

[Pure Earth / Global Alliance on Health and Pollution](#) Response to Concept note from Costa Rica, Switzerland, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, and Uruguay on a draft resolution for a Science Policy Panel on Chemicals, Waste and Pollution

Thank you for the opportunity to comment upon the above referenced draft resolution for a Science Policy Panel of Chemicals, Waste and Pollution. Pure Earth and the Global Alliance on Health and Pollution are pleased to support this resolution.

Our comments are as follows:

1. A Science Policy Panel for chemicals, waste and pollution is sorely needed.
  - a. For decades, pollution and its harmful effects on people's health, economic growth and the planet have been neglected both by Governments and in the international development agenda.<sup>1</sup>
  - b. Pollution and health is now recognized by UNEP as one of its three strategic pillars alongside climate change and biodiversity. Despite this, pollution, chemicals and waste continue to receive far less attention and resources.
  - c. Although there are fragmented scientific bodies for chemicals, wastes and air pollution, mostly linked to individual Multilateral Environment Agreements, chemicals, waste and pollution as a whole is not adequately covered by any existing science policy interface (IPCC or IPBES).
2. **A science policy interface will help bring much needed visibility and focused attention to this critical issue and help to ensure that the scientific and policy interactions between pollution, biodiversity and climate are considered in all three key decision-making fora.**
  - a. Such a body would ensure (i) application of the best science to policy making and solutions, and (ii) the focused attention of governments and others (private sector, academia, civil society).
  - b. As currently envisaged, the proposed 2030 Global Biodiversity Framework does not pay much attention to the effects of pollution on water bodies, air, land and soil and their eco-systems. A future chemicals waste and pollution SPI could make specialized input to IPBES and international decision-making on biodiversity.
  - c. While traditional household air pollution is gradually being overcome, modern ambient air pollution combined with ever increasing urbanization is having a devastating effect on health and economic development that is often ignored in developing countries. By making the economic and health case for action SPI could lead to actions that would also benefit global action to combat climate change but that might otherwise be overlooked. In any case a pollution SPI should help to avoid silo-thinking policy errors such as the rush to diesel vehicles which was good for CO<sub>2</sub> emissions but bad for air pollution and ultimately led to poor long-term investment decisions.

3. Of the chemicals, waste and pollution agenda, exposure to fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>; i.e. air pollution) and Lead (Pb) are currently responsible for the highest burden of disease<sup>ii</sup> and are of significant concern to biodiversity and ecosystem health. Forest crop and open burning (i.e. air pollution not related to fossil fuel combustion) is not adequately covered under the IPCC. Therefore, **actions to address Lead (Pb) and non-fossil fuel based PM<sub>2.5</sub> should be prioritized and highlighted in the program of work of a science policy panel/ interface.**
4. We would fully support the proposal that the World Health Organization be invited to share in the work of shaping the SPI from the outset since health outcomes provide a crucial metric for prioritizing the importance of different chemical, waste and pollution challenges. As recognized in the concept note, other international organizations will need to be active players in the SPI once established.
5. Pure Earth and GAHP fully accept that to be authoritative and capture the attention of decision-makers the SPI needs to be inter-governmental in nature. However, we hope that the role of academia and civil society will be clearly recognized and a welcome given to all stakeholders as is the case in the Strategic Approach to International Chemicals Management.

#### Supporting Data and Information:

1. Pollution is well known to adversely impact human health, biodiversity, climate, and ecosystem health. It continues to be the largest environmental cause of premature death on the planet, responsible for 9 million deaths each year. This continues to be a conservative estimate, as the health impacts of many pollutants have not been fully quantified.<sup>iii</sup> This has been reaffirmed in the 2020 EU Chemicals Strategy for Sustainability: Toward a Toxic-Free Future.
2. Pollution is one of to the top five direct drivers of biodiversity loss.<sup>iv</sup>
  - a. Acid rain, mercury deposition, pesticides, herbicides, nitrogen and ammonia accumulations degrade soil and ecosystems and the species that depend on them.<sup>v</sup>
  - b. Lead poisoning is a significant cause of death of some rare or endangered species, including Californian Condors.<sup>vi</sup>
  - c. Pollution from nutrients, sewage and industrial effluents create dead zones in the marine and freshwater environments where oxygen levels cannot support life.<sup>vii</sup>
  - d. Polychlorinated biphenyls banned many years ago remain a legacy threat to cetacean populations, such as killer whales, affecting their reproduction and immune function.<sup>viii</sup>
  - e. Three species of vultures in India suffered population losses of >96% following poisoning with the veterinary medicine diclofenac as they consumed contaminated livestock carcasses.<sup>ix</sup>
3. Many pollutants bioaccumulate up the food chain.

- a. The best known example is prevalence of elevated levels of mercury in fish, especially large pelagic species such as tuna and shark, though elevated levels of cadmium and lead have also been documented in fish tissue.<sup>x</sup>
  - b. Heavy metals and other toxicants, such as lead, cadmium, arsenic have also been documented in food, including rice, wheat, dairy, eggs, chocolate and, particularly troublingly, in baby food such as infant cereal.<sup>xi</sup>
4. Pollution has adverse implications for antimicrobial resistance.
  - a. Industrial effluent, especially heavy metals such as lead, cadmium, and pharmaceuticals may contribute to antimicrobial resistance.<sup>xii</sup>
  - b. For example, case studies have documented increase in prevalence of antimicrobial resistance genes in Brazilian dairy cows that consumed heavy metal contaminated water, with consequences for their productivity.<sup>xiii</sup>
5. Pollution compromises delivery of key ecosystem services - provision of clean air, water and food - in both urban and rural locations.
  - a. Excessive nutrient loads in surface and groundwater and soil cause a decline in water and air quality and soil productivity.<sup>xiv</sup>
  - b. Air pollution has been documented to induce structural and function changes to surfaces of leaves, affecting growth and flowering.<sup>xv</sup>
  - c. Heavy metal bioaccumulation in both prey and predators, from insects and mice to loons, condors, raptors, pelagic fish, sharks, and alligators, can disrupt reproductive and feeding capacity, disturbing ecosystem balance and further putting endangered species at risk of extinction<sup>xvi</sup>.
6. Pollution undermines ecosystem resilience to climate change, whilst climate change increases the vulnerability of ecosystems to pollution.<sup>xvii</sup>
  - a. Reducing pollution and other local stressors is important for managing coral reef resilience in the face of climate change.<sup>xviii</sup>
  - b. Polar bears already struggling to contend with melting sea ice and access to prey are also exposed to high concentrations of persistent organic pollutants.<sup>xix</sup>
  - c. Climate change may increase releases of pollutants as temperature rises, sea ice melts and extreme weather becomes more frequent. There may be increases in uses of DDT to combat increased incidence of vector borne disease.<sup>xx</sup>
7. Lead (Pb) is particularly worrisome because:
  - a. One third of all children are lead poisoned - up to 800 million children globally have blood lead levels at or above 5 micrograms per decilitre ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ ), a level that the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention have stated requires intervention.<sup>xxi</sup> According to the World Health Organization, there is no safe level of lead exposure.<sup>xxii</sup>
  - b. Despite the global phaseout of leaded gasoline and efforts underway to ban lead paint, blood lead levels remain high, especially in low- and middle-income countries.<sup>xxiii</sup>

- c. Additional and significant sources of lead exposure are emerging, such as unsafe used lead acid battery recycling, lead contaminated spices, cookware, and pottery.<sup>xxiv</sup>
  - d. Lead permanently reduces intelligence, thereby draining economic growth, hindering prosperity and reducing lifetime earnings.<sup>xxv</sup>
  - e. It also damages brains so that people are more likely to be violent. There is evidence that violent crime rates drop when lead exposures are reduced.<sup>xxvi</sup>
  - f. Lead kills 900,000 people each year.<sup>xxvii</sup>
  - g. As outlined above, the impact of lead on biodiversity, the food chain, and implications for antimicrobial resistance are also highly concerning.
8. Pollution mitigation and prevention yields large returns on investment for human health and the economy. Data from the Lancet Commission on Pollution and Health, 2017:
- a. Pollution-related diseases reduce GDP in low- to middle-income countries by up to 2% per year.
  - b. Up to 7% of health spending in heavily polluted, rapidly developing middle-income countries goes to care for people made sick by pollution.
  - c. In the US, air pollution control has returned an estimated US \$30 in economic benefit (range, \$4–\$88) for every dollar invested since 1970—an aggregate benefit of \$1.5 trillion against an investment of \$65 billion.
  - d. Programs to halt lead exposures are do-able and cost-effective, and can have an enormous impact on health, economic growth, and security. The removal of lead from gasoline and the resulting increases in the American population’s IQ and productivity have returned an estimated \$200 billion (range, \$110–\$300 billion) to the American economy each year since 1980—an aggregate benefit to date of over \$6 trillion.

---

<sup>i</sup> Landrigan, Philip J., Richard Fuller, Nereus J.R. Acosta, Olusoji Adeyi, Robert Arnold, Niladri Nil Basu, Abdoulaye Bibi Baldé, et al. 2018. “The Lancet Commission on Pollution and Health.” *The Lancet* 391, no. 10119: 462-512. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(17\)32345-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(17)32345-0).

<sup>ii</sup> Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME). (2018). GBD 2017 Results Tool | GHDx. <http://ghdx.healthdata.org/gbd-results-tool>

<sup>iii</sup> Lancet Commission on Pollution and Health, 2017

<sup>iv</sup> <https://www.leaderspledgefornature.org/>

<sup>v</sup> Yuber Palacios-Torres, Karina Caballero-Gallardo, Jesus Olivero-Verbel (2018) Mercury pollution by gold mining in a global biodiversity hotspot, the Choco biogeographic region, Colombia. *Chemosphere*, 193, 421-430, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.10.160>; Ahmed Awad Abdelhady, Mahmoud M. Khalil, Esam Ismail, Ramadan S.A. Mohamed, Ahmed Ali, Moustafa Gamal Snousy, Jiawei Fan, Shengrui Zhang, Liu Yanhong, Jule Xiao (2019). Potential biodiversity threats associated with the metal pollution in the Nile–Delta ecosystem (Manzala lagoon, Egypt), *Ecological Indicators*, 98, 844-853, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2018.12.002>; Guthrie, S. et al (2018). The Impact of Ammonia Emissions from Agriculture on Biodiversity: An Evidence Synthesis. Available at: <https://royalsociety.org/-/media/policy/projects/evidence-synthesis/Ammonia/Ammonia-report.pdf>

<sup>vi</sup> Finkelstein, M. E., Doak, D. F., George, D., Burnett, J., Brandt, J., Church, M., Grantham, J., & Smith, D. R. (2012). Lead poisoning and the deceptive recovery of the critically endangered California condor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 109(28), 11449–11454. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1203141109>

- 
- vii UN Environment Program (2019). Global Chemicals Outlook II - From Legacies to Innovative Solutions: Implementing the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. Available at: <https://www.unep.org/explore-topics/chemicals-waste/what-we-do/policy-and-governance/global-chemicals-outlook>.
- viii Desforges, J.P., Hall, A., McConnell, B., Rosing-Asvid, A., Barber, J., Brownlow, A., De Guise, S., Eulaers, I., Jepson, P., Letcher, R., Levin, M., Ross, P., Samarra, F., Víkingsson, G., Sonne, C, and Dietz, R. (2018) Predicting global killer whale population collapse from PCB pollution. *361* (6409), 1373-1376
- ix Ogada, D. L., Keesing, F., & Virani, M. Z. (2012). Dropping dead: Causes and consequences of vulture population declines worldwide. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1249(1), 57-71.
- x Stamatis, N., Kamidis, N., Pigada, P., Stergiou, D., & Kallianiotis, A. (2019). Bioaccumulation levels and potential health risks of mercury, cadmium, and lead in albacore (*Thunnus alalunga*, bonnaterre, 1788) from the Aegean sea, Greece. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(5). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16050821>; Gentès, S., Löhrer, B., Legeay, A., Mazel, A.F., Anschutz, P., Charbonnier, C., Tessier, E., Maury-Brachet, R. (2020). Drivers of variability in mercury and methylmercury bioaccumulation and biomagnification in temperate freshwater lakes, *Chemosphere*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.128890>.
- xi Gupta, S., Satpati, S., Nayek, S. *et al.* (2010) Effect of wastewater irrigation on vegetables in relation to bioaccumulation of heavy metals and biochemical changes. *Environ Monit Assess* **165**, 169–177; Yang, J., Chen, T., Lei, M., Zhou, X., Huang, Q., Ma, C., Gu, R., & Guo, G. (2015). New isotopic evidence of lead contamination in wheat grain from atmospheric fallout. *Environ Sci Pollut Res*, 7; Suomi, J., Tuominen, P., Niinistö, S., Virtanen, S. M., & Savela, K. (2018). Dietary heavy metal exposure of Finnish children of 3 to 6 years. *Food Additives & Contaminants: Part A*, 35(7), 1305–1315. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19440049.2018.1480065> ; Bischoff K, Higgins W, Thompson B, Ebel JG. (2014) Lead excretion in milk of accidentally exposed dairy cattle. *Food Addit Contam Part A Chem Anal Control Expo Risk Assess*31(5):839-44; Kumar A, Kumar A, M M S CP, Chaturvedi AK, Shabnam AA, Subrahmanyam G, Mondal R, Gupta DK, Malyan SK, S Kumar S, A Khan S, Yadav KK. (2020) Lead Toxicity: Health Hazards, Influence on Food Chain, and Sustainable A, Giannitti F, Woods LW, Mete A, Puschner B. (2013) Public health implications of lead poisoning in backyard chickens and cattle: four cases. *Vet Med (Auckl)* 3;4:11-20.
- xii Rodgers, K., McLellan, I., Peshkur, T. *et al.* (2019) Can the legacy of industrial pollution influence antimicrobial resistance in estuarine sediments?. *Environ Chem Lett* **17**, 595–607; Eggers, S., Safdar, N. & Malecki, K.M. (2018) Heavy metal exposure and nasal *Staphylococcus aureus* colonization: analysis of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). *Environ Health* **17**, 2 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12940-017-0349-7>; Gaeta,N.C, Bean, E., Miles, A., Ubriaco Oliveira Gonçalves de Carvalho, D., Reyes Alemán, M., Silva Carvalho, J., Gregory, L., Ganda, E. (2020) A Cross-Sectional Study of Dairy Cattle Metagenomes Reveals Increased Antimicrobial Resistance in Animals Farmed in a Heavy Metal Contaminated Environment. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 11 DOI: [10.3389/fmicb.2020.590325](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.590325)
- xiii Gaeta,N.C, Bean, E., Miles, A., Ubriaco Oliveira Gonçalves de Carvalho, D., Reyes Alemán, M., Silva Carvalho, J., Gregory, L., Ganda, E. (2020) A Cross-Sectional Study of Dairy Cattle Metagenomes Reveals Increased Antimicrobial Resistance in Animals Farmed in a Heavy Metal Contaminated Environment. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 11 DOI: [10.3389/fmicb.2020.590325](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.590325)
- xiv Yonghong Wu, Junzhuo Liu, Renfang Shen, Bojie Fu (2017) Mitigation of nonpoint source pollution in rural areas: From control to synergies of multi ecosystem services, *Science of The Total Environment*, 607–608, 1376-1380 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.07.105>; E. Paoletti, M. Schaub, R. Matyssek, G. Wieser, A. Augustaitis, A.M. Bastrup-Birk, A. Bytnerowicz, M.S. Günthardt-Goerg, G. Müller-Starck, Y. Serengil (2010) Advances of air pollution science: From forest decline to multiple-stress effects on forest ecosystem services, *Environmental Pollution*, Volume 158, Issue 6, 1986-1989, ISSN 0269-7491, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2009.11.023>; Livesely SJ, McPherson EG and Calfapietra, C. (2016) The Urban Forest and Ecosystem Services: Impacts on Urban Water, Heat, and Pollution Cycles at the Tree, Street, and City Scale.. *Journal of Environmental Quality*. <https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2015.11.0567>; Colin M. Beier, Jesse Caputo, Gregory B. Lawrence, Timothy J. Sullivan (2017) Loss of ecosystem services due to chronic pollution of forests and surface waters in the Adirondack region (USA), *Journal of Environmental Management*, Volume 191,19-27, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.12.069>.
- xv Prabhat Kumar Rai, (2016). Biodiversity of roadside plants and their response to air pollution in an Indo-Burma hotspot region: implications for urban ecosystem restoration, *Journal of Asia-Pacific Biodiversity*, 9 (1), 47-55 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.japb.2015.10.011>; Honour, S. L., Bell, J. N. B., Ashenden, T. W., Cape, J. N., & Power, S. A.

---

(2009). Responses of herbaceous plants to urban air pollution: effects on growth, phenology and leaf surface characteristics. *Environmental Pollution*, 157(4), 1279–1286. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2008.11.049>

<sup>xvi</sup> Tellez M, Merchant M. (2015) Biomonitoring Heavy Metal Pollution Using an Aquatic Apex Predator, the American Alligator, and Its Parasites. *PLoS One*. 10(11):e0142522; Xu, Q., Fang, S., Wang, Z. *et al.* (2006) Heavy Metal Distribution in Tissues and Eggs of Chinese Alligator (*Alligator sinensis*). *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol* 50, 580–586. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-005-1018-3>; Kim SW, Han SJ, Kim Y, Jun JW, Giri SS, Chi C, Yun S, Kim HJ, Kim SG, Kang JW, Kwon J, Oh WT, Cha J, Han S, Lee BC, Park T, Kim BY, Park SC. (2019) Heavy metal accumulation in and food safety of shark meat from Jeju island, Republic of Korea. *PLoS One*, ;14(3):e0212410. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0212410.; Mogren, Christina & Trumble, John. (2010). The impacts of metals and metalloids on insect behavior. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*. 135. 1 - 17. 10.1111/j.1570-7458.2010.00967.x; Kennady, Vijayalakshmy & Verma, Ranjeet & Chaudhry, Vikas. (2018). Detrimental impacts of heavy metals on animal reproduction: A review. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*. 06. 27-30; Schoch, N. Glennon, M., Evers, D., Duron, M., Jackson, A., Driscoll, C., Ozard, J. (2014) The Impact of Mercury Exposure on the Common Loon (*Gavia immer*) Population in the Adirondack Park, New York, USA. *Waterbirds* 37(sp1), 133-146 <https://doi.org/10.1675/063.037.sp116>

<sup>xvii</sup> Noyes, P. D., McElwee, M.K., Miller, H.D., Clark, B.W., Van Tiem, L.A., Walcott, K. C., Erwin, K., Levin, E.D. (2009) The toxicology of climate change: Environmental contaminants in a warming world. *Environment International* 35 (6), 971-986; Holmstrup, M., Bindesbøl, A., Janneke Oostingh, G., Duschl, A., Scheil, V., Köhler, H., Loureiro, S., Soares, AMVM., Ferreira, ALG, Kienle, C., Gerhardt, A., Laskowski, R., Kramarz, P., Bayley, M., Svendsen, C., Spurgeon, DJ. (2010) Interactions between effects of environmental chemicals and natural stressors: A review, *Science of The Total Environment*, 408 (18), 3746-3762.

<sup>xviii</sup> Ateweberhan, M., Feary, DA, Keshavmurthy, S., Chen, A., Schleyer, MH, Sheppard, C. (2013). Climate change impacts on coral reefs: Synergies with local effects, possibilities for acclimation, and management implications, *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 74 (2), 526-539.

<sup>xix</sup> Tartu, S., Bourgeon, S., Aars, J., Andersen, M., Polder, A., Thiemann, G., Welker, J., Routti, H. (2017) Sea ice-associated decline in body condition leads to increased concentrations of lipophilic pollutants in polar bears (*Ursus maritimus*) from Svalbard, Norway. *Science of The Total Environment*, 576, 409-419.

<sup>xx</sup> UNEP/AMAP (2011). Climate Change and POPs: Predicting the Impacts. Report of the UNEP/AMAP Expert Group. Secretariat of the Stockholm Convention, Geneva. Available at: <https://www.amap.no/documents/doc/climate-change-and-pops-predicting-the-impacts/753>

<sup>xxi</sup> UNICEF & Pure Earth (2020). *The Toxic Truth: Children's exposure to lead pollution undermines a generation of future potential*. Available at: <https://www.unicef.org/reports/toxic-truth-childrens-exposure-to-lead-pollution-2020>

<sup>xxii</sup> WHO (2019). Lead Poisoning and Health. Available at: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/lead-poisoning-and-health>

<sup>xxiii</sup> Ericson, B., Dowling, R., Dey, S., Caravanos, J., Mishra, N., Fisher, S., Ramirez, M., Sharma, P., McCartor, A., Guin, P., Taylor, M. P., & Fuller, R. (2018). A meta-analysis of blood lead levels in India and the attributable burden of disease. *Environment International*, 121(September), 461–470. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2018.08.047>; Ngueta, G., & Ndjaboue, R. (2013). Blood lead concentrations in sub-Saharan African children below 6 years: Systematic review. *Tropical Medicine and International Health*, 18(10), 1283–1291. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tmi.12179>

<sup>xxiv</sup> UNICEF & Pure Earth (2020). *The Toxic Truth: Children's exposure to lead pollution undermines a generation of future potential*. Available at: <https://www.unicef.org/reports/toxic-truth-childrens-exposure-to-lead-pollution-2020>

<sup>xxv</sup> UNICEF & Pure Earth (2020). *The Toxic Truth: Children's exposure to lead pollution undermines a generation of future potential*. Available at: <https://www.unicef.org/reports/toxic-truth-childrens-exposure-to-lead-pollution-2020>

<sup>xxvi</sup> Mielke, H. W., & Zahran, S. (2012). The urban rise and fall of air lead (Pb) and the latent surge and retreat of societal violence. *Environment International*, 43, 48–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2012.03.005>; Wright, J. P., Dietrich, K. N., Ris, M. D., Hornung, R. W., Wessel, S. D., Lanphear, B. P., . . . Rae, M. N. (2008). Association of prenatal and childhood blood lead concentrations with criminal arrests in early adulthood. *PLoS Med*, 5(5), e101.; Boutwell, B. B., Nelson, E. J., Qian, Z., Vaughn, M. G., Wright, J. P., Beaver, K. M., Barnes, J. C., Petkovsek, M., Lewis,

---

R., Schootman, M., & Rosenfeld, R. (2017). Aggregate-level lead exposure, gun violence, homicide, and rape. *PLoS ONE*, 12(11), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0187953>

<sup>xxvii</sup> Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME). (2018). GBD 2017 Results Tool | GHDx. <http://ghdx.healthdata.org/gbd-results-tool>