

Inputs for emerging consensual elements

The functions of the CPR, the Open Ended meeting of the CPR, the Subcommittee, and Annual Subcommittee are to be properly explained and clearly implemented. The proper follow through is advised so that no overlap occurs. Some of the proposal seems to be too generic and it should be more specific to target some changes. The latest technology and science should be implemented to connect among all the parties involved. The focus must be on the efficiency of the policy. If the policies are not clearly defined then it becomes very difficult to follow through and obtain results. The clear objectivity of the policies is the need of the hour while ensuring effective, participatory and inclusive inter-sessional preparations. Another question arises that how the optimal balance between the political negotiations will be created in the near future.

Taking the ownership is important and the participation of all Member states in the negotiations on UNEA resolutions is very crucial. Another worth noting point is to improve synergies in the development of the resolutions and any avoid duplication and contradiction between the UNEA resolutions and the MEAs governing bodies' resolutions. Encouraging the Members of the UNEA Bureau to participate in regional ministerial environmental forums and regional forums on sustainable development will be a very important factor to further identify relevant contributions and inputs to UNEA sessions. The science-policy interface at UNEA can be further strengthen by including strategic scheduling of the Science-Policy-Business Forum and other events and by making use of flagship scientific environmental assessments or by facilitating science-based decision making.

The inclusiveness of CPR meetings through the facilitation of remote participation of member states without representation in Nairobi is fundamental and of online access to documents and crucial information on meeting portals and the UNEA website is important too.