* (GCO-II) is released at a crucial moment. Since the publication of the GCO-I in 2013, the global consumption and production of chemicals¹ has continued to grow, with a number of trends that are a cause for concern about human health and the environment. This period also witnessed the adoption in 2015 of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development and its 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), which include several targets specifically related to chemicals and waste management. Shortly thereafter, the International Conference on Chemicals Management (ICCM), which is the governing body of the Strategic Approach to International Chemicals Management (SAICM), initiated an intersessional process to prepare by 2020 recommendations regarding the Strategic Approach and the sound management of chemicals and waste beyond 2020. By using a back-casting approach that envisaged a sustainable future, the GCO-II has identified a range of actions for consideration by policymakers around the world and informing chemicals and waste management beyond 2020.

Production, use and trade of chemicals are growing in all regions, driven by global megatrends

Global income levels are rising and the global middle class is expanding, creating increasing demand for a range of goods and products for which chemistry is essential. Chemical-intensive industry sectors (e.g. construction, agriculture, electronics, cosmetics, mining and textiles) are growing, affecting market demand for chemicals and creating both risks and opportunities. In light of these trends and the changing consumption and production patterns that accompany them, the chemical industry is growing rapidly. The production and consumption of chemicals has spread worldwide, with an increasing share now located in low- and middle-income countries, many of which may have limited regulatory capacity. Cross-border trade in chemicals and products is also growing, and increasing amounts of chemicals are shipped through long and complex global supply chains.

Table 1.1 Chemicals and waste in the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development: SDG Targets 3.9 and 12.4

SDG 3: Ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages



Target 3.9: By 2030, substantially reduce the number of deaths and illnesses from hazardous chemicals and air, water and soil pollution and contamination.

SDG 12: Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns



Target 12.4: By 2020, achieve the environmentally sound management of chemicals and all wastes throughout their life cycle, in accordance with agreed international frameworks, and significantly reduce their release to air, water and soil in order to minimize their adverse impacts on human health and the environment.

¹ The term "chemicals" is understood throughout this report to include pharmaceuticals, unless otherwise noted.

Sound management and innovations in chemistry are essential for sustainable development

From pharmaceuticals and plant protection products to the production of cars, computers and textiles, many manufactured chemicals have helped improve human health, food security, productivity and quality of life throughout the world. While the number of chemicals registered by the American Chemical Society's global Chemical Abstracts Service exceeds 142 million, only a fraction of these chemicals are placed on the market (American Chemistry Council [ACC] 2018).


A 2019 report jointly developed by the United Nations Environment Programme and the International Council of Chemical Associations estimated the total number of industrial chemicals in commerce globally at 40,000 to 60,000, with 6,000 of these chemicals accounting for more than 99 per cent of the total volume (United Nations Environment Programme [UNEP] and International Council of Chemical Associations [ICCA] 2019). The number of chemicals on the market is exceeded by a larger – and growing – number of chemical-intensive products such

as computers, mobile phones, furniture and personal care products – with billions of items sold each year.

Many chemicals, products and wastes have hazardous properties and continue to cause significant adverse impacts on human health and the environment because they are not properly managed. Chemicals or groups of chemicals that are receiving attention in research and policymaking because of their hazardous properties and potential risks include, but are not limited to, carcinogens, mutagens and chemicals hazardous to reproduction, persistent bio-accumulative and toxic substances, endocrine-disrupting chemicals, and chemicals with neurodevelopmental effects. According to 2018 data compiled by the European Environment Agency (EEA), approximately 62 per cent of the total volume of chemicals consumed in the European Union (EU) in 2016 were hazardous to health (EEA 2018).

Ensuring the sound management of chemicals and waste, as called for internationally at the highest political level during several major United Nations Conferences, is essential to advance sustainable development across its

Figure 1.1 Share of the volume of chemicals consumed in the European Union in 2016 by hazard categories (based on European Environment Agency 2018)



According to data from Eurostat (the statistical office of the EU) compiled in 2018 by the European Environment Agency, approximately 62 per cent of the 345 million tonnes of chemicals consumed in the EU in 2016 were hazardous to health. In presenting the data, the Agency noted that volumes of hazardous chemicals consumed are not a proxy for the risks posed by those chemicals.

social, economic and environmental dimensions. Chemistry and the chemical industry have important roles to play in achieving the sound management of chemicals and waste within a sustainable development context. Addressing legacies, coupled with innovations in chemistry

and materials science, has the potential to create safer chemicals, increase resource efficiency, and reduce the health and environmental impacts associated with the current global production and consumption system.



2/ Milestones in international chemicals and waste management

The transboundary movement of chemicals through the air or water, as well as international trade in chemicals and products, call for global collaborative action to minimize adverse impacts. For several decades the international community has recognized the need for action. It has undertaken various initiatives to advance the sound management of chemicals and waste, which have played an important role in global efforts to minimize their adverse impacts. In developing a future framework for the sound management of chemicals and waste beyond 2020, valuable lessons can be learned from their design and implementation. Some of these initiatives are explored in more detail in Part II of the GCO-II, where progress towards the sound management of chemicals and waste is assessed.

From early action to the Rio Earth Summit

Examples of early action include the International Labour Organization (ILO) White Lead (Painting) Convention (1921), the establishment of the Codex Alimentarius Commission (1961), and the United Nations Recommendations on the Transport of Dangerous Goods (1956). At the 1992 United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED), also known as the Rio Earth Summit, Heads of State and Government adopted Agenda 21, an international action plan which promoted an integrated life cycle approach and contained dedicated chapters on the environmentally sound management of toxic chemicals (Chapter 19) and hazardous wastes (Chapter 20). Also adopted in 1992, the Rio Declaration on Environment and Development contained a number of principles and approaches relevant to the sound management of chemicals and waste, including the polluter pays principle, the right-to-know, and the precautionary approach.

The 2002 Johannesburg Plan of Implementation and the 2020 timeline




In 2002 the World Summit on Sustainable Development (WSSD) adopted the Johannesburg Plan of Implementation (JPOI), in which Governments agreed to “renew the commitment, as advanced in Agenda 21, to sound management of chemicals throughout their life cycle and of hazardous wastes for sustainable development as well as for the protection of human health and the environment, inter alia, aiming to achieve, by 2020, that chemicals are used and produced in ways that lead to the minimization of significant adverse effects on human health and the environment [...]” (paragraph 23) (UN 2002). Countries further agreed to “using transparent science-based risk assessment procedures and science-based risk management procedures, taking into account the precautionary approach [...], and support developing countries in strengthening their capacity for sound management of chemicals and hazardous wastes by providing technical and financial assistance”. A number of actions at all levels to achieve these goals were outlined, including to:

- › promote the ratification and implementation of relevant international instruments;
- › develop a strategic approach to international chemicals management;
- › implement the globally harmonized system for the classification and labelling of chemicals;
- › encourage partnerships;
- › promote efforts to prevent international illegal trafficking;

- › encourage development of coherent and integrated information on chemicals, e.g. through Pollutant Release and Transfer Registers (PRTs); and
 - › promote reduction of the risks posed by heavy metals (UN 2002).
- The 2020 timeline was reiterated at the Rio plus 20 Summit in 2012 (referring to chemicals and hazardous waste) (UN 2012), as well as in the 2030 Sustainable Development Agenda through SDG Target 12.4 (referring to chemicals and all wastes). SDG Target 3.9, which focuses on reducing deaths and illnesses, features a 2030 timeline.

Figure 2.1 Key milestones in global sustainable development governance (which also included the sound management of chemicals and waste)



Multilateral treaties and voluntary agreements

Since around the time of the Rio Summit and in the following decades, the international community has taken concerted action through multilateral treaties on specific hazardous chemicals and issues of global concern. Prominent examples, explored in greater detail in Part II, include the following:

- › Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer (entry into force in 1989)
- › Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and their Disposal (entry into force in 1992)
- › International Labour Organization (ILO) Conventions C170 - Chemicals Convention (entry into force in 1993) and C174 - Prevention of Major Industrial Accidents Convention (entry into force in 1997)
- › Rotterdam Convention on the Prior Informed Consent Procedure for Certain Hazardous Chemicals and Pesticides in International Trade (entry into force in 2004)
- › Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) (entry into force in 2004)
- › World Health Organization (WHO) International Health Regulations (IHR) (2005) (entry into force in 2007)
- › Minamata Convention on Mercury (entry into force in 2017)

Moreover, several voluntary international instruments adopted by the governing bodies of international organizations address a range of chemicals and issues. Prominent examples include the International Code of Conduct on Pesticide Management (hereinafter referred to as the “Code of Conduct”), originally developed in 1985 with a fourth version adopted in 2013, and the Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS), which was adopted in 1992. The GHS was specifically mentioned in the 2002 Johannesburg Plan of Implementation with a view to the system being fully operational by 2008.

Adoption of the Strategic Approach to International Chemicals Management in 2006



In 2006, following the call made at the WSSD, the Strategic Approach to International Chemicals Management (SAICM) was adopted by the first session of the ICCM (ICCM1) as a multi- and cross-sectoral and participatory strategic approach. SAICM's overall objective is “to achieve the sound management of chemicals throughout their life cycle so that by the year 2020, chemicals are produced and used in ways that minimize significant adverse impacts on the environment and human health” (Secretariat of the Strategic Approach to International Chemicals Management [SAICM Secretariat], UNEP and WHO 2006). SAICM comprises the Dubai Declaration on International Chemicals Management, which expressed high-level political commitment to SAICM, and an Overarching Policy Strategy (OPS).



BASEL CONVENTION



ROTTERDAM CONVENTION



STOCKHOLM CONVENTION



International
Labour
Organization



MINAMATA
CONVENTION
ON MERCURY

The Overarching Policy Strategy referenced the WSSD 2020 timeline, referring to the “2020 goal”, a term subsequently used in various international fora. Objectives to achieve this goal are grouped under five areas: risk reduction, knowledge and information, governance, capacity building and technical cooperation, and illegal international traffic. Furthermore, the Dubai Declaration recommends the use and further development of the Global Plan of Action as a working tool and guidance document for meeting the commitments to chemicals management expressed in, among others, the Johannesburg Plan of Implementation. In 2015, ICCM4 endorsed the “overall orientation and guidance for achieving the 2020 goal of sound management of chemicals” as a voluntary tool that will assist in the prioritization of efforts for the sound management of chemicals and waste as a contribution to the overall implementation of the Strategic Approach.

Chemicals and waste in the 2030 Sustainable Development Agenda

The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, including its 17 SDGs (Figure 2.2) and 169 targets, was adopted by the United Nations General Assembly at a summit of Heads of State in 2015. The SDGs, which are integrated and indivisible, integrate the three dimensions of

sustainable development: economic, social and environmental. While SDG Targets 12.4 and 3.9 are of direct relevance for a range of chemicals and waste management issues, SDG Target 6.3 focuses specifically on improving water quality. The sound management of chemicals and waste is also relevant for the achievement of many other SDGs. Those include halting biodiversity loss, clean water and sanitation, facilitating access to clean energy, climate action, ensuring quality education, and gender equality. Furthermore, implementation of other SDGs is essential in achieving the sound management of chemicals and waste, such as those concerned with education, financing and partnerships.

Chemicals and sustainability: concerns and opportunities

Despite global agreement reached at high-level UN Conferences and significant action already taken, scientists continue to express concerns regarding the lack of progress towards the sound management of chemicals and waste. These include calls for systemic and transformational changes towards safer chemicals and innovations in chemistry that will contribute to sustainable development.

In this context, “green chemistry” (Anastas and Eghbali 2010), “sustainable chemistry” (Blum

Figure 2.2 The Sustainable Development Goals



Sound management of chemicals and waste cuts across the Sustainable Development Goals. It is relevant for the achievement of much of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.

et al. 2017), “one-world chemistry” (Matlin *et al.* 2016) and related concepts are challenging chemistry to help meet sustainable development needs. Other stakeholders have raised similar concerns. A number of initiatives in the private sector have also identified opportunities to advance sustainability in relation to chemicals. These initiatives include the World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD) Chemical Sector SDG Roadmap (WBCSD 2017), the Together for Sustainability initiative bringing together 22 companies in the chemical industry, and the Zero Discharge of Hazardous Chemicals (ZDHC) initiative bringing together frontrunner textile companies (ZDHC 2018).

Intersessional process on the Strategic Approach and the sound management of chemicals and waste beyond 2020

In 2015 Governments and other stakeholders participating in ICCM4 noted that “in most countries more progress has to be made towards actually minimizing the significant adverse effects

on human health and the environment that may be associated with some chemical production, use and end-of-life disposal”. They also noted “with urgency the limited time remaining to achieve the 2020 goal” (SAICM Secretariat 2015). Shortly after the adoption of the 2030 Agenda in 2015, Governments and other stakeholders participating in ICCM4 initiated a process to prepare recommendations regarding the Strategic Approach and the sound management of chemicals and waste beyond 2020. The Conference agreed that the process should be open to all stakeholders and be concluded by ICCM5 in 2020. The period until ICCM5 in 2020 thus represents a historic window of opportunity for reflection on lessons learned in international chemicals and waste management, some of which has already started within the environment sector, as shown in Resolution 1/5 adopted by the United Nations Environment Assembly (UNEA) in 2014 (United Nations Environment Assembly of the United Nations Environment Programme [UNEA] 2014).



3/ Opportunities to link international policy agendas

Given the relevance of chemicals and waste across the 2030 Agenda, the beyond 2020 intersessional process provides an opportunity to link and create synergies between chemicals and waste management and other international policy agendas.

Chemicals and health



The sound management of chemicals and waste plays an important role in avoiding and minimizing risks posed by harmful chemicals in order to protect human health, in particular that of vulnerable populations such as pregnant women, infants and children. While the links between chemicals and health are well-established and the health sector has been an important partner in efforts to minimize risks, further efforts to strengthen linkages between the achievement of SDG Targets 12.4 and 3.9,

increase awareness of the important role of the health sector in the management of chemicals, and enhance its participation in international chemicals management activities can build on the UNEA-3 Resolution on chemicals and health (UNEA 2018a), which underlined the importance of chemicals management for human and environmental health, and the WHO Chemicals Road Map, developed based on the World Health Assembly Resolution 69.4 and approved by the 70th World Health Assembly in 2017, which aims to enhance health sector engagement in international chemicals management (WHO 2017).

Chemicals and the world of work

Workers are among those most exposed to hazardous chemicals in various sectors and across global supply chains. Ratification and implementation of international labour standards help achieve decent work that is safe and healthy, while simultaneously advancing towards greener work processes.

Chemicals and climate change

Linkages range from the remobilization of chemicals due to melting glaciers, to reducing the greenhouse gas emissions of the chemical industry, to the potential of chemistry to develop adaptation and mitigation solutions. The chemical industry and downstream sectors therefore have an important role to play in achieving the objectives of the Paris Agreement.

Chemicals and biodiversity

Hazardous chemicals not only affect human health, but also have significant adverse effects on terrestrial and aquatic life. Successful efforts to minimize the risks posed by hazardous chemicals can thus reduce direct pressures on biodiversity. The critical role of pollution and

chemicals was recognized in the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 (UNEP 2010), adopted under the Convention on Biological Diversity. Given current activities to develop a biodiversity framework beyond 2020, opportunities exist to create linkages with the chemicals and waste process beyond 2020.

Chemicals, agriculture and food

Chemicals play a major role with respect to agriculture and food, for example in plant protection and food conservation. This link has long been recognized, and many countries have long-standing legislation to control chemicals used in agriculture and food production. International agreements and bodies that address these and related topics include the Code of Conduct and the Codex Alimentarius, which is a collection of international food standards.

Chemicals and sustainable consumption and production

Target 12.4 is embedded in SDG 12, “Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns”, reflecting the insight that chemicals and waste management is inextricably linked to the broader quest for resource efficiency, waste reduction, and the need to decouple economic growth from natural resource use and environmental impacts. Individuals, companies and organizations play a critical role through their consumption choices and

© Alan D. Wilson, Sow and cub Polar Bears (*Ursus maritimus*) in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge, Alaska. CC-BY 3.0



directly or indirectly impact chemicals production and sustainability. The realization that a global shift towards sustainable consumption and production would require the commitment of diverse actors throughout the world spurred Heads of State and Government at Rio+20 to adopt the 10-Year Framework of Programmes on Sustainable Consumption and Production Patterns (10YFP) (UNEP 2013). The 10YFP seeks to develop, replicate and scale up sustainable consumption and production policies and initiatives in areas such as public procurement, consumer information, education, construction, and food systems. All of these areas are highly relevant from a chemicals and waste perspective, pointing towards opportunities to strengthen linkages with the 10YFP.

Chemicals and the international pollution agenda


As highlighted in 2017 at the third session of the United Nations Environment Assembly (UNEA-3), whose theme was “Towards a Pollution-Free Planet”, chemicals and waste issues are a key dimension of a broader international and integrated approach to pollution. Chemicals

and waste issues were also identified as a key dimension of a broader international and integrated approach to address pollution. Several resolutions were adopted which recognized these linkages, including on lead and on environment and health. The UNEA-3 Declaration requested UNEP to prepare an implementation plan on the issue of a pollution-free planet for consideration by UNEA-4 in 2019. As pointed out in that Declaration, meeting the need for rapid, large-scale and coordinated action against pollution and for moving towards a pollution-free planet is a long-term endeavour. Shaping a pollution-free planet and contributing effectively and equitably to the SDGs requires system-wide transformation and strengthened capacities – global, national and subnational – to act on air, water, soil, marine and coastal pollution and sound management of chemicals and waste (UNEA 2018b).

Recognizing the interface of chemicals and waste management

For many years the chemicals and waste agendas have been addressed separately, both internationally and in many countries. For example, in Agenda 21 chemicals and waste

Figure 3.1 The waste hierarchy, sustainable materials management and the circular economy (adapted from United States Environmental Protection Agency [US EPA] 2017)



The waste hierarchy strives to achieve similar objectives as the related concepts of sustainable materials management and the circular economy. They have in common the quest to minimize the use of materials and maximize reuse. The sound management of chemicals and waste and innovations in chemistry play a key role in enabling these concepts.

management were covered in separate chapters. However, it has been increasingly recognized that the design and use of safer chemicals and sustainable production processes is essential for reducing releases throughout the life cycles of chemicals and products, including during the reuse, the recycle and disposal stages. These front-of-the-pipe solutions also help ensure that secondary raw materials rechannelled into a circular economy are not contaminated with unwanted hazardous chemicals. In turn, the widely known waste hierarchy (Figure 3.1) focuses on source reduction, reuse and recycling of materials, while energy recovery, waste treatment and waste disposal are seen as least preferred options. The waste hierarchy also emphasizes sustainable material management, resource efficiency and life cycle management.

This brief discussion suggests that important aspects of chemicals and waste management are converging, in line with a life cycle management approach.

At the international level, critical progress towards bringing the chemicals and waste management concepts together has been made through SDG Target 12.4, under SDG 12 on sustainable consumption and production, and through including waste in the mandate of the intersessional process on the Strategic Approach and the sound management of chemicals and waste beyond 2020. While GCO-II focuses on the sound management of chemicals and front-of-the-pipe-solutions, the interface with waste management is addressed throughout the GCO-II.

4/ Overview of the Global Chemicals Outlook II

In responding to the UNEA mandate to provide options for the implementation of actions to reach relevant SDGs and targets up to and beyond 2020 and, among others, to assess progress towards the 2020 goal, the GCO-II is structured in five parts:

Part I sets the scene by presenting existing and emerging knowledge on production, releases, concentrations and effects of chemicals and waste, as well as the current state of knowledge for estimating the costs of inaction and benefits of action for the sound management of chemicals and waste. Part I also addresses relevant interlinkages, including global resource flows, megatrends, industry sector trends, and the growing complexity of global supply chains.

Part II assesses, to the extent possible, progress towards achieving the sound management of chemicals and waste as called for by the 2020 goal. Given the lack of consolidated data and fragmented indicators and reporting schemes, established through various multilateral treaties and voluntary international instruments, a qualitative approach is taken to assess progress.

Part III assesses progress and outlines opportunities concerning science-based approaches, tools, methodologies and instruments used in the management of chemicals to protect human health and the environment. Over the past decades, valuable lessons have been learned in their practical application, and opportunities have emerged to enhance their effectiveness, simplify their

use, and employ them more systematically in all countries. Part III also provides specific suggestions for developing countries and economies in transition to consider in order to benefit from scientific work undertaken in countries with advanced management schemes.

Part IV discusses enabling policies and action that have the potential to scale up innovative solutions to achieve the sound management of chemicals and waste. Advancing sound management and a future chemistry that is fully sustainable requires the engagement of new actors and the shaping of enabling policies and approaches ranging from education reform, support for technology innovation and financing, to innovative business models, sustainable supply chain management and empowerment of citizens, consumers and workers through information and participation rights.

Part V places insights generated in the four previous parts within the context of the 2030 Sustainable Development Agenda, focusing on opportunities for collaborative action to achieve the sound management of chemicals and waste. There is an emphasis on collaborative action to integrate chemicals and waste considerations into key economic and enabling sectors. Part V concludes with a forward-looking discussion with respect to securing commitment by key stakeholders relevant for the future framework on chemicals and waste beyond 2020. It also presents options for the implementation of actions at all levels until and beyond 2020.

References

- American Chemistry Council (2018). Chemical Abstracts Service. <http://support.cas.org/about-cas/cas-fact-sheets/registry-fact-sheet>. Accessed 15 May 2018.
- Anastas, P. and Eghbali, N. (2010). Green chemistry: principles and practice. *Chemical Society Reviews* 39(1), 301-312. <https://doi.org/10.1039/b918763b>.
- Blum, C., Bunke, D., Hungsberg, M., Roelofs, E., Joas, A., Joas, R., Blepp, M. and Stolzenberg, H.-C. (2017). The concept of sustainable chemistry: key drivers for the transition towards sustainable development. *Sustainable Chemistry and Pharmacy* 5, 94-104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scp.2017.01.001>.
- European Environment Agency (2018). Consumption of hazardous chemicals, 7 December. <https://www.eea.europa.eu/airs/2018/environment-and-health/production-of-hazardous-chemicals#tab-related-interactive-charts>. Accessed 19 January 2019.
- Matlin, S.A., Mehta, G., Hopf, H. and Krief, A. (2016). One-world chemistry and systems thinking. *Nature Chemistry* 8(5), 393-398. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.2498>.
- Secretariat of the Strategic Approach to International Chemicals Management (2015). *Report of the International Conference on Chemicals Management on the Work of its Fourth Session*. http://www.saicm.org/Portals/12/documents/meetings/ICCM4/doc/K1606013_e.pdf.
- Secretariat of the Strategic Approach to International Chemicals Management, United Nations Environment Programme and World Health Organization (2006). *Strategic Approach to International Chemicals Management: SAICM Texts and Resolutions of the International Conference on Chemicals Management*. Geneva. http://www.saicm.org/Portals/12/Documents/saicmtxts/New%20SAICM%20Text%20with%20ICCM%20resolutions_E.pdf.
- United Nations (2002). *World Summit on Sustainable Development, Johannesburg Declaration on Sustainable Development*. 4 September. Johannesburg. A/CONF.199/20. <http://www.un-documents.net/jburgdec>.
- United Nations (2012). United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development, Rio+20. <https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/rio20>. Accessed 11 September 2018.
- United Nations Environment Assembly of the United Nations Environment Programme (2014). Resolutions and decisions adopted by the United Nations Environment Assembly of the United Nations Environment Programme at its first session on 27 June 2014. <http://wedocs.unep.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.11822/17285/K1402364.pdf?sequence=3&isAllowed=y>.
- United Nations Environment Assembly of the United Nations Environment Programme (2018a). *Environment and Health*. UNEP/EA.3/Res.4. Nairobi. <https://papersmart.unon.org/resolution/uploads/k1800154.english.pdf>.
- United Nations Environment Assembly of the United Nations Environment Programme (2018b). *Implementation Plan "Towards a Pollution-Free Planet"*. UNEP/EA.4/3. Nairobi. <http://web.unep.org/environmentassembly/implementation-plan-%E2%80%9Ctowards-pollution-free-planet%E2%80%9D>.
- United Nations Environment Programme (2010). *Decision Adopted by the Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity at Its Tenth Meeting: X/2. The Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 and the Aichi Biodiversity Targets*. <https://www.cbd.int/doc/decisions/cop-10/cop-10-dec-02-en.pdf>.
- United Nations Environment Programme (2013). *The 10 Year Framework of Programmes on Sustainable Consumption and Production: Rio+20 Adopts the 10YFP*. <https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/944brochure10yfp.pdf>.
- United Nations Environment Programme and International Council of Chemical Associations (2019). *Knowledge Management and Information Sharing for the Sound Management of Industrial Chemicals*. Montevideo: Secretariat of the Strategic Approach to International Chemicals Management. <http://www.saicm.org/Portals/12/Documents/meetings/OEWG3/inf/OEWG3-INF-28-KMI.pdf>.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (2017). Sustainable materials management: non-hazardous materials and waste management hierarchy, 10 August. <https://www.epa.gov/smm/sustainable-materials-management-non-hazardous-materials-and-waste-management-hierarchy>. Accessed 21 November 2018.
- World Business Council for Sustainable Development (2017). *Chemical Sector SDG Roadmap*. <https://www.wbcsd.org/Programs/People/Sustainable-Development-Goals/Resources/Chemical-Sector-SDG-Roadmap>.
- World Health Organization (2017). *Chemicals Road Map: Road Map to Enhance Health Sector Engagement in the Strategic Approach to International Chemicals Management towards the 2020 Goal and Beyond*. <http://www.who.int/ipcs/saicm/roadmap/en/>.
- Zero Discharge of Hazardous Chemicals (2018). Leading the textile, leather and footwear industries towards Zero Discharge of Hazardous Chemicals. <https://www.roadmaptozero.com/>. Accessed 2 August 2018.

A photograph of two young children in a lush green rice field. They are carrying a large, heavy bundle of harvested rice stalks on a wooden pole balanced across their shoulders. The child on the left is wearing a blue denim shirt and pants, and is smiling. The child on the right is wearing a blue denim shirt and dark shorts. The background is a soft-focus green field under bright, natural light. A semi-transparent blue circular graphic is overlaid on the right side of the image, containing the text.

**Key messages for
policymakers: a call for
more ambitious action at
all levels**

The 2020 goal will not be achieved: business as usual is not an option

The findings of the GCO-II indicate that the sound management of chemicals and waste, and minimizing adverse impacts, will not be achieved by 2020. Furthermore, trends data presented in Part I suggest that the projected doubling of the global chemicals market between 2017 and 2030 will increase global chemical releases, exposures, concentrations, and adverse health and environmental impacts unless prevailing gaps to manage chemicals and waste are addressed worldwide. Business as usual is therefore not an option. However, accelerating progress in order to achieve sound management and minimize adverse impacts in the context of the 2030 Agenda is possible under a sustainability scenario. This will require more ambitious, urgent and worldwide collaborative action by all stakeholders and in all countries.

A comprehensive global framework is needed, with ambitious priorities and coherent indicators

To address gaps, a global framework for the sound management of chemicals and waste beyond 2020 needs to be developed that is aspirational, comprehensive, and creates incentives to foster commitment and engagement by all relevant actors in the value chain. Drawing upon lessons

learned from the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020, a global common vision, strategic goals, targets and indicators could facilitate linkages across all relevant agreements and initiatives, and make reporting schemes simpler, country-driven and linked to global targets. Under such a scheme, indicators would need to distinguish between outputs (e.g. adoption of legislation) and impacts (e.g. reduction of adverse impacts from hazardous chemicals).

Implementation of actions up to and beyond 2020

Responding to the United Nations Environment Assembly (UNEA) mandate to provide options for the implementation of actions to reach relevant Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets up to and beyond 2020, and based on a review of implementation of the 2020 goal to date, the GCO-II presents a range of options for the implementation of actions (hereinafter referred to as “actions”) to reach relevant SDGs and targets up to and beyond 2020. The identified actions are considered of particular relevance to developing and implementing an international approach for chemicals and waste management beyond 2020. Equally important, they target policy- and decision-makers around the world and from all stakeholder groups in order to generate enhanced commitment for implementation.



The actions are presented under 10 topics which were derived using a back-casting method, imagining a sustainability scenario, where legacy problems are addressed and future legacies are avoided, including through green and sustainable chemistry innovation and sustainable consumption and production. They also cover

commitments, already agreed internationally, which require urgent attention and renewed commitment due to implementation gaps. Examples include implementation of the Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS) and the strengthening of basic chemicals and waste management systems.

1. Develop effective management systems



Address prevailing capacity gaps across countries, strengthen national and regional legislation using a life cycle approach, and further strengthen institutions and programmes by:

- › promulgating, aligning and enforcing legislation and policies, including full implementation of the GHS, promulgating legislation for industrial and consumer products, and taking measures to address illegal international traffic;
- › developing national and regional chemicals and waste management action plans and programmes, linked to globally agreed targets and priorities; and
- › integrating chemicals and waste considerations into national and sectoral policies (e.g. agriculture, housing, transport and energy) to implement specific SDG targets.

2. Mobilize resources



Scale up adequate¹ resources and innovative financing for effective legislation, implementation and enforcement, particularly in developing countries and economies in transition, by:

- › scaling up efforts to integrate chemicals and waste management into national and sectoral budgets;
- › facilitating adequate external technical assistance, financial support and technology transfer to address issues causing greatest harm, including through new and innovative financing (e.g. fiscal incentives, cost recovery instruments, green bonds, venture capital); and
- › strengthening the integrated approach to financing through assessing its effectiveness and renewed commitment across all three components (mainstreaming, industry involvement, and dedicated external financing).

3. Assess and communicate hazards



Fill global data and knowledge gaps, and enhance international collaboration to advance chemical hazard assessments, classifications and communication by:

- › sharing existing hazard data and assessments globally, and increasing the mutual acceptance of testing data and hazard assessments across countries based on accepted methods and scientific criteria;
- › developing a global database of assessed and classified chemicals for information-sharing and promoting harmonization of classifications; and
- › setting targets to fill data gaps in order to fully understand globally the hazards of substances in commerce, and assessing progress.

¹ To facilitate better understanding of the term “adequate” in this context, further analysis and international dialogue are needed on certain topics such as sustainability of funding.

4. Assess and manage risks

Refine and share chemical risk assessment and risk management approaches globally to promote safe and sustainable use of chemicals and address emerging issues throughout the life cycle by:



- › sharing knowledge on existing risk assessment and management approaches and tools (e.g. exposure scenarios) more widely;
- › further developing and refining exposure, risk assessment and life cycle assessment (LCA) methods; and
- › taking into account and benefiting from opportunities for accelerated and effective risk management, such as placing the burden of proof on producers, advancing informed and non-regrettable substitution of chemicals of high concern, and using generic risk-based approaches, when possible.

5. Use life cycle approaches

Advance widespread implementation of sustainable supply chain management, full material disclosure, transparency and sustainable product design by:



- › promoting wide implementation of corporate sustainability and sustainable procurement policies;
- › developing harmonized approaches across sectors to share chemical information and to advance full material disclosure across supply chains, including chemical-intensive industry sectors and the recycling/waste sector;
- › strengthening collaboration by all actors in the supply chain in designing and using safer chemicals and sustainable products; and
- › promoting the integration of chemicals and waste considerations into corporate sustainability metrics and reporting.

6. Strengthen corporate governance

Enable and strengthen chemicals and waste management aspects of corporate sustainability policies, sustainable business models, and reporting by:



- › encouraging private sector frontrunner action to further develop voluntary standards that exceed basic compliance, and reviewing their effectiveness through interested stakeholders;
- › promoting sustainable business models, such as Chemical Leasing and eco-industrial parks; and
- › enhancing systematic use by investors of corporate sustainability and chemical footprint reporting, covering chemicals and waste management performance.

7. Educate and innovate

Integrate green and sustainable chemistry in education, research, and innovation policies and programmes by:



- › reforming chemistry curricula in tertiary, secondary, primary and professional education;
- › scaling up research initiatives, and technology innovation policies and programmes, that advance green and sustainable chemistry, particularly for start-up companies; and
- › facilitating a better global understanding of green and sustainable chemistry concepts.

8. Foster transparency

Empower workers, consumers and citizens to protect themselves and the environment by:



- › disclosing robust and understandable information about hazardous chemicals in the supply chain to workers, consumers, citizens and communities;
- › scaling up innovative programmes and technology applications to facilitate a better understanding by individuals of chemical and waste risks, and engaging citizens in data collection through citizen science;
- › promoting and supporting meaningful and active participation by all actors of civil society, particularly women, workers and indigenous communities, in regulatory and other decision-making processes that relate to chemical safety; and
- › taking action so that citizens have ready access to justice.

9. Bring knowledge to decision-makers

Strengthen the science-policy interface and use of science in monitoring progress, priority-setting (e.g. for emerging issues), and policymaking throughout the life cycle of chemicals and waste by:



- › taking steps to harmonize scientific research protocols (e.g. for biomonitoring);
- › developing science-based criteria to identify emerging issues at the international level, taking into account harm (e.g. using health impact information) and monitoring their implementation;
- › providing research funding to fill identified gaps and priorities; and developing a study on the global costs of inaction, and benefits of action, on chemicals and waste management, comparable to the Stern Review on *The Economics of Climate Change*; and
- › developing and improving institutional mechanisms to improve knowledge generation and management.

10. Enhance global commitment

Establish an ambitious and comprehensive global framework for chemicals and waste beyond 2020, scale up collaborative action, and track progress by:



- › developing an aspirational, overarching and widely owned global framework that encourages engagement by all relevant stakeholders; and developing global targets, milestones and indicators that distinguish between outputs and impacts;
- › providing opportunities for sharing internationally, and for input or peer reviews, action plans and roadmaps by stakeholders under a beyond 2020 framework;
- › considering how corporate sustainability metrics and reporting can play a stronger role in measuring progress in a beyond 2020 framework; and
- › monitoring, tracking and reviewing collective action and progress and making adjustments in regard to ambition, as needed.

Results-based stakeholder roadmaps, mutual reviews and accountability beyond 2020

The period up to the conclusion of the intersessional process, by 2020, provides a brief but critical window in which to develop

an ambitious and comprehensive global framework – as well as to increase engagement by all stakeholders. What mechanisms could facilitate the needed commitment, ownership, mutual accountability and collective monitoring

of progress towards achieving the sound management of chemicals and waste?

To facilitate the success of the global collaborative framework on chemicals and waste, all relevant stakeholders could be challenged to make voluntary yet clear public commitments and pledges, specifying concrete plans and steps to be taken. One option is that countries and all relevant stakeholders could develop, implement and share, internationally, results-based action plans and roadmaps to implement the 2030 Agenda from a chemicals and waste perspective. Action plans and roadmaps could be prepared in a collaborative manner by countries, industry sectors (e.g. the chemicals industry, chemical-intensive downstream sectors, retailers, the recycling industry), civil society organizations, the Inter-Organization Programme for the Sound Management of Chemicals (IOMC), academia and others. They could also be prepared at the thematic level and involve several stakeholders (e.g. for an initiative to fill data gaps in order to understand the hazard potential of chemicals).

There are examples of roadmaps already prepared which address the sound management of chemicals and waste management, or certain aspects of it. They include the World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD)

Chemical Sector SDG Roadmap and the WHO Chemicals Road Map. This proposed roadmap approach would be compatible with, and take into account, experience gained in other international forums, such as those concerned with climate change. These have evolved to include a more flexible, yet results-oriented and mutually accountable, approach to compiling commitments and action taken, with reviews taking place internationally to track process and adjust ambition levels, as appropriate.

Collectively, these action plans and roadmaps would provide an indication of commitments and allow assessing the extent to which collaborative action succeeds in making the progress needed to achieve the sound management of chemicals and waste. Commitments and progress could be made available to the public in order to monitor progress. Stakeholders could pledge and showcase their action plans and roadmaps within the beyond 2020 framework and benefit from the input of other stakeholders (which might take different forms, such as peer review). Pledges could be reviewed globally against agreed goals and targets, with adjustments made as appropriate. Frontrunners would be rewarded, and space would be given to key actors to step up and provide leadership.

